

# Martingales in Financial Mathematics: Static and semi-static hedging

Week 9, 2025

We assume a risk-neutral Black–Scholes setting, i.e. we have a risk-less bond with price process  $B_t = e^{rt}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $r > 0$  and a risky asset with a price process satisfying

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t,$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  and  $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a standard Brownian motion (with respect to  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

## Exercise 1: Forward price

Recall that a forward contract is an agreement to pay a specified delivery price  $k$  at a maturity date  $T \geq 0$  for the asset whose price at time  $t$  is  $S_t$ .

The  $T$ -forward price  $F_{t,T}$  of this asset at time  $t \in [0, T]$  is the value of  $k$  that makes the forward contract have no-arbitrage price zero at time  $t$ . Describe the process  $F_{t,T}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ .

## Exercise 2: European put-call symmetry

Show that in the Black–Scholes case the European put-call symmetry holds, which can be expressed by the property that for arbitrary  $k \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[(F_{t,T} \eta_{t,T} - k)_+ | \mathcal{F}_t] &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[(F_{t,T} - k \eta_{t,T})_+ | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \frac{k}{F_{t,T}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\left(\frac{F_{t,T}^2}{k} - F_{t,T} \eta_{t,T}\right)_+ | \mathcal{F}_t\right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta_{t,T} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t) + \sigma(W_T - W_t)}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , so that  $F_{t,T} \eta_t = S_T$  under  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. we obtain a relation between European call and put prices (often this relation is only formulated for  $t = 0$ , i.e. for the random variable  $S_T$ ).

Hint: Write the Black–Scholes formulas in terms of  $F_{t,T}$ .

## Exercise 3: Semi-static hedge of a down-and-out call

Semi-static hedging strategies are often defined to be replicating strategies where trading is no more needed than two times after inception. Assume that there is a barrier  $H$ , a strike  $k$  satisfying  $H < k$ , where  $S_0 > H$ , and assume that there are no carrying costs. The down-and-out call is knocked-out if  $H$  is hit any time before maturity. Otherwise pays  $(S_T - k)_+$ , i.e.

$$X_{\text{doc}} = (S_T - k)_+ \mathbb{1}_{S_t > H, \forall t \in [0, T]}.$$

Assume that European calls and puts are available for arbitrary strikes. Use the European put-call symmetry in order to derive a semi-static hedging strategy (use without proof that the put-call symmetry from Exercise 2 also holds for  $[0, T]$ -valued stopping times).

## Exercise 4: Decomposition of European payoff functions

Assume that a payoff function  $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is two times *continuously* differentiable. Show that for  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$f(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x - a) + \int_a^\infty f''(k)(x - k)_+ dk + \int_0^a f''(k)(k - x)_+ dk,$$

and give an economic interpretation.

## Exercise 5: Implicit distribution

Consider a risk-neutral setting where  $\tilde{S}_T$  is sampled from a martingale and assume for simplicity that the strictly positive random variable  $S_T$  is absolutely continuous with continuous density  $q$ . Show that either the prices of European calls or European puts for arbitrary strikes uniquely determine the distribution of  $S_T$ .<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>This result is obviously interesting in its own right but has also some consequences for the characterisation of random variables satisfying European put-call symmetry.