

# Statistical Inference: Examination

30 January 2024

---

**Instructions:** The time allotted for the examination is 180 minutes. You may answer in either English or French. No written material may be brought into the examination, but a simple calculator may be used if necessary. Full marks may be obtained with complete answers to four questions. The final mark will be based on the best four solutions.

---

First name:

Last name:

SCIPER number:

Exercise	Points	Indicative marks
1		/10 points
2		/10 points
3		/10 points
4		/10 points
5		/10 points
Total:		/40 points

## Some formulae

**Definition 1** The moment-generating and cumulant-generating functions of a real-valued random variable  $X$  are

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{tX}), \quad K_X(t) = \log M_X(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T},$$

where  $\mathcal{T} = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : M_X(t) < \infty\}$ .

**Definition 2** A Bernoulli random variable with parameter  $p \in (0, 1)$  has probability mass function

$$f(x; p) = p^x(1 - p)^{1-x}, \quad x \in \{0, 1\}.$$

**Definition 3** A geometric random variable with parameter  $p \in (0, 1)$  has probability mass function

$$f(x; p) = (1 - p)^{x-1}p, \quad x \in \{1, 2, \dots\}.$$

**Definition 4** A Poisson variable with parameter  $\lambda > 0$  has probability mass function

$$f(x; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}e^{-\lambda}, \quad x \in \{0, 1, \dots\}.$$

**Definition 5** A normal (or Gaussian) random variable  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  has probability density function

$$f(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 > 0,$$

where  $\phi(u) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}e^{-u^2/2}$  for  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , and we also define  $\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \phi(u) du$ .

**Definition 6** A gamma random variable with shape parameter  $\alpha > 0$  and rate parameter  $\beta > 0$ ,  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ , has probability density function

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x}, & x \geq 0, \\ 0, & x < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \alpha\Gamma(\alpha)$ ,  $\Gamma(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1)!$  when  $\alpha$  is a positive integer, and  $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ .

**Definition 7** An exponential random variable  $X$  with rate parameter  $\beta$ ,  $X \sim \exp(\beta)$ , has the gamma distribution with  $\alpha = 1$ .

**Definition 8** A chi-squared random variable  $V$  with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom,  $V \sim \chi_\nu^2$ , has the gamma distribution with  $\alpha = \nu/2$  and  $\beta = 1/2$ , and can be expressed as  $V \stackrel{\text{D}}{=} Z_1^2 + \dots + Z_\nu^2$ , where  $Z_1, \dots, Z_\nu \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

**Question 1**

- (a) Explain the meaning of the italicised terms in the phrase ‘the *statistical model* was formulated in order to find a *minimum variance unbiased estimator* of a *parameter*’.
- (b) Independent Bernoulli variables  $X_1, \dots, X_{2n}$  with common success probability  $p$  are available. Show that

$$T = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_{2j-1}(1 - X_{2j})$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta = p(1 - p)$  and find its variance.

- (c) If  $\bar{X} = (2n)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} X_j$ , find an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  based on  $\bar{X}(1 - \bar{X})$ .
- (d) How does your estimator compare to  $T$  and any other unbiased estimators of  $\theta$ ?

**Question 2** Many particle physics experiments result in noisy count data. A single-channel experiment results in three independent Poisson variables  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  with respective means  $\beta + \gamma\psi, \beta u, \gamma t$ , where  $u$  and  $t$  are positive and known, and the parameters  $\beta, \gamma$  and  $\psi \geq 0$  are unknown. The parameter  $\beta > 0$  represents a background rate for the event of interest and is estimated from an experiment that gives  $y_2$  events over a time period  $u$ , and  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , which represents the efficiency of the detector, is estimated from another experiment that gives  $y_3$  events over a time period  $t$ . The main experiment results in  $y_1$  and is intended to assess whether  $\psi > 0$ , which would indicate the presence of more particles than could be explained by the background, and might therefore indicate a need for ‘new physics’.

