

HOMEWORK SOLUTION WEEK 6

1. (a) The spectrum of a symmetric matrix consists of a finite number of eigenvalues. Hence, the spectral family is piecewise constant, with jump discontinuities at every eigenvalue. Furthermore, if λ_0 is an eigenvalue, we have

$$\ker(S - \lambda_0) = \text{rge}(E_{\lambda_0^+} - E_{\lambda_0}).$$

We write $P_{\lambda_0} = E_{\lambda_0^+} - E_{\lambda_0}$. Let $u \in \mathcal{H}$ and define $\phi(\lambda) := \langle E_\lambda u, u \rangle$. We first observe that the distributional derivative of ϕ at $\lambda = \lambda_0$ is given by

$$\phi'(\lambda_0) = (\phi(\lambda_0^+) - \phi(\lambda_0))\delta_{\lambda_0},$$

where δ_{λ_0} denotes the Dirac mass at $\lambda = \lambda_0$. Indeed, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $f \in C_0^\infty((\lambda_0 - \epsilon, \lambda_0 + \epsilon))$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}^{\lambda_0 + \epsilon} \phi'(\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda &= - \int_{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}^{\lambda_0 + \epsilon} \phi(\lambda) f'(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= -\phi(\lambda_0) \int_{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}^{\lambda_0} f'(\lambda) d\lambda + \phi(\lambda_0^+) \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0 + \epsilon} f'(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= (\phi(\lambda_0^+) - \phi(\lambda_0)) f(\lambda_0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}^{\lambda_0 + \epsilon} \lambda d\langle E_\lambda u, u \rangle &= \int_{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}^{\lambda_0 + \epsilon} \lambda d\phi(\lambda) = (\phi(\lambda_0^+) - \phi(\lambda_0)) \int_{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}^{\lambda_0 + \epsilon} \lambda \delta_{\lambda_0}(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \lambda_0 (\phi(\lambda_0^+) - \phi(\lambda_0)) = \lambda_0 \langle (E_{\lambda_0^+} - E_{\lambda_0}) u, u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$S = \int_m^{M+\varepsilon} \lambda dE_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_p(S)} \lambda P_\lambda.$$

(b) Suppose now S is a symmetric and compact (in particular, it is bounded) operator with an infinite sequence of eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$. In particular, we work in the setting of an infinitely-dimensional Hilbert space since there are infinitely many eigenvalues. Each eigenspace E_λ corresponding to a nonzero eigenvalue λ is finite-dimensional because the restriction $S|_{E_\lambda}$ of S to that eigenspace must be compact and is given by a multiple of the identity, $S|_{E_\lambda}(x) = \lambda x$. However, the identity is compact only in a finite-dimensional space. Furthermore, 0 must be in the spectrum. Otherwise, S would have a bounded inverse S^{-1} and it is easy to check that the product $S^{-1}S = I$ of a bounded operator with a compact operator must be compact. However, the identity I is not compact in a vector space of infinite dimension, which leads to a contradiction.

Hence,

$$0 \in \sigma(S), \quad \sigma(S) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_p(S) \setminus \{0\}, \quad 0 \in \overline{(\lambda_n)_{n=1}^\infty}.$$

Note that both $0 \in \sigma_p(S)$ and $0 \notin \sigma_p(S)$ can occur. In any case, given any $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, the spectral decomposition of S splits into

$$S = \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \lambda dE_\lambda + \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_p(S), |\lambda| > \epsilon} \lambda P_\lambda.$$

Here, the second term is a finite sum, while the first satisfies

$$\left\| \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \lambda dE_\lambda \right\| \leq 2\epsilon^2.$$

Hence,

$$\left\| S - \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_p(S), |\lambda| > \epsilon} \lambda P_\lambda \right\| \leq 2\epsilon^2,$$

showing that the series $\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_p(S), \lambda \neq 0} \lambda P_\lambda$ converges to S .

Choosing an orthonormal basis in each subspace $\text{rge}(P_\lambda)$ ($\lambda \neq 0$ in case (b)), one recovers the spectral theorems for symmetric matrices and for symmetric compact operators, respectively.

3/4. See the proof in Kreyszig's book. One should note that the book uses a different convention. They choose the spectral family to be right-continuous. This is not an issue. From a right-continuous family F_t , one gets a left-continuous family

$$E_\lambda = \lim_{t \rightarrow \lambda^-} F_t$$

and conversely, from a left-continuous family E_λ , one gets a right-continuous family

$$F_t = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow t^+} E_\lambda$$

The families have the same points of continuity and the same jumps.

5. (a) Let $f \in C^0([m, M])$ where m, M are the lower/upper bounds of S . It is shown in Lemma 2.1.2 that

$$A_f = \int_m^{M^+} f(\lambda) dE_\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(m + k \cdot \frac{M + \epsilon - m}{n}\right) \left(E_{(m+k \cdot \frac{M+\epsilon-m}{n})} - E_{(m+(k-1) \cdot \frac{M+\epsilon-m}{n})}\right)$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ with the partition

$$m = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n = M + \epsilon, \quad \lambda_k = m + k \cdot \frac{M + \epsilon - m}{n}$$

is a well-defined operator.

For $u \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|u\| = 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_f u, u \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(m + k \cdot \frac{M + \epsilon - m}{n}\right) \underbrace{\left\langle \left(E_{(m+k \cdot \frac{M+\epsilon-m}{n})} - E_{(m+(k-1) \cdot \frac{M+\epsilon-m}{n})}\right) u, u \right\rangle}_{\geq 0} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \max_{x \in [m, M+\epsilon]} |f(x)| \left\langle \left(E_{(m+k \cdot \frac{M+\epsilon-m}{n})} - E_{(m+(k-1) \cdot \frac{M+\epsilon-m}{n})}\right) u, u \right\rangle \\ &= \max_{x \in [m, M+\epsilon]} |f(x)| \langle u, u \rangle = \max_{x \in [m, M+\epsilon]} |f(x)| \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\langle A_f u, u \rangle \geq - \max_{x \in [m, M+\epsilon]} |f(x)|$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ shows that

$$\|A_f\| = \sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle A_f u, u \rangle| \leq \max_{x \in [m, M]} |f(x)|$$

If $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$ is a real polynomial, it is shown in Lemma 2.2.2 that

$$p(S) = a_0 + a_1 S + \dots + a_n S^n = A_p = \int_m^{M^+} p(\lambda) dE_\lambda$$

If $p_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly in $C^0([m, M])$ -norm, then p_n is Cauchy in $C^0([m, M])$, i.e. for $\epsilon > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$n_1, n_2 \geq N \implies \max_{x \in [m, M]} |p_{n_1}(x) - p_{n_2}(x)| \leq \epsilon$$

It follows directly that

$$n_1, n_2 \geq N \implies \|p_{n_1}(S) - p_{n_2}(S)\| \leq \max_{x \in [m, M]} |p_{n_1}(x) - p_{n_2}(x)| \leq \varepsilon$$

Hence $p_n(S)$ is Cauchy and converges to an operator which we call $f(S)$.

(b) The goal is to show that the limit $f(S)$ is

$$A_f = \int_m^{M^+} f(\lambda) dE_\lambda$$

from point (a). One has

$$A_f = \int_m^{M^+} f(\lambda) dE_\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(m + k \cdot \frac{M + \varepsilon - m}{n}\right) \left(E_{(m+k \cdot \frac{M+\varepsilon-m}{n})} - E_{(m+(k-1) \cdot \frac{M+\varepsilon-m}{n})}\right)$$

while

$$p_k(S) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k\left(m + k \cdot \frac{M + \varepsilon - m}{n}\right) \left(E_{(m+k \cdot \frac{M+\varepsilon-m}{n})} - E_{(m+(k-1) \cdot \frac{M+\varepsilon-m}{n})}\right)$$

i.e.

$$A_f - p_k(S) = A_f - A_{p_k} = A_{f-p_k}$$

Given ε , there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$k \geq N \implies \max_{x \in [m, M]} |p_k(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$$

the inequality proved in (a) shows that

$$k \geq N \implies \|p_k(S) - A_f\| \leq \max_{x \in [m, M]} |p_k(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$$

i.e. A_f is the limit. The equality for $\langle f(S)u, v \rangle$ follows from Exercice 3, Week 5, by taking the limit.