

## Some Exercises for Chapter 2 of Advanced Control Systems

**Problem 2.1:** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix,  $b \in R^m$ . Then show the set of all solutions of  $Ax = b$  is a convex subset of  $R^n$ .

**Solution:** Let's take  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  as two solutions of  $Ax = b$ , i.e.  $Ax_1 = b$  and  $Ax_2 = b$ . Then we should show that  $\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2$  is also a solution of  $Ax = b$ . We have:

$$A(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) = \lambda Ax_1 + (1 - \lambda)Ax_2 = \lambda b + (1 - \lambda)b = b$$

that shows the convexity of the set.

**Problem 2.2:** Are the following functions convex:

- a)  $f(x) = e^{ax}$  for  $x \in R$  and  $a \in R$ .
- b)  $f(x) = x^T Ax + cx$  where  $x \in R^n$  and  $A = A^T$  is positive.
- c)  $f(x) = \log(x)$  where  $x \in R_+$ .
- d)  $f(x) = \max(x)$  where  $x \in R^n$ .
- e)  $f(x) = (x_1 x_2)^{-1}$  where  $x \in R^2$  and  $x_1 > 0$  and  $x_2 > 0$ .
- f)  $f(x) = x_1 x_2 (x_1 - x_2)^{-1}$  where  $x \in R^2$  and  $x_1 - x_2 > 0$ .
- g)  $f(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x)$  where  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  are convex.

**Solution:** A twice differentiable function is convex iff its second derivative is positive.

- a)  $f(x) = e^{ax}$  is convex because:

$$f'(x) = ae^{ax} \quad \text{and} \quad f''(x) = a^2 e^{ax} > 0$$

- b)  $f(x) = x^T Ax + cx$  is convex because:

$$\nabla f(x) = 2Ax + c \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 f(x) = 2A \succ 0$$

- c)  $f(x) = \log(x)$  is not convex because:

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \text{and} \quad f''(x) = \frac{-1}{x^2} < 0$$

- d)  $f(x) = \max(x)$  is convex. Lets take two points in  $R^n$ , namely  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . The function is convex iff

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \leq \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2)$$

We have  $f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) = \max(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2)$  and

$$\max(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \leq \max(\lambda x_1) + \max((1 - \lambda)x_2) = \lambda \max(x_1) + (1 - \lambda) \max(x_2)$$

So the max function is convex.

e)  $f(x) = (x_1 x_2)^{-1}$  is convex because:

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}^T \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 f(x) = \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{x_1^2} & \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} \\ \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} & \frac{2}{x_2^2} \end{bmatrix} \succ 0$$

f)  $f(x) = x_1 x_2 (x_1 - x_2)^{-1}$  is convex because:

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -x_2^2 & x_1^2 \\ (x_1 - x_2)^2 & (x_1 - x_2)^2 \end{bmatrix}^T \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 f(x) = \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_2)^3} \begin{bmatrix} 2x_2^2 & -2x_1 x_2 \\ -2x_1 x_2 & 2x_1^2 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$

g)  $f(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x)$  is not necessarily convex. As a counterexample, take  $f_1(x) = x$  and  $f_2(x) = -x$  that are both linear and so convex, then  $f(x) = -x^2$  is not convex.

**Problem 2.3:** Consider an autonomous discrete-time LTI system  $x(k+1) = Ax(k)$ . Define a Lyapunov function  $V(k) = x^T(k)Px(k)$  with  $P \succ 0$ . Represent the stability condition of the system by an LMI.

**Solution:** The system is stable if  $V(k+1) - V(k) < 0$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} V(k+1) - V(k) &= x^T(k+1)Px(k+1) - x^T(k)Px(k) \\ &= x^T(k)A^T P A x(k) - x^T(k)P x(k) = x^T(k)[A^T P A - P]x(k) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $A^T P A - P$  should be negative definite. The stability condition in LMI form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & P - A^T P A \end{bmatrix} \succ 0$$

**Problem 2.4:** Consider the following LTI discrete-time system:

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k)$$

and a state feedback law  $u(k) = -Kx(k)$ . Find the set of stabilizing controllers represented by an LMI.

