

NIPS Section 7.3

□ Recall that the stability function of a RK method is

$$R(B) = 1 + B b^T (I - BA)^{-1} \eta \quad \text{where } B = hA$$

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \vdots \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

Recall the Neumann series expansion, for $B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$

$$(I - B)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B^k \quad \text{provided that } \|B\| < 1$$

Applying this result to $B = BA$, we obtain

$$R(B) = 1 + B b^T (I + BA + O(B^2)) \eta$$

$$\text{provided that } \|BA\| < 1 \quad \left(h < \frac{1}{\|A\|} \right)$$

$$R(B) = 1 + B b^T \eta + B^2 b^T A \eta + O(B^3). \quad \text{Then}$$

$$R(B) = 1 + B + \frac{1}{2} B^2 + O(B^3)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} b^T \eta = 1 & \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n b_i = 1 & \text{Order 1} \\ b^T A \eta = \frac{1}{2} & \rightarrow \sum_{(i,j)=1}^n b_i a_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} & \text{Order 2} \end{cases}$$

order conditions

[2] Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $x \in [-1, 1]$, define

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos(x))$$

i) Denoting $\varphi = \arccos(x)$

$$\text{for } n=0 \quad T_0(x) = \cos(0) = 1$$

$$n=1 \quad T_1(x) = \cos(\varphi) = x$$

Recall that $2\cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta) = \cos(\alpha+\beta) + \cos(\alpha-\beta)$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\cos(\varphi)\cos((n-1)\varphi) &= \cos((n-1)+1)\varphi + \cos((n-1)-1)\varphi \\ &= \cos(n\varphi) + \cos((n-2)\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

By definition, $2x T_{n-1}(x) = T_n(x) + T_{n-2}(x)$

$$T_n(x) = 2x T_{n-1}(x) - T_{n-2}(x)$$

$$\text{ii) } T_n\left(\cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{n}\right)\right) = \cos(k\pi) = (-1)^k \quad k=0, \dots, n$$

$$= \cos\left(n \arccos\left(\cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{n}\right)\right)\right)$$

$$T_n\left(\cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2n}\right)\right) = \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2}\right) = 0 \quad k=0, \dots, n-1$$

$$\text{iii)} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{T_n(x) T_n(x) dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \quad (1) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= \cos(t) \\ dx &= -\sin(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\sqrt{1 - \cos^2(t)} = \sin(t)$$

ii) Becomes

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\pi}^0 \cos(nt) \cos(nt) dt &= \int_0^{\pi} \cos(nt) \cos(nt) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \cos((n+n)t) + \cos((n-n)t) dt \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

• If $n \neq n \neq 0$, then (2) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n+n} \sin((n+n)t) + \frac{1}{n-n} \sin((n-n)t) \right) \Bigg|_0^{\pi} = 0$$

• If $n = n \neq 0$, then (2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} (\cos(2nt) + 1) dt &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sin(2nt) + t \right) \Bigg|_0^{\pi} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$$

• If $a = b = 0$, then (2) becomes

$$\int_0^\pi 1 \, dt = \pi$$

Conclusion :

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{T_n(x) T_n(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \, dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \neq n \\ \frac{\pi}{2} & \text{if } n = n \neq 0 \\ \pi & \text{if } n = n = 0 \end{cases}$$

iv) We prove by induction that

$$T_n'(x) = n^2 (1) \quad T_n''(x) = \frac{1}{3} n^2 (n^2 - 1) \quad (2)$$

Initialization :

$$\begin{aligned} n = 0 \quad T_0(x) = 1 &\Rightarrow T_0'(x) = 0 = T_0''(x) \\ &= n^2 / n = 0 = \frac{1}{3} n^2 (n^2 - 1) \big|_{n=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n = 1 \quad T_1(x) = x \quad T_1'(x) = 1 \quad T_1''(x) = 0 \\ = n^2 / n = 1 = \frac{1}{3} n^2 (n^2 - 1) \big|_{n=1} \end{aligned}$$

