

Extra notes: Remarks on the theory of arbitrage for continuous-time models ^①

Remarks on the vector stochastic integral:

Real: $M = W - BM$

$H = (H_t(\omega), t \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega) - \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}_+) \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable and $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted, with

$$E\left(\int_0^t H_s^2 ds\right) < \infty, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

Consider first simple functions

$$H_t(\omega) = h_0(\omega) \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(t) + \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i(\omega) \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t)$$

left continuous

$\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$ -measurable and square integrable

There is a canonical definition of the stochastic integral given by

$$(H \cdot W)_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i(\omega) \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t) (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i})$$

②

One succeeds to construct
simple functions H^n , $n \geq 0$ s.t.

$$E\left[\int_0^t (H_s - H_s^n)^2 ds\right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad t \geq 0 \text{ fixed}$$

and therefore

$$E\left[\int_0^t (H_s^n - H_s^m)^2 ds\right] \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

A little calculation yields the
Itô-isometry for simple processes, i.e.

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^t H_s^n dW_s\right)^2\right] = E\left[\int_0^t (H_s^n)^2 ds\right], \quad t \geq 0$$

Consequently,

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^t H_s^n dW_s - \int_0^t H_s^m dW_s\right)^2\right]$$

=

$$E\left[\int_0^t (H_s^n - H_s^m)^2 ds\right] \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

Hence, $\left(\int_0^t H_s^n dW_s \right)_{n \geq 0}$, $t \geq 0$ (3)

is a Cauchy sequence in the space L^2 (square integrable random variables)

Since L^2 is complete we can define

$$\int_0^t H_s dW_s := L^2\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t H_s^n dW_s$$

$\nwarrow$ fixed t

often also denoted by $(H \cdot W)_t$
for the stochastic integral
on $[0, t]$.

Then, ... extension to processes and
localization so that we
have an integral (Ito's version)
for H -measurable and
adapted with

(4)

$$P\left(\int_0^t H_s^2 ds < \infty\right) = 1, \quad t \geq 0.$$

In essence, the same construction works if instead of a Wiener process W one takes a square integrable martingale M .

Replace (1) by

$$E\left(\int_0^t H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s\right) < \infty, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (\tilde{1})$$

By Doob! For a square integrable martingale there exists a unique increasing predictable process (starting at 0) $\langle M \rangle$ such that $M^2 - \langle M \rangle \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}$.

Natural question:

For which functions is there a sequence of simple functions H^n , $n \geq 0$

⑤

s.t.

$$E\left[\int_0^t (H_s - H_s^n)^2 d\langle M \rangle_s\right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, t \geq 0$$

Answer depends on properties of $\langle M \rangle$, where it is always OK for H -predictable s.t. $(\tilde{H})$ holds.

Remark:

Recall that all processes we consider are at least measurable and adapted.

- $\langle M \rangle$ - absolutely continuous
w.r.t. the Lebesgue-measure
↳ (measurable and) adapted is OK
- $\langle M \rangle$ - P a.s. continuous
↳ measurable and $H(\mathbb{T}(\omega), \omega) - \mathbb{F}_t$ -measurable for all finite stopping times τ - OK, i.e. in particular progressively measurable is OK.
- $\langle M \rangle$ - no extra regularity
↳ predictable OK

⑥

Analogously to the BM
case use for simple functions

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^t H_s dM_s\right)^2\right] = E\left[\int_0^t H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s\right]$$

$t \geq 0$

∴
analogous
arguments

(7)

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ be a d -dimensional semimartingale admitting a decomposition

$$X = X_0 + A + M$$

BV
 $A \in \mathcal{V}^d$

local martingale
 $M \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}^d$

1) Integral w.r.t. a local martingale

There exists a non-decreasing adapted process $C = (C_t)_{t \geq 0}$, $C_0 = 0$

and optional processes $C^{ij} = (C_t^{ij})_{t \geq 0}$

measurable w.r.t. the optional σ -field (on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$) generated by the càdlàg (i.e. right-continuous with left-hand limits) $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted (measurable) processes.

such that

with $C_t^{ij}(\omega) = C_t^{ji}(\omega)$

$i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$

with $(C_t^{ij}(\omega))$

positively definite

$$[M^i, M^j] = \int C_s^{ij} dC_s$$

quadratic

$$\text{covariation} \stackrel{\text{result}}{=} \sum_{s \leq \cdot} \Delta M_s^i \Delta M_s^j + \underbrace{[M^{ic}, M^{jc}]}_{\text{continuous part}}$$

$$\text{cf. 11.6 } M^1 = \int b_s^1 dB_s, M^2 = \int b_s^2 dB_s \Rightarrow [M^1, M^2]_t = \int b_s^1 b_s^2 ds$$

(8)