**Solution:** The closed-loop state equation is  $x(k+1) = (A - BK)x(k)$  which is stable if there exists  $P \succ 0$  such that

$$(A - BK)^T P (A - BK) - P \prec 0$$

which is not an LMI. Let's multiply the matrix inequality from left and right by  $L = P^{-1}$ :

$$L(A - BK)^T L^{-1} (A - BK) L - L \prec 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (LA^T - LK^T B)L^{-1}(AL - BKL) - L \prec 0$$

Now, we define a new variable  $Y = KL$  which leads to:

$$(LA^T - Y^T B)L^{-1}(AL - BY) - L \prec 0$$

Using Schur Lemma we obtain the following LMI:

$$\begin{bmatrix} L & AL - BY \\ (AL - BY)^T & L \end{bmatrix} \succ 0$$

So the stabilizing controller will be  $K = YL^{-1}$ .

**Problem 2.5:** Consider an LTI discrete-time system  $G(z)$  with state-space representation  $(A, B, C, D)$ . Knowing that the impulse response of the system is  $g(k) = CA^{k-1}B$  for  $k > 0$  and  $g(0) = D = 0$ . Show that  $\|G\|_2^2 = \text{trace}(CLC^T)$ , where  $L = L^T \succ 0$  is the solution to the following Riccati equation:

$$ALA^T - L + BB^T = 0$$

Write a convex optimization problem using LMIs to compute the  $\mathcal{H}_2$  norm of a discrete-time system.

**Solution:** Using Parseval's relation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|G\|_2^2 &= \text{trace} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g(k) * g^T(k) = \text{trace} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [CA^{k-1}B][CA^{k-1}B]^T \\ &= \text{trace} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} CA^{k-1}BB^T[A^{k-1}]^T C^T = \text{trace} CLC^T \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A^{k-1}BB^T[A^{k-1}]^T = BB^T + ABB^TA^T + A^2BB^T[A^T]^2 + \dots = BB^T + ALA^T$$

which leads to  $ALA^T - L + BB^T = 0$ . It can be shown that for stable systems larger  $L$  makes the left hand side of the above equality more negative, therefore the  $\mathcal{H}_2$  norm can be computed by the following convex optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} &\min \text{trace} CLC^T \\ &ALA^T - L + BB^T \preceq 0 \end{aligned}$$

```

n=max(size(A));
gamma=sdpvar(1,1);
L=sdpvar(n,n,'symmetric');
lmi1=A*L*A'-L+B*B' <= 0;
lmi2=C*L*C'-gamma <= 0;
cons=[lmi1 lmi2 L>=0];
options=sdpsettings('solver','mosek');
optimize(cons,gamma,options);
norm2=sqrt(value(gamma))

```

**Problem 2.6:** Consider an LTI discrete-time system  $G(z)$  with state-space representation  $(A, B, C, 0)$ . The objective is to design a state feedback controller such that the sum of the two-norm of the closed loop transfer functions from the input disturbance to the output and to the control signal  $(-Kx(k))$  is minimized. Represent this objective as a convex optimization problem.

**Solution:** The state feedback controller is  $u(k) = -Kx(k) + w(k)$ , where  $w(k)$  is the input disturbance. Let's define  $z = [y_1(k) \ y_2(k)]^T$ , then the closed-loop equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} x(k+1) &= Ax(k) + B(-Kx(k) + w(k)) = (A - BK)x(k) + Bw(k) \\ y_1(k) &= Cx(k) \quad , \quad y_2(k) = -Kx(k) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the following optimization problem should be solved:

$$\begin{aligned} &\min \text{trace} CLC^T + \text{trace} KLK^T \\ &(A - BK)L(A - BK)^T - L + BB^T \preceq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The matrix inequality is not linear, so we rewrite it as:

