

**Exercise to hand in.** *Twistor*  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . (Due Sunday November 3, 18:00) Please write your solution in  $\text{TeX}$ .

Consider the following graded  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra map  $\sigma: \mathbb{C}[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x, y]$

$$f(x, y) \mapsto \overline{f}(-y, x),$$

where  $\overline{(-)}$  means applying the complex conjugation to the coefficients of the polynomial. Denote by  $\tau = \text{Proj}(\sigma)$  the induced  $\mathbb{R}$ -scheme morphism of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ .

(1) Recall that we can identify the  $\mathbb{C}$ -rational points  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{C})$  as equivalence classes  $[\alpha, \beta]$  of elements of  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus 0$ . We can also identify those with  $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$  with  $[1, 0] \mapsto \infty$  and  $[z, 1] \mapsto z$ .

Describe  $\tau$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ -rational points

$$\tau: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{C})$$

with these two identifications of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\mathbb{C})$ .

(2) Show that  $\tau^2 = \text{id}$  schematically.

We now consider

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\sigma} = \{f(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y] \mid f(x, y) = \sigma(f(x, y)) = \overline{f}(-y, x)\}$$

the graded  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of  $\sigma$ -fixed points. We define the  $\mathbb{R}$ -scheme

$$\mathbb{P}_{\text{tw}}^1 = \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\sigma})$$

and call it the *twistor*  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

(3) Show that

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\sigma} = \mathbb{R}[ixy, x^2 + y^2, i(x^2 - y^2)].$$

(4) Show that  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{tw}}^1 \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ .  
 (5) Identify the kernel of the 2-homogeneous map of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras

$$\mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\sigma}$$

sending  $t_1 \mapsto 2ixy$ ,  $t_2 \mapsto x^2 + y^2$ ,  $t_3 \mapsto i(x^2 - y^2)$ .

(6) Show that there is no  $\mathbb{R}$ -rational points of the twistor  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , *i.e.*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\text{tw}}^1(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset.$$

**Remark.** Some explanations and motivation.

(1) The map  $\tau: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  from the first part of the exercise is what we call a *descent data*. Like saying that a sheaf can be described as a collection of sheaves on opens sets which forms a covering with isomorphisms on intersections satisfying the cocycle condition, here we specify an  $\mathbb{R}$  scheme as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme with some special involution. Here the *cover* taken is the Galois cover  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$  and the involution property has to do with the fact that the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$  is of order 2.

(2) The *descended*  $\mathbb{R}$ -scheme that we get is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -conic (curve described by a degree 2 equation in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ ) which has the strange property that it has no  $\mathbb{R}$ -points. In general if  $k$  is a field and  $C \subset \mathbb{P}_k^2$  is a regular conic with admits at least one  $k$ -rational point (which here is not the case for  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{tw}}^1$ ), then it is actually isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ . This can be understood *via* the nice method of stereographic projection. See here for a nice explanation of this method.

(3) The  $\mathbb{R}$ -scheme  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{tw}}^1$  appears in cohomology theory. Namely one can show that vector bundles on this scheme are intimately related to *Hodge structures*. Singular cohomology groups of projective varieties admits Hodge structures, that's why we care about this kind of linear algebraic objects.

*Solution key.* (1) (*Claudio*) Recall that the  $\mathbb{C}$ -rational points of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  given by the homogeneous ideals  $(\beta x - \alpha y)$  are identified with elements  $[\alpha : \beta]$  of the classical projective space. This was part of the last homework exercise, to identify  $\mathbb{C}$ -rational points we use exercise 4 of sheet 3 and the local charts  $D_+(x)$  and  $D_+(y)$  of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x, y])$ . Let's see where  $\tau : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  takes  $(\beta x - \alpha y)$ . Recall that

$$\tau((\beta x - \alpha y)) = \sigma^{-1}((\beta x - \alpha y)) = (\bar{\beta}y + \bar{\alpha}x)$$

where we used that  $\sigma : \mathbb{C}[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  is invertible with inverse given by

$$\sigma^{-1} : \mathbb{C}[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x, y] : f(x, y) \mapsto \bar{f}(y, -x).$$