We say that $H \in L^2(M)$ if

$$H = (H^1, \dots, H^d)$$

is predictable
with

$$\left(\text{i.e. } \{(\omega, t) : H_t(\omega) \in B\} \in \mathcal{P} \right. \\ \left. \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \right)$$

$$E \left[\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d H_s^i c_{ij}^s H_s^j \right) dL_s \right)^{1/2} \right] < \infty \quad (2)$$

A stochastically simple integrand
is a process H of the form

$$H_t = h_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{t=0\}} + \sum_{k=1}^m h_k \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_k < t \leq \tau_{k+1}\}}, \quad t \geq 0$$

d-dimensional,
bounded $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}$ -
measurable
random vector

$0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \dots \leq \tau_{m+1}$
- stopping times

⑨

It turns out that

1) The function

$$\|H\|_{L^1(M)} = E \left[\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d H_s^i \epsilon_s^{ij} H_s^j \right) d\zeta_s \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

is a norm on $L^1(M)$ - defined via (2)

2) The set of simple integrands that belong to $L^1(M)$ is dense in $L^1(M)$ (by a monotone class argument)

For a simple integrand we define the integral $H \cdot M$ as

$$(H \cdot M)_t = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^m h_k^i (M_{t \wedge \tau_{k+1}}^i - M_{t \wedge \tau_k}^i), \quad t \geq 0$$

Note that $(H \cdot M)_t$ takes values in $\mathbb{R}$ and not in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

(10)

It turns out that

$$(*) [H \cdot M] = \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d H_s^i c_s^{ij} H_s^j \right) dL_s$$

$$\left(\text{cf. } \left[\int_0^t \phi_s b_s dB_s \right]_t = \int_0^t \phi_s^2 b_s^2 ds \right)$$

Let $H \in L^1(M)$

Then there exists a sequence (H^n) of simple integrands that converges to H in $L^1(M)$

Consider now

$$H^1 = \{ M \in \underset{\substack{\text{local} \\ \text{martingales}}}{M_{loc}} : E \left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t| \right) < \infty \}$$

$$\text{with } \|M\|_{H^1} = E \left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t| \right)$$

(Banach space, i.e. normed and complete.)
 Davis inequalities: There exists constants $0 < c < C$ such that for any $M \in H^1$ with $M_0 = 0$

$$c E([M]_0^{1/2}) \leq E \left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t| \right) \leq C E([M]_\infty^{1/2})$$

(11)

Equation (*) combined with
Davis inequalities yield that
 (H^n, M) is a Cauchy sequence
in H^1 :

- (H^n) - sequence of stoch.
simple integrands that
converges to H in $L^1(M)$, i.e.

$$\|H - H^n\|_{L^1(M)} \\ \parallel$$

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d (H_s^i - H_s^{in}) c_s^{ij} (H_s^i - H_s^{jn})\right) dG_s\right)^2\right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

⑫

• Consider now

$$\|H^n \cdot M - H^m \cdot M\|_{H^1}$$

$\parallel$

$$E\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |(H^n \cdot M)_t - (H^m \cdot M)_t|\right)$$

$\parallel$

$$E\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |((H^n - H^m) \cdot M)_t|\right)$$

Al - Davis

$$CE\left[\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d (H_s^{in} - H_s^{im}) \epsilon_s^{ij} (H_s^{in} - H_s^{im})\right) d\zeta_s\right)^{1/2}\right]$$

$$\downarrow n, m \rightarrow \infty$$

0

(13)

Hence, there exists a H^n -limit for this sequence $(H^n \cdot M)$

Thus, for $H \in L^1(M)$ the vector stochastic integral $H \cdot M$ is defined as the H^n -limit of $(H^n \cdot M)$.

Extension by localisation;
we say that $H \in L^1_{loc}(M)$ if it is predictable and if

$$\left(\int_0^{\cdot} \sum_{i,j=1}^d H_s^i c_{ij}^{\cdot} H_s^j d\zeta_s \right)^{1/2} \in A_{loc}$$

(14)

A_{loc} - process of locally integrable variation, i.e. for $A \in A_{loc}$

there exists an increasing sequence of stopping times such that

$T_n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$E(\text{Var}(A)_{T_n}) < \infty$$

$\text{Var}(A)_t(\omega)$: total variation of $s \mapsto A_s(\omega)$ on $[0, t]$

$$\left(\sup_{0 \leq t_0 < \dots < t_n \leq t} \sum_{i=1}^n |A_{t_i} - A_{t_{i-1}}| \right)$$

Let $H \in L_{loc}^2(M)$. Then there exists an increasing sequence $(T_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of stopping times such that $T_n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. and

$$E \left[\left(\int_0^{T_n} d \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d H_s^i c_s^{ij} H_s^j \right) dG_s \right)^2 \right] < \infty.$$

(15)

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$H\Delta_{[0, \tau_n]} \in L^1(M).$$

Furthermore, there exists a unique process $H \cdot M$ such that

$$(H \cdot M)^{\tau_n} = (H\Delta_{[0, \tau_n]}) \cdot M, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (**)$$

where $H \cdot M$ does not depend on the choice of the localizing sequence $(\tau_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Definition: For $H \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(M)$ the process $H \cdot M$ that satisfies $(**)$ is called the vector stochastic integral of H w.r.t. M .