$$(AL - BKL)L^{-1}(AL - BKL)^T - L + BB^T \preceq 0$$

Let's define  $Y = KL$  and apply the Schur lemma:

$$\begin{bmatrix} L - BB^T & AL - BY \\ (AL - BY)^T & L \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$

which is an LMI. Lets define  $CLC^T \prec \Gamma_1$  and  $KLK^T \prec \Gamma_2$ . The second inequality can be written as:  $\Gamma_2 - YL^{-1}Y^T \succ 0$  which can be converted to an LMI using the Schur lemma:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_2 & Y \\ Y^T & L \end{bmatrix} \succ 0$$

Then the convex optimization problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \text{trace } \Gamma_1 + \text{trace } \Gamma_2 \\ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} L - BB^T & AL - BY \\ (AL - BY)^T & L \end{array} \right] \succeq 0 \quad , \quad \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \Gamma_2 & Y \\ Y^T & L \end{array} \right] \succ 0 \quad , \quad \Gamma_1 - CLC^T \succ 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 2.7:** Consider a state feedback control law as  $u(t) = r(t) - Kx(t)$  for a strictly proper system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t) \end{aligned}$$

Write a convex optimization problem for computing  $K$  that minimizes the infinity norm of the transfer function between the reference signal  $r(t)$  and the tracking error  $e(t) = r(t) - y(t)$ .

**Solution:** The closed-loop equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= (A - BK)x(t) + Br(t) \\ e(t) &= -Cx(t) + r(t) \end{aligned}$$

Then we apply the bounded real lemma (Lemma 2.3, page 63) for the above closed-loop system with  $A_{cl} = A - BK$ ,  $B_{cl} = B$ ,  $C_{cl} = -C$  and  $D_{cl} = I$  to obtain the following inequality:

$$(A - BK)^T P + P(A - BK) + C^T C + (PB - C^T)R^{-1}(PB - C^T)^T \prec 0$$

with  $R = (\gamma^2 I - I)$ . Multiplying from left and right with  $L = P^{-1}$  leads to:

$$L(A - BK)^T + (A - BK)L + LC^T CL + (B - LC^T)R^{-1}((B - LC^T)^T \prec 0$$

Defining a new variable  $Y = KL$  gives:

$$LA^T - Y^T B^T + AL - BY + [LC^T \quad (B - LC^T)] \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} CL \\ (B - LC^T)^T \end{bmatrix} \prec 0$$

Using the Schur lemma, we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} LA^T - Y^T B^T + AL - BY & LC^T & (B - LC^T) \\ CL & -I & 0 \\ (B - LC^T)^T & 0 & -R \end{bmatrix} \prec 0$$

which is an LMI in the variables  $L$  and  $Y$  and  $\gamma^2$ . By minimizing  $\gamma^2$ , the optimal values of  $L$  and  $Y$  are obtained and the state feedback controller will be  $K = YL^{-1}$ .

**Problem 2.8:** Write a convex optimization problem to find a stabilizing controller that minimizes  $\|W_2\mathcal{T}\|_\infty$  in a data-driven setting.

**Solution:** Minimizing  $\|W_2\mathcal{T}\|_\infty$  for stable systems can be represented as:

$$\min \gamma$$

$$[W_2GK(I+GK)^{-1}][W_2GK(I+GK)^{-1}]^* \prec \gamma I \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

Replacing  $K = XY^{-1}$ , we obtain:

$$[W_2GX(Y+GX)^{-1}][W_2GX(Y+GX)^{-1}]^* \prec \gamma I \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

Taking  $P = Y + GX$ , gives:

$$\gamma I - (W_2GX)(P^*P)^{-1}(W_2GX)^* \succ 0 \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

Applying QMI lemma leads to the following convex optimization problem:

$$\min \gamma$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma I & W_2GX \\ (W_2GX)^* & P^*P_c + P_c^*P - P_c^*P_c \end{bmatrix} \succ 0 \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

where  $P_c = Y_c + GX_c$  and  $K_c = X_cY_c^{-1}$  is an initial stabilizing controller.