Hence  $[\alpha : \beta]$  is sent to  $[\bar{\beta} : -\bar{\alpha}]$  under the corresponding identifications which furthermore means that  $\tau$  carries  $[1 : 0]$  to  $[0 : -1] = [0 : 1]$  and thus  $\infty \mapsto 0$ ,  $[z : 1]$  is carried to  $[1 : -\bar{z}] = [-\frac{1}{\bar{z}} : 1]$  if  $z \neq 0$  and thus  $z \mapsto -\frac{1}{\bar{z}}$  and finally  $[0 : 1]$  is carried to  $[1 : 0]$  which implies that  $0 \mapsto \infty$ .

This concludes the first part of the exercise.

(2) (*Céline*) Notice that  $\sigma^2(x) = -x$ . Hence,  $\tau^2|_{D_+(x)} : D_+(x) \rightarrow D_+(x)$ . Recall that  $D_+(x) \cong \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\frac{y}{x}])$ . Now, since we have an equivalence of categories between rings and affine schemes, the map  $\tau^2 : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\frac{y}{x}]) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\frac{y}{x}])$  corresponds to a ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[\frac{y}{x}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\frac{y}{x}]$ . We find that this map is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[\frac{y}{x}] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\frac{y}{x}] \\ \frac{y}{x} &\longmapsto \frac{\sigma^2(y)}{\sigma^2(x)} = \frac{-y}{-x} = \frac{y}{x} \end{aligned}$$

which is the identity. Thus,  $\tau^2|_{D_+(x)} : D_+(x) \rightarrow D_+(x)$  is the identity. Similarly,  $\tau^2|_{D_+(y)} : D_+(y) \rightarrow D_+(y)$  is the identity. Hence, by the gluing property,  $\tau^2 = \text{id}$ .

(3) (*Benoît*) We want to show that the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of fixed points  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\sigma}$  is given by  $\mathbb{R}[ixy, x^2 + y^2, i(x^2 - y^2)]$ . Denote this ring by  $A$ , and note that as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra,  $A = (1, ixy, x^2 + y^2, i(x^2 - y^2))$ . Clearly, all four generators of  $A$  are fixed by  $\sigma$ , so that we only have to show that  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\sigma} \subseteq A$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\sigma}$ , if  $f$  is constant,  $f = \bar{f}$  by assumption, so we are done. If  $f$  is not constant, we may assume that it is homogeneous. As seen already, if  $f$  is of degree  $d$ , we have

$\sigma^2(f) = (-1)^d f$ , so  $d$  is necessarily even. We first show the claim for  $f$  of the form  $ax^{2n} + \bar{a}y^{2n}$  by induction. When  $n = 0$ ,  $f$  is constant and we are done, and when  $n = 1$ , we have that  $f = \operatorname{Re}(a)(x^2 + y^2) + \operatorname{Im}(a)i(x^2 - y^2)$  so that  $f \in A$ . Now suppose the claim holds for even degree lower than  $2n$ , and note that :

$$ax^{2n} + \bar{a}y^{2n} - (x^2 + y^2)(ax^{2n-2} + \bar{a}y^{2n-2}) = -ax^{2n-2}y^2 - \bar{a}x^2y^{2n-2} = (ixy)^2(ax^{2n-4} + \bar{a}y^{2n-4}),$$

so that indeed  $f \in A$  by induction hypothesis. Now returning to the general case, note that for  $f$  any homogeneous element of degree  $2n$ , we can write :

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i+j=2n} a_{i,j}x^i y^j = a_{2n,0}x^{2n} + a_{0,2n}y^{2n} - ixy \sum_{\substack{i+j=2n \\ i>0, j>0}} ia_{i,j}x^{i-1}y^{j-1} =: g(x, y) - ixy\tilde{f}(x, y).$$

As  $f$  is a fixed point, we see that  $a_{2n,0} = \overline{a_{0,2n}}$  so that  $g \in A$  by previous part. Moreover,  $\tilde{f} = -\frac{f-g}{ixy}$  is also fixed by sigma, so that it is a form of even degree  $\leq 2n-2$ . Iterating this process, we conclude that  $f$  is indeed in  $A$ .