We have $H \cdot M \in M_{\text{loc}}$ and

$$[H \cdot M] = \int_0^\cdot \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d H_s^i c_s^{ij} H_s^j \right) d\langle S \rangle_s$$

2 Integral with respect to a process of finite variation

For $A \in \mathcal{V}^d$ there exists an adapted, increasing process C and an optional process a^i , $i=1, \dots, d$ such that

$$A^i = \int_0^\cdot a_s^i dC_s$$

We say that predictable

$$H = (H^1, \dots, H^d) \text{ is in } L_{\text{var}}(A)$$

if for any $t \geq 0$

$$\int_0^t \left| \sum_{i=1}^d H_s^i a_s^i \right| dC_s < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

($L_{\text{var}}(A)$ does not depend on the choice of (a^i) and C)

For $H \in L_{\text{var}}(A)$ the vector stochastic integral is defined by

$$H \cdot A = \int_0^\cdot \sum_{i=1}^d H_s^i a_s^i dC_s$$

$$(H \cdot A \in \mathcal{V}, \text{Var}(H \cdot A) = \int_0^\cdot \left| \sum_{i=1}^d H_s^i a_s^i \right| dC_s)$$

Integral with respect to a semimartingale

(17)

We denote the just defined integral w.r.t. $M \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}^d$ by

$(M)H \cdot M$ and the integral w.r.t. $A \in \mathcal{V}^d$ (d -dim. BL-processes) by $(S)H \cdot A$.

Definition: Let X be a d -dimensional semimartingale. A process H is X -integrable if there exists a decomposition $X = A + M$ with

$A \in \mathcal{V}^d$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}^d$

such that $H \in L_{loc}(A) \cap L_{loc}^1(M)$.

In this case, the vector stochastic integral is defined by

$$H \cdot X = (S)H \cdot A + (M)H \cdot M.$$

The space of X -integrable processes is denoted by $L(X)$.

Remarks

(18)

- For $Z \in \mathcal{V}^d \cap \mathcal{M}_{coc}^d$ and
$$H \in L_{var}(Z) \cap L_{loc}^1(Z) \Rightarrow (LS)H \cdot Z = (M)H \cdot Z$$
- If $H \in L(X)$ then it may not belong to $L_{var}(A) \cap L_{loc}^1(M)$ for all the decompositions $X = A + M$ with $A \in \mathcal{V}^d$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{coc}^d$.
- However, if $X = A + M = A' + M'$ with $A, A' \in \mathcal{V}^d$, $M, M' \in \mathcal{M}_{coc}^d$ and $H \in L_{var}(A) \cap L_{loc}^1(M) \cap L_{var}(A') \cap L_{loc}^1(M')$ Then
$$(LS)H \cdot A + (M)H \cdot M = (LS)H \cdot A' + (M')H \cdot M'$$
so that the integral is well-defined.
- Linearity in X holds
$$H \cdot (\alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_2 X_2) = \alpha_1 (H \cdot X_1) + \alpha_2 (H \cdot X_2)$$

(19)

linearity in H as well

$$(\alpha_1 H_1 + \alpha_2 H_2) \cdot X = \alpha_1 (H_1 \cdot X) + \alpha_2 (H_2 \cdot X)$$

etc.

E.g. $\{H \cdot X : H \in L(X)\}$ is closed
(with Energy
topology)

(20)

Application of FTAP I

$(\tilde{S}_u, \dots, \tilde{S}_n) - Q\text{-martingale}$

$$\tilde{P}_t = S_t^0 E_Q\left(\frac{C}{S_T^0} \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

C -new claim

$$\tilde{P}_t = E_Q\left(\frac{C}{S_T^0} \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right), \quad \text{by the tower property, we have } \forall t \leq s$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_Q(\tilde{P}_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s) &= E_Q(E_Q(\frac{C}{S_T^0} \mid \mathcal{F}_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s) \\ &= E_Q(\frac{C}{S_T^0} \mid \mathcal{F}_s) = \tilde{P}_s \end{aligned}$$

$\Downarrow$

$(\tilde{S}_u, \dots, \tilde{S}_n, \tilde{P}_t) - Q\text{-martingale}$
 (note that Q is fixed in the first line)

Thus, for all equivalent Q -martingale measures

$S_t^0 E_Q\left(\frac{C}{S_T^0} \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right)$ yields an arbitrage free pricing rate.