**Problem 2.9:** Consider the model reference control problem in the  $\mathcal{H}_2$  framework as:

$$\min_K \|\mathcal{T} - M\|_2$$

where  $M$  is the transfer function matrix of a desired closed-loop system and  $\mathcal{T} = GK(I+GK)^{-1}$ . Write a convex optimization problem in order to compute a stabilizing controller  $K$  in a data-driven setting where only the frequency response of the plant model  $G$  is available.

**Solution:** Minimizing  $\|\mathcal{T} - M\|_2$  for stable systems can be represented as:

$$\min \int_{\Omega} \text{trace}\Gamma(\omega) d\omega$$

$$[GK(I+GK)^{-1} - M][GK(I+GK)^{-1} - M]^* \prec \Gamma(\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

Replacing  $K = XY^{-1}$ , we obtain:

$$[GX(Y+GX)^{-1} - M][GX(Y+GX)^{-1} - M]^* \prec \Gamma(\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

Taking  $P = Y + GX$ , gives:

$$\Gamma(\omega) - (GX - MP)(P^*P)^{-1}(GX - MP)^* \succ 0 \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

Applying QMI lemma and gridding the frequency  $\Omega_N = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_N\}$ , leads to the following convex optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \sum_{k=1}^N \text{trace } \Gamma_k \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_k & GX - MP \\ (GX - MP)^* & P^*P_c + P_c^*P - P_c^*P_c \end{bmatrix} \succ 0 \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega_N \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_c = Y_c + GX_c$  and  $K_c = X_cY_c^{-1}$  is an initial stabilizing controller.

**Problem 2.10:** Consider a state feedback control law as  $u(t) = r(t) - Kx(t)$  for a strictly proper system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t)\end{aligned}$$

Compute the set of  $K$  that makes the transfer function between  $r(t)$  and  $e(t) = r(t) - y(t)$  positive real (or passive) in terms of Linear Matrix Inequalities. You can use the positive real lemma given below:

**Lemma 1** *The system  $H(s)$  with state-space representation  $(A, B, C, D)$  and  $D + D^T \succ 0$  is positive real (i.e.  $H(j\omega) + H^*(j\omega) \succ 0, \forall \omega$ ), iff there exists  $P = P^T \succ 0$  such that:*

$$A^T P + PA + C^T C + (PB - C^T)(D + D^T)^{-1}(PB - C^T)^T \prec 0$$

**Solution:** With  $u(t) = r(t) - Kx(t)$  the state-space equations of the closed loop system are:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + Br(t) - BKx(t) = (A - BK)x(t) + Br(t) \\ e(t) &= -Cx(t) + r(t)\end{aligned}$$

So the state space model of the closed-loop system is  $(A - BK, B, -C, I)$ . Using PRL we obtain the following matrix inequality:

$$(A - BK)^T P + P(A - BK) + C^T C + (PB + C^T)(2I)^{-1}(PB + C^T)^T \prec 0$$

Multiplying from left and right by  $X = P^{-1}$ , we obtain:

$$XA^T - XK^T B^T + AX - BKX + XC^T CX + (B + XC^T)(2I)^{-1}(B + XC^T)^T \prec 0$$

Take  $KX = Y$ :

$$XA^T - Y^T B^T + AX - BY + [XC^T \quad B + XC^T] \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 2I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} [XC^T \quad B + XC^T]^T \prec 0$$

Applying Schur lemma we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} XA^T - Y^T B^T + AX - BY & XC^T & B + XC^T \\ CX & -I & 0 \\ CX + B^T & 0 & -2I \end{bmatrix} \prec 0$$

The above LMI together with  $X \succ 0$  guarantee that the final controller  $K = YX^{-1}$  makes the closed-loop system positive real..