



# Continuous time Markov Chains

Thomas Mountford

EPFL

April 12, 2021

## Why Exponential

We will study stochastic processes indexed by continuous time  $t \geq 0$ ,  $(X_t)_{\geq 0}$ . We want that for  $A$  an event depending on  $X_s : s \geq t$  that

$$\mathbb{P}(A | X_u : 0 \leq u \leq t) = \mathbb{P}(A | X_t = i) = \mathbb{P}_i(A_t)$$

where  $A_t$  is the event  $A$  shifted leftwards by  $t$  temporally. That is if  $A$  is based on  $X_{t+u} : u \geq 0$ , then  $A_t$  is based on  $X_u : u \geq 0$ . Let us consider a Markov chain beginning at site  $i$  and let  $A = \{X_u = i \leq u \leq t + s\}$ . Let  $\tau = \inf\{u \geq 0 : X_u \neq i\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}_i(\tau \geq t + s | \tau \geq t) =$

$$\mathbb{P}_i(A | X_u = i : 0 \leq u \leq t) = \mathbb{P}_i(A_t) = \mathbb{P}_i(\tau \geq s)$$

This gives

$$\mathbb{P}_i(\tau \geq t + s) = \mathbb{P}_i(\tau \geq t) \mathbb{P}_i(\tau \geq s)$$

This is the same as

$$\log(\mathbb{P}_i(\tau \geq t + s)) = \log(\mathbb{P}_i(\tau \geq t)) + \log(\mathbb{P}_i(\tau \geq s)).$$

which leads to the existence of a constant (depending on  $i, q_i$  so that

$$\forall t > 0 \ \log(\mathbb{P}_i(\tau \geq t)) = -q_i t$$

That is, under  $\mathbb{P}_i$ ,  $\tau$  is  $\text{Exp}(q_i)$ . This is with slight abuse of notation:  $q_i$  could be both 0 or  $\infty$ .

## Exponentials: A review.

If  $W$  is  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ , then

- $E(W) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$
- density of  $W$  is  $\lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  on  $x > 0$ .
- $P(W \in (t, t + dt | W > t) = \lambda dt + o(dt)$  Equally  $W - t \stackrel{D}{=} W$  given  $W > t$ .

The last property is called the memoryless property

### Theorem

Let  $I$  be a countable set and for  $k \in I$  let  $S_k$  be independent  $\text{Exp}(q_k)$  with  $\sum_j q_j < \infty$  random variables. If  $S = \inf_k S_k$ , then

- $S$  is achieved by a single  $S_k$
- $P(S = S_k) = \frac{q_k}{q}$  where  $q = \sum_j q_j$
- $S$  is  $\text{Exp}(q)$  and
- r.v.  $K$  on  $I$  defined by  $K = k$  iff  $S = S_k$  is independent of  $S$ .

## Proof

Let  $A_k$  be the event that  $S_k > S_j \forall j \neq k$ . We integrate over the density for  $S_k$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_k) &= \int_0^\infty q_k e^{-q_k t} P(A_k | S_k = t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty q_k e^{-q_k t} \prod_{j \neq k} e^{-q_j t} dt = \\ &= \int_0^\infty q_k \prod_j e^{-q_j t} dt = \frac{q_k}{q} \end{aligned}$$

Note that as  $\sum_k \frac{q_k}{q} = 1$  all other possibilities have probability zero. It remains to give the distribution of  $S$  and show independence of  $K$ . This amounts to showing that  $\forall t > 0$ ,

$$P(K = k, S > t) = P(K = k)P(S > t) = \frac{q_k}{q} P(S > t) = \frac{q_k}{q} e^{-qt}$$

But  $S > t$  is exactly the event  $S_j > t$  for each  $j$  which has probability

# Explosions

## Theorem

Let  $S_k k \geq 1$  be independent  $\text{Exp}(\lambda_k)$  r.v.s.

If  $\sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} < \infty$ , then  $\sum S_k < \infty$

If  $\sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k} = \infty$ , then  $\sum S_k = \infty$  a.s

## Poisson processes

In the book a Poisson process is a Markov process on  $\mathcal{N}$ . It is derived from a given sequence of i.i.d.  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  random variables  $S_k$ . The parameter  $\lambda$  is called *the rate* of the process. Typically (but not always) the process starts from 0. If  $X_0 = i$ , then for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$X_t = i + \sup\{k : S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_k \leq t\}$$

Note that a Poisson process starting at  $i$  is just a Poisson process starting at 0 with  $i$  added to it.

### Theorem

For  $(X_s)_{s \geq 0}$  and  $t > 0$ , given  $X_u$   $0 \leq u \leq t$ ,

$$(Y_s)_{s \geq 0} \equiv (X_{t+s})_{s \geq 0}$$

is a rate  $\lambda$  Poisson process starting at  $X_t$ .

