

**Question 1: Error component model**

Consider a mixture model with utility function  $U_{in}$  of individual  $n$  choosing alternative  $i \in \mathcal{C}_n$  written as:

$$U_{in} = V_{in} + \xi_{in} + \nu_{in},$$

where  $V_{in}$  is the systematic component of the utility,  $\nu_{in} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} EV(0, \mu)$ , and  $\xi_n$  follows a distribution characterized by the probability density function  $f(\xi)$ . The conditional probability  $P_n(i|\xi_n)$  on  $\xi_n$  is given as:

$$P_n(i|\xi_n) = \frac{e^{\mu(V_{in} + \xi_{in})}}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}_n} e^{\mu(V_{jn} + \xi_{jn})}}.$$

The choice probability  $P_n(i)$  that  $n$  chooses  $i$  is formulated as:

$$P_n(i) = \int_{\xi} P_n(i|\xi) f(\xi) d\xi, \quad (1)$$

where  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{J_n}$ , and  $J_n$  is the number of alternatives in  $\mathcal{C}_n$ . The integral is calculated on the domain where each component  $\xi_i$  of  $\xi$  ranges from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$ .

1. What are the advantages of the mixture model compared to the logit model?
2. The integral involved in (1) has no closed form and is usually difficult to calculate with numerical integration (quadrature), especially when  $J_n$  is large. In that case,  $P_n(i)$  must be approximated. How? Explain the approach, and provide the formula for the approximation.

**Question 2: Heteroscedasticity and random parameters**

Consider a transportation mode choice model with the choice set  $\mathcal{C}_n = \{\text{car, bus, rail}\}, \forall n$ . The specification of the logit model is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\text{car},n} &= \text{ASC}_{\text{car}} + \beta_{\text{time}} \text{time}_{\text{car},n} + \varepsilon_{\text{car},n}, \\ U_{\text{bus},n} &= \text{ASC}_{\text{bus}} + \beta_{\text{time}} \text{time}_{\text{bus},n} + \varepsilon_{\text{bus},n}, \\ U_{\text{rail},n} &= \beta_{\text{time}} \text{time}_{\text{rail},n} + \varepsilon_{\text{rail},n}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{ASC}_i$  is the alternative specific constant of mode  $i$ ,  $\text{time}_{i,n}$  is the travel time of mode  $i$  for individual  $n$ , and  $\varepsilon_{i,n} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{EV}(0, \mu)$ . We call this model the **base model**, that involves three unknown parameters:  $\text{ASC}_{\text{car}}$ ,  $\text{ASC}_{\text{bus}}$  and  $\beta_{\text{time}}$ .

1. Because of the i.i.d. assumption, the variances of the utility functions are identical across alternatives. We would like to relax this assumption, and allow the variance of the utility functions to vary across alternatives. Modify the base model to achieve this objective. Provide the specification of the new model and enumerate the unknown parameters involved.
2. The taste parameter for travel time is captured by the parameter  $\beta_{\text{time}}$ , that is the same for all individuals in the population. We would like to relax this assumption, and capture the heterogeneity of taste within the population. But we do not have access to relevant socio-economic characteristics. Modify the base model to achieve this objective. Provide the specification of the new model and enumerate the unknown parameters involved.
3. Because of the i.i.d. assumption, the error terms of the utility functions are independent across alternatives. We would like to relax this assumption, and capture a possible correlation between bus and rail (because they are both public transportation alternatives) and a possible correlation between car and bus (because the two modes use the road network and are both subject to congestion). Modify the base model to achieve this objective. Provide the specification of the new model and enumerate the unknown parameters involved.