Induction : Assume (1) and (2) hold for all $k \leq n-1$

$$T_n(x) = 2x T_{n-1}(x) - T_{n-2}(x)$$

$$T_n'(x) = 2 T_{n-1}(x) + 2x T_{n-1}'(x) - T_{n-2}'(x)$$

$$T_n''(x) = 4 T_{n-1}'(x) + 2x T_{n-1}''(x) - T_{n-2}''(x)$$

Then prove that $T_n(1) = 1 \quad \forall n$

$$\begin{aligned} T_n'(1) &= 2 \overbrace{T_{n-1}(1)} + 2 T_{n-1}'(1) - T_{n-2}'(1) \\ &= 2 + 2(n-1)^2 - (n-2)^2 \\ &= n^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_n''(1) &= 4(n-1)^2 + \frac{2}{3} (n-1)^2 ((n-1)^2 - 1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3} (n-2)^2 ((n-2)^2 - 1) \\ &= \frac{2}{3} n^2 (n^2 - 1) \end{aligned}$$

3 Consider the RK Chebyshev method

$$\begin{cases} g_0 = g_0 \\ g_1 = g_0 + \frac{4K}{n^2} f(g_0) \\ g_i = \frac{24K}{n^2} f(g_{i-1}) + 2g_{i-1} - g_{i-2} & i = 2, \dots, n \\ g_1 = g_n \end{cases}$$

i) We show that $g_i = T_i \left(1 + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{\rho^2} \right) g_0$

To simplify the notation, let $\lambda = 1 + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{\rho^2}$

Initiation:

$$g_0 = g_0 = \underbrace{T_0(\lambda)}_1 g_0$$

$$g_1 = \left(1 + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{\rho^2} \right) g_0 = T_1(\lambda) g_0$$

Induction:

$$g_i = \frac{2 \Delta t}{\rho^2} \phi(g_{i-1}) + 2g_{i-1} - g_{i-2}$$

$$= 2 \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{\Delta t \lambda}{\rho^2} \right)}_{=\lambda} g_{i-1} - g_{i-2}$$

$$= (2\lambda T_{i-1}(\lambda) - T_{i-2}(\lambda)) g_0$$

$$= T_i(\lambda) g_0$$

In particular $g_1 = g_0 = T_1(\lambda) g_0$

ii) We prove that $g_i = g_0 + \Delta t \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \alpha_{i,j} \phi(g_j) \quad (*)$

where $k_i = \phi(g_i)$

$i = 1 \dots, n$

Note that if (1) holds

$$\|g_i\| = k_i = \|g_0 + \Delta t \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_{ij} p_j\|$$

is in the RK framework.

Let's prove (1) :

$$\text{Set } a_{0k} = 0 \quad \forall k$$

Initialization at $i=1$

$$g_1 = g_0 + \frac{\Delta t}{\sigma^2} \|g_0\| = g_0 + \Delta t a_{10} \|g_0\|$$

with $a_{10} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$

Induction : Assume (1) holds for all $i \leq k-1$

$$\begin{aligned} g_k &= \frac{2\Delta t}{\sigma^2} \|g_{k-1}\| + 2g_{k-1} - g_{k-2} \\ &= \frac{2\Delta t}{\sigma^2} \|g_{k-1}\| + 2 \left(g_0 + \Delta t \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} a_{k-1,j} \|g_j\| \right) \\ &\quad - \left(g_0 + \Delta t \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} a_{k-2,j} \|g_j\| \right) \\ &= g_0 + \Delta t \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{kj} \|g_j\| \end{aligned}$$

with coefficients :

$$\begin{cases} a_{k,k-1} = \frac{2}{n^2} \\ a_{k,k-2} = 2 a_{k-1,k-2} \\ a_{k,j} = 2 a_{k-1,j} - a_{k-2,j} \quad j = 0, \dots, k-3 \end{cases}$$

iii) We prove by induction that $\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_{ij} = \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^2$

Initiation for $i=1$

$$\sum_{j=0}^0 a_{1j} = a_{10} = \frac{2}{n^2} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \quad i=1$$