## Proof

We suppose (only to fix notation) that  $X_0 = 0$  and that  $X(t) = i$ . Given  $X_u, 0 \leq u \leq t$ , we know the values of  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_i$  and that  $S_{i+1} > t$ . The variables  $S_k : k > i + 1$  are independent of  $X_u, 0 \leq u \leq t$ . Let us write for  $k \geq 1$

- $\tilde{S}_k = S_{k+i}$  for  $k > 1$
- $\tilde{S}_1 = S_{i+1} - t$

Then we have that conditional upon  $X_u, 0 \leq u \leq t$  the variables  $\tilde{S}$  are i.i.d.  $\mathcal{Exp}(\lambda)$  and

$$X_{t+r} = i + \sup\{k : \tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{S}_2 + \dots + \tilde{S}_k \leq r\}$$

We will see that it is typically hard to calculate for a Markov chain  $P_{ij}(t) \equiv \mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i)$ . However the Poisson process is special in that this is doable. We need only treat  $i = 0$ . The event that  $X_t < j$  is simply the event that

$$S_1 + S_2 + \cdots + S_j > t$$

for  $S_i$  i.i.d.  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . As is well known (and easily shown) the law of  $S_1 + S_2 + \cdots + S_j$  is a Gamma distribution with parameter  $j$  and  $\lambda$ . So r.v.  $S_1 + S_2 + \cdots + S_j$  has density

$$\frac{1}{(j-1)!} \lambda^j s^{j-1} e^{-\lambda s}$$

So  $P(S_1 + S_2 + \cdots + S_j > t) =$

$$\int_t^{\infty} \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \lambda^j s^{j-1} e^{-\lambda s} ds.$$

This via a succession of integration by parts becomes

$$e^{-\lambda t} + \lambda t e^{-\lambda t} \dots + \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \lambda^{j-1} t^{j-1} e^{-\lambda t}$$

So  $P_{0j}(t) = P(X(t) = j) = P(S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_j + S_{j+1} > t) - P(S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_j > t) =$

$$\frac{\lambda^j t^j}{j!} e^{-\lambda t}$$

Equally if  $X(0) = 0$ , then  $X(t)$  has the law of a Poisson( $\lambda t$ )

### 3 EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS

We give three equivalent conditions for a cadlag process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with initial value 0 on the positive integers to be a rate  $\lambda$  Poisson process.

#### Theorem

For  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ , the following are the same

(i)  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is constructed via an i.i.d sequence of  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  random variables, as above.

(ii)  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  has independent increments and as

$h \rightarrow 0$ ,  $P(X_{t+h} = X_t) = 1 - \lambda h + o(h)$  and

$P(X_{t+h} = X_t + 1) = \lambda h + o(h)$ .

(iii)  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  has stationary independent increments and the increment of each interval of length  $t$  is a  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$ .

Here independent increments means that

$$\forall n \forall 0 < t_1 < t_2 \cdots < t_n, (X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}})$$

are independent. Stationary means that

$\forall s, t > 0, X(t+s) - X(t) = X(s) - X(0)$  in distribution.

## (i) is same as (iii)

In fact we already have  $(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$  so it remains to show that (iii) implies (i). This is immediate, modulo a measure theory fact for cadlag processes. Given property (iii), we know that for each  $n$  and each  $0 < t_1 < t_2 \cdots < t_n$

$$P(X_{t_1} = i_1, X_{t_2} = i_2 \cdots X_{t_n} = i_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n P(\text{Poisson}(\lambda(t_j - t_{j-1})) = i_j - i_{j-1})$$

This implies that our (iii) process has the same finite dimensional distributions as (i). A basic result in measure theory for cadlag processes asserts that therefore the laws of (iii) and (i) are identical.

## (ii) is same as (iii)

Firstly (iii) implies (ii): (iii) ensures independent increments and as  $h$  becomes small the probabilities for  $X_{t+h} = X_t$  or  $X_{t+h} = X_t + 1$  are simply properties of  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda h)$  random variables. It remains to show that (ii) implies that the increments are Poisson. Fix  $t_{i-1} < t_i$  and divide up  $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$  into  $n$  equal intervals of length  $(t_i - t_{i-1})/n$ . As  $n$  becomes large  $h = (t_i - t_{i-1})/n$  becomes small. By independent increments, the events  $X(t_{i-1} + i(t_i - t_{i-1})/n) \neq X(t_{i-1} + (i-1)(t_i - t_{i-1})/n)$  for  $i \geq 1$  are independent and have probability  $\lambda h + o(h)$ . So we have by usual probability argument

$$\text{number } i : X(t_{i-1} + i(t_i - t_{i-1})/n) \neq X(t_{i-1} + (i-1)(t_i - t_{i-1})/n)$$

converges in distribution to a Poisson ( $\lambda(t_i - t_{i-1})$ ). But the second condition implies that with probability tending to one as  $n$  tends to infinity, the above random variable is equal to  $X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1})$ . We are done.

# Three Theorems

## Theorem

For  $0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < \infty$ , let  $(X_i(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be independent Poisson processes of rates  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  respectively. Then

- $X(t) = X_1(t) + X_2(t)$  is a rate  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$  Poisson process
- Given  $X(u) \quad 0 \leq u \leq t$  (that is knowing  $X(t) - X(0) = n$  and the jump times  $0 < t_1 < t_2 \cdots t_n < t$ , each jump time belongs to  $X_i$  with probability  $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$  independently of the others.

# Three Theorems

## Theorem

Let  $(X(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a rate  $\lambda$  Poisson process and let  $I_j : j \geq 1$  be i.i.d.

Bernoulli ( $p$ ) random variables independent of  $X(.)$ . If  $0 < t_1 < t_2 \dots$  are the jump times of  $X$  and  $Y, Z$  are constructed via  $X$  and the  $I_j : j \geq 1$  by

$Y(t) = |\{j : t_j \leq t \text{ and } I_j = 1\}|$  and

$Z(t) = |\{j : t_j \leq t \text{ and } I_j = 0\}|$ , then

$Y$  and  $Z$  are independent Poisson processes of rates  $\lambda p$  and  $\lambda(1 - p)$  respectively.

# Three Theorems

## Theorem

For  $(X(t))_{t \geq 0}$  a rate  $\lambda$  Poisson process starting at 0 , then given that  $X(t) = n$ , the jump times of  $X$  on  $(0, t)$  are i.i.d.  $U([0, 1])$  random variables ordered.