- (a) Write the above model in exponential family form, giving the canonical parameters and statistics.
- (b) Show that if we write  $\gamma = \beta\alpha$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $\beta$  can be removed by a conditioning argument. Is this an interest-respecting transformation?
- (c)  $K$  independent channels each give independent Poisson observations  $y_{1k}, y_{2k}, y_{3k}$  with corresponding means  $\beta_k + \gamma_k\psi, \beta_k u_k, \gamma_k t_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, K$ ), where  $u_1, \dots, u_K$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_K$  are known and the parameters must be estimated. Is this model a full exponential family?

**Question 3** Suppose that  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are independent realisations of a random variable  $Y$  whose density function  $f(y; \theta)$  satisfies suitable regularity conditions and where the vector parameter  $\theta$  lies in an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

- (a) State the limiting distribution of the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and explain how this result can be used for inference on elements of  $\theta$ .
- (b) What is a *profile log likelihood*? Under what circumstances would you use one, and why?
- (c) Show that the log likelihood for a Weibull random sample  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ , for which

$$P(Y > y) = \exp\{-(y/\lambda)^\alpha\}, \quad y > 0, \quad \alpha, \lambda > 0,$$

can be written in the form

$$\ell(\alpha, \lambda) = n \log \alpha - n \alpha \log \lambda + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \log y_j - S(\alpha)/\lambda^\alpha, \quad \alpha, \lambda > 0,$$

where  $S(\alpha)$  should be specified, and deduce that apart from additive constants,

$$\max_{\lambda} \ell(\alpha, \lambda) = n \log \alpha - n \log S(\alpha) + \alpha \sum_{j=1}^n \log y_j, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

How would you use this function to verify whether  $\alpha = 1$ ?

#### Question 4

- (a) Explain the terms *null hypothesis*, *test statistic* and *P-value*. A hypothesis test is performed and gives P-value 0.001. What do you conclude?
- (b) Define the *Bayes factor* and say how it is used in Bayesian hypothesis testing.
- (c) Show that Bayesian inference for a parameter  $\theta$  depends on data  $Y$  only through a minimal sufficient statistic  $S = s(Y)$ , and deduce that the Bayes factor for comparing two models with the same minimal sufficient statistic  $S$  for  $\theta$  can be expressed as

$$E_1\{f(s \mid \theta)\}/E_0\{f(s \mid \theta)\},$$

where the expectations are over the different prior distributions for  $\theta$  under the models. Do you find this surprising? Explain.

**Question 5** In current status data all that is known about individuals is their status at a single time. For example, at time zero  $n$  skiers are struck by an avalanche, and when rescuers locate skier  $j$  at a later time  $c_j$  they find that s/he is either alive (1) or dead (0).

- (a) The likelihood for the survival time distribution  $F$  based on such a dataset is stated to be  $\prod_{j=1}^n F(c_j)^{1-d_j} \{1 - F(c_j)\}^{d_j}$ . On what assumptions does this depend?
- (b) If  $F(x) = 1 - \exp(-\lambda x)$ , for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $x > 0$ , and all the  $c_j$  are equal, then find the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\lambda$  and the corresponding Fisher information.
- (c) Under right-censoring the observations are of the form  $(Y, D) = (\min(T, c), I(T > c))$ ; i.e., the failure time is  $T$  observed exactly up to a non-random censoring time  $c$ , but otherwise  $c$  is recorded, and  $D$  is the indicator of survival beyond  $c$ . Explain why the likelihood for independent observations is then  $\prod_{j=1}^n f(y_j)^{1-d_j} \{1 - F(c_j)\}^{d_j}$ , where  $f$  is the density function corresponding to  $F$ .
- (d) Find the asymptotic relative efficiency of the estimator in (b) relative to that in (c) when  $T \sim \exp(\lambda)$  and  $c_1 = \dots = c_n = c$ .

————— END OF THE EXAM PAPER —————