

## Solution Sheet n°11

### Solution of exercise 1:

We extend  $F$  to  $\tilde{F}$  by adding a finite number of formulae necessary to show the properties of  $V_\alpha$  and of the relation " $M \models \varphi$ " of satisfaction for sets. Let  $\varphi$  be the conjunction of the formulae of  $\tilde{F}$  and let  $\psi : \exists \alpha (V_\alpha \models \varphi)$ . Since  $\Gamma$  extends  $ZF$ ,  $ZF$  proves the reflexion theorem (Ex 2 Sheet 10), and  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ , it follows that  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ .

We now show that if  $F \vdash \psi$ , then  $\Gamma$  is inconsistent. Indeed suppose that  $F \vdash \psi$ , and work in  $\tilde{F}$  to find a contradiction, this will be enough since it implies that  $\Gamma$  is inconsistent.

Since  $\tilde{F} \vdash \psi$ , there exists a minimal  $\beta$  such that  $V_\beta \models \varphi$ . Now, since, by reflexion,  $\varphi \vdash \psi$ , we have  $V_\beta \models \psi$ , i.e. there exists  $\alpha < \beta$  such that  $(V_\alpha \models \varphi)^{V_\beta}$ . Now  $\alpha < \beta$ , so  $(\varphi^{V_\alpha})^{V_\beta}$  is equivalent to  $\varphi^{V_\alpha}$ . Therefore, we have obtained that there exists  $\alpha < \beta$  with  $V_\alpha \models \varphi$ , contradicting the minimality of  $\beta$ .

### Solution of exercise 2:

1.  $\rightarrow$  Suppose that  $p \Vdash \neg(\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)$ . Then for all  $q \leq p$ ,  $q \nVdash \neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi$ , i.e.  $q \nVdash \neg\varphi$  or  $q \nVdash \neg\psi$ . We show that the set of  $r \leq p$  such that  $r \Vdash \varphi$  or  $r \Vdash \psi$  is dense below  $p$ . To see this, suppose that  $q \leq p$ . We have  $q \nVdash \neg\varphi$  or  $q \nVdash \neg\psi$ . If  $q \nVdash \neg\varphi$ , then there exists  $r \leq q$  such that  $r \Vdash \varphi$ . Similarly if  $q \nVdash \neg\psi$ , then there exists  $r \leq q$  with  $r \Vdash \psi$ . In both cases we have found  $r \leq q$  with  $r \Vdash \varphi$  or  $r \Vdash \psi$ .  
 $\leftarrow$  Suppose that  $\{q \leq p \mid p \Vdash \varphi \vee p \Vdash \psi\}$  is dense below  $p$  and let us show that  $p \Vdash \neg(\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)$ . Indeed,  $p \Vdash \neg(\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)$  if and only if  $\{q \mid q \nVdash \neg\varphi \vee q \nVdash \neg\psi\}$  is dense below  $p$ . Now, for all  $r \leq p$  there exists  $q \leq r$  such that  $q \Vdash \varphi$  or  $q \Vdash \psi$ , and therefore  $q \nVdash \neg\varphi$  or  $q \nVdash \neg