Induction :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{kj} &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} a_{kj} + a_{k,k-2} + a_{k,k-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} 2 a_{k-1,j} - a_{k-2,j} + 2 a_{k-1,k-2} + \frac{2}{n^2} \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} a_{k-1,j} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} a_{k-2,j} + \frac{2}{n^2} \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{k-2}{n}\right)^2 + \frac{2}{n^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{iv)} \quad g_1 &= g_n = g_0 + \Delta t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_n j \, b(j g_j) \\
 &= g_0 + \Delta t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j h_j
 \end{aligned}$$

where $b_j = a_n j \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1$

Note that $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_n j = \left(\frac{0}{n}\right)^2 = 1$

Order 2 condition satisfied

v) Consider the error $g_1 - g(\Delta t)$ for the function

$b(g) = 1/g$, we know that

$$g(\Delta t) = e^{-\Delta t} g_0$$

$$g_1 = P(\Delta t) g_0 = \left(T_0(1) + \frac{\Delta t \Delta}{\Delta^2} \right) g_0$$

Therefore

$$g_1 - g(\Delta t) = \left(T_0(1) + \frac{\Delta t \Delta}{\Delta^2} - e^{-\Delta t} \right) g_0$$

$$= \left(T_0(1) + T_0'(1) \frac{\Delta t \Delta}{\Delta^2} + \frac{1}{2} T_0''(1) \left(\frac{\Delta t \Delta}{\Delta^2} \right)^2 + O(\Delta t^3) - e^{-\Delta t} \right) g_0$$

$$= \left(1 + \rho^2 \frac{\Delta t \Delta}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Delta t \Delta}{\rho^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 (\rho^2 - 1) + O(\Delta t^3) - e^{\Delta t \Delta} \right) q_0$$

$$= \left(1 + \Delta t \Delta + \frac{1}{6} \frac{(\Delta t \Delta)^2 (\rho^2 - 1)}{\rho^2} + O(\Delta t^3) - e^{\Delta t \Delta} \right) q_0$$

But $\frac{1}{6} \frac{(\rho^2 - 1)}{\rho^2} = \frac{1}{6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \right) < \frac{1}{6} < \frac{1}{2}$

Consequently, $q_1 - q(\Delta t) = O(\Delta t^2)$ and the method does not have order 2

vi) Recall from Series 2 that

$$c_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_{ij} = \left(\frac{i}{\rho} \right)^2$$

[4] i) Recall from exercise 3 that

$$q_1 = \left(1 + \frac{\Delta t \Delta}{\rho^2} \right) q_0$$

Since $|\tau_n(x)|$ exceeds 1 when $|x| > 1$, we must require that $-1 \leq 1 + \frac{\Delta t \Delta}{\rho^2} \leq 1$

$$1 + \frac{\Delta t}{\rho^2} \gg 1 \Rightarrow \Delta t \leq \frac{2\rho^2}{|H|}$$

Hence, increasing ρ extends the stability region!

(i) If Δt is fixed $\rho \geq \left\lceil \sqrt{\frac{\Delta t |H|}{2}} \right\rceil$

where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is the ceil function

(ii) Number of time steps?

assuming that Δt is the largest possible for each method while remaining stable

For explicit Euler: $\Delta t_e = \frac{2}{|H|} \Rightarrow N_e = \frac{T}{\Delta t_e} = \frac{T|H|}{2}$

For RK method: $\Delta t_c = \frac{2\rho^2}{|H|} \Rightarrow N_c = \frac{T}{\Delta t_c} = \frac{T|H|}{2\rho^2}$

steps

Number of function evaluations?

Explicit Euler $y_1 = y_0 + \Delta t f(y_0)$

$\rightarrow$ 1 function evaluation

$$\cdot \text{ RKC} \quad y_1 = y_0 = y^0 + \Delta t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_n y^j f(y^j)$$

→ 1 function evaluations

Conclusion : Total number of evaluations :

$$\cdot \text{ Explicit Euler : } 1. N_c = \frac{T/\Delta t}{2}$$

$$\cdot \text{ RKC : } 1. N_c = \frac{T/\Delta t}{2.5} \leq \frac{T/\Delta t}{2}$$

Conclusion : even though the RKC method requires more function evaluations in each step, it is still cheaper than Explicit Euler due to its larger step size.