

ANSWER SHEET 11

Assignment 1. (i). $X^T X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \begin{pmatrix} x_1^T \\ \vdots \\ x_n^T \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T = X_{-k}^T X_{-k} + x_k x_k^T$.

(ii). (a) It suffices to verify that

$$(A + uv^T) \left[B - \frac{Buv^T B}{1 + v^T B u} \right] = I,$$

where we denote $B = A^{-1}$ to simplify notation. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (A + uv^T) \left[B - \frac{Buv^T B}{1 + v^T B u} \right] &= I - \frac{uv^T B}{1 + v^T B u} + uv^T B - \frac{u\{v^T B u\} v^T B}{1 + v^T B u} \\ &= I + uv^T B - \frac{uv^T B}{1 + v^T B u} (1 + v^T B u) \\ &= I. \end{aligned}$$

We used that $AB = I$, and that the expression $\{v^T B u\}$ is a scalar and thus commutes with any matrix.

(b) Write $C = X^T X$. and use (a) :

$$\begin{aligned} (X_{-k}^T X_{-k})^{-1} &= (C - x_k x_k^T)^{-1} \\ &= C^{-1} + \frac{C^{-1} x_k x_k^T C^{-1}}{1 - x_k^T C^{-1} x_k} \\ &= \left(I + \frac{C^{-1} x_k x_k^T}{1 - h_{kk}} \right) C^{-1} \\ &= \left(I + \frac{(X^T X)^{-1} x_k x_k^T}{1 - h_{kk}} \right) (X^T X)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $x_k^T C^{-1} x_k = (X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T)_{k,k} = h_{kk}$.

(iii). Recall that $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$ with $y_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $e = (e_1, \dots, e_n)^T$ is the residual vector.

(a) $X^T y = (x_1, \dots, x_n) y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = X_{-k}^T y + x_k y_k$.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} x_k^T (X^T X)^{-1} X_{-k}^T y &= x_k^T (X^T X)^{-1} (X^T y - x_k y_k) \\ &= \hat{y}_k - h_{kk} y_k \\ &= y_k - e_k - h_{kk} y_k \\ &= (1 - h_{kk}) y_k - e_k. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{-k} &= \left(\sum_{i \neq k} x_i x_i^T \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i \neq k} x_i y_i \right) \\ &= (X_{-k}^T X_{-k})^{-1} X_{-k}^T y \\ &= \left(I + \frac{(X^T X)^{-1} x_k x_k^T}{1 - h_{kk}} \right) (X^T X)^{-1} X_{-k}^T y \\ &= (X^T X)^{-1} (X^T y - y_k x_k) + (1 - h_{kk})^{-1} (X^T X)^{-1} x_k x_k^T (X^T X)^{-1} X_{-k}^T y \end{aligned}$$

and using (b),

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{-k} &= \hat{\beta} - (X^T X)^{-1} x_k y_k + (1 - h_{kk})^{-1} (X^T X)^{-1} x_k [(1 - h_{kk}) y_k - e_k] \\ &= \hat{\beta} - (1 - h_{kk})^{-1} e_k (X^T X)^{-1} x_k.\end{aligned}$$

(iv). We have

$$\hat{y} - \hat{y}_{-k} = X \hat{\beta} - X \hat{\beta}_{-k} = X (\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}_{-k}) = e_k (1 - h_{kk})^{-1} X (X^T X)^{-1} x_k,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{y} - \hat{y}_{-k}\|^2 &= (\hat{y} - \hat{y}_{-k})^T (\hat{y} - \hat{y}_{-k}) \\ &= e_k^2 (1 - h_{kk})^{-2} x_k^T (X^T X)^{-1} (X^T X) (X^T X)^{-1} x_k = e_k^2 (1 - h_{kk})^{-2} h_{kk}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, recall that $r_k = \frac{e_k}{s\sqrt{1-h_{kk}}}$.

Assignment 2. We need to calculate the F_k 's defined in slide 406 :

	df	decrease in RSS	MS	F	p -value
x_4	1	$\text{RSS}_0 - \text{RSS}_4 = 1831.9$	1831.9	$(1831.9/5.98) = 306.3$	10^{-7}
x_3	1	$\text{RSS}_4 - \text{RSS}_{34} = 708.2$	708.2	118.4	10^{-6}
x_2	1	$\text{RSS}_{34} - \text{RSS}_{234} = 101.89$	101.89	17.04	0.003
x_1	1	$\text{RSS}_{234} - \text{RSS}_{1234} = 25.95$	25.95	4.3	0.07
résidus	8	47.86	5.98		

The residual degrees of freedom is $n - p = 13 - 5 = 8$ and each difference of RSS has one degree of freedom, as we add one variable at a time. For the F -test we use the quantiles of $F_{1,8}$ distribution, and if the p -value is smaller than $\alpha = 0.05$ we add the variable to the model. The results are very different from those in slide 407. Here we include the variables x_4 , x_3 and x_2 at level $\alpha = 0.05$, and even x_1 at level 0.1. In slide 407 the model only included x_1 and x_2 . We see that the order matters in an analysis of variance.