- (4) (*Céline*) Notice that, from the previous point,  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^\sigma$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra. Moreover,  $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}[i]$  is an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring such that the set of homogeneous elements of degree 0 of  $\mathbb{C}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra. By exercise 2 of exercise sheet 5, we have that  $\operatorname{tw} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{R})} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \operatorname{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x, y]^\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^\sigma = \mathbb{R}[ixy, x^2 + y^2, i(x^2 - y^2)]$ , we have that  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}[ixy, x^2 + y^2, i(x^2 - y^2)] = \mathbb{C}[x^2, y^2, xy]$ . Then, using exercise 5 of exercise sheet 4, we find that  $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x^2, y^2, xy]) \cong \operatorname{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x, y]) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ .
- (5) (*Benoît*) Let  $\psi$  be the 2-homogeneous map of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras  $\mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x, y]^\sigma$  sending

$$t_1 \mapsto 2ixy, \quad t_2 \mapsto x^2 + y^2, \quad t_3 \mapsto i(x^2 - y^2).$$

By point (3),  $\psi$  is clearly surjective, let us identify its kernel. We see that  $\ker \psi$  contains the principal ideal  $I = (f) := (t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2)$ . Moreover,  $I$  is prime, as for example  $\operatorname{ev}_{t_2=1, t_3=0}(f) = t_1^2 + 1$  is irreducible, implying that  $f$  itself is irreducible. To show that  $\ker \psi = I$ , we use an argument dimension. Indeed, we have by a result of the course that  $\operatorname{ht}(\ker \psi) = \dim(\mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3]) - \dim(\mathbb{C}[x, y]^\sigma)$  by surjectivity. To compute  $\dim(\mathbb{C}[x, y]^\sigma)$ , we use the following fact : *Let  $k \subseteq k'$  be an extension of fields, and  $A$  a finitely generated  $k$  algebra. Then*

$$\dim(A \otimes_k k') = \dim(A).$$

*Proof.* Let  $d = \dim(A)$ , By the Noether normalization lemma, there exists an integral extension  $k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \hookrightarrow A$  for some  $x_1, \dots, x_d$ . Tensoring with  $k'$  preserves injectivity (as  $k'$  is free, it is in particular flat). Moreover, the extension  $k'[x_1, \dots, x_d] \hookrightarrow A \otimes_k k'$  is still integral (as it is still finite). We deduce that  $\dim(A \otimes_k k') = \dim(k'[x_1, \dots, x_d]) = d$  as wanted.  $\square$

By the lemma, the dimension  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^\sigma$  is the same as the dimension of  $\mathbb{C}[x^2, xy, y^2]$ , which is 2, since it admits  $\mathbb{C}[x^2, y^2]$  as a Noether

normalization for example. Therefore,  $\text{ht}(\ker \psi) = 1$ , which implies that  $\ker \psi = I$ .

(6) (*Benoît*) We want to show that the twistor has no  $\mathbb{R}$ -rational points. Note that by point (3), we have induced map of  $\mathbb{R}$ -schemes  $\text{tw} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$  (by exercise 5.4 sheet 4 for example). Moreover, this is a closed embedding onto  $V_+(t_1^2 + t_2^3 + t_3^2)$ . By way of contradiction, suppose we have an  $\mathbb{R}$ -point in the twistor, this gives an  $\mathbb{R}$ -point of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$  via this map. Now  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$  is covered by  $D_+(t_i)$ , so without loss generality, let us assume our  $\mathbb{R}$ -point lands in  $D_+(t_3)$ . Therefore, it corresponds to a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[u, v])$  which contains  $(u^2 + v^2 + 1)$ , where  $u = \frac{t_1}{t_3}, v = \frac{t_2}{t_3}$ . Then by hypothesis on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we have an isomorphism

$$\left( \mathbb{R}[u, v] \big/ \mathfrak{p} \right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathbb{R},$$

but this is a contradiction, as the image  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  of  $u, v$  must satisfy  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ .

□