Assignment 3. a) To decide whether to include the j -th variable or not in the model $y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i \in L} \beta_i x_i$ we use the test statistic

$$F = \frac{\text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_L) - \text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_{L \cup \{j\}})}{\text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_{\text{full}})/(13 - 5)},$$

where $\hat{\beta}_{\text{full}}$ is the estimator of β in the complete model. Since $\text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_L) - \text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_{L \cup \{j\}}) \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_1$ under the null hypothesis $H_0 : \beta_j = 0$, and $\text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_{\text{full}}) \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{n-p}$ is independent of $\text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_L) - \text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_{L \cup \{j\}})$, we know that $F \sim F_{1,8}$ under H_0 . In particular, the distribution of F does not depend on the size of L , and the critical value of the F -test at 5% is always 5.32.

Forward selection At each step we consider adding the variable that leads to the largest decrease of RSS.

- Initial model : $y = \beta_0 + \epsilon$
- Step 1 : $y = \beta_0 + \beta_4 x_4 + \epsilon$, $F = \frac{2715.8 - 883.9}{47.9/(13-5)} = 305.95 > 5.32$.
- Step 2 : $y = \beta_0 + \beta_4 x_4 + \beta_1 x_1 + \epsilon$, $F = 135.13 > 5.32$.
- Step 3 : $y = \beta_0 + \beta_4 x_4 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$, $F = 4.47 < 5.32$.

We choose the model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_4 x_4 + \beta_1 x_1 + \epsilon$.

Backward selection At each step we consider removing the variable that would lead to the smallest increase in RSS.

- Initial model : $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 + \epsilon$
- Step 1 : $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_4 x_4 + \epsilon$, $F = \frac{48-47.9}{47.9/(13-5)} = 0.0167 < 5.32$.
- Step 2 : $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$, $F = 1.65 < 5.32$.
- Step 3 : $y = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$, $F = 141.70 > 5.32$.

We choose the model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_1 x_1 + \epsilon$.

b) i) One uses Mallows' C_p like AIC : choose the model with the smallest value of C_p . In order to calculate the missing C_p values, we need to find s^2 . This can be done using any model for which C_p is given. Alternatively, we can use it's very definition :

$$s^2 = \frac{\|e_{\text{full}}\|^2}{n-p} = \frac{\text{RSS}_{\text{full}}}{13-5} = \frac{47.9}{8} = 5.99.$$

Here is the table with all C_p values :

model	RSS	C_p	model	RSS	C_p	model	RSS	C_p
---	2715.8	442.58	1 2 - -	57.9	2.67	1 2 3 -	48.1	3.03
			1 - 3 -	1227.1	197.94	1 2 - 4	48.0	3.02
1 - - -	1265.7	202.39	1 - - 4	74.8	5.49	1 - 3 4	50.8	3.48
- 2 - -	906.3	142.37	- 2 3 -	415.4	62.38	- 2 3 4	73.8	7.325
- - 3 -	1939.4	314.90	- 2 - 4	868.9	138.12			
- - - 4	883.9	138.62	- - 3 4	175.7	22.34	1 2 3 4	47.9	5

ii) With forward selection, we choose the model $y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i \in \{1,2,4\}} \beta_i x_i$. With backward selection we choose the model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$. This is also the model with the smallest value of C_p .

Assignment 4.

For the Gaussian linear model $y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$, the likelihood of (β, σ^2) is given by

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - X\beta)^t(y - X\beta)\right).$$

Then the log likelihood is

$$l(\beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - X\beta)^t(y - X\beta).$$

We have that the m.l.e. for β and σ^2 are

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t y, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n}(y - X\hat{\beta})^t(y - X\hat{\beta}).$$

Hence the maximum for the likelihood is achieved at

$$l(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\hat{\sigma}^2) - \frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}^2} \underbrace{(y - X\hat{\beta})^t(y - X\hat{\beta})}_{=n\hat{\sigma}^2} = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log \hat{\sigma}^2 - \frac{n}{2}.$$

By definition of AIC, we obtain that

$$\text{AIC} = -2l(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) + 2p = n \log(2\pi) + n \log \hat{\sigma}^2 + n + 2p = n \log \hat{\sigma}^2 + 2p + \text{const.}$$

Assignment 5.

We have that

$$\hat{\beta}_{-j} = \hat{\beta} - \frac{(y_j - \hat{y}_j) (X^t X)^{-1} x_j}{1 - h_{jj}}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_j^t \hat{\beta}_{-j} &= x_j^t \hat{\beta} - (1 - h_{jj})^{-1} x_j^t (X^t X)^{-1} x_j (y_j - \hat{y}_j) \\ &= \hat{y}_j - \frac{h_{jj}}{1 - h_{jj}} (y_j - \hat{y}_j) \\ &= \hat{y}_j + \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 - h_{jj}}\right) (y_j - \hat{y}_j) \\ &= \hat{y}_j + y_j - \hat{y}_j - \frac{1}{1 - h_{jj}} (y_j - \hat{y}_j) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$y_j - x_j^t \hat{\beta}_{-j} = \frac{1}{1 - h_{jj}} (y_j - \hat{y}_j).$$

If we use formula (1), we have to estimate all the $\hat{\beta}_{-j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, hence proceed to n adjustements. Instead formula (2), only the fitting of the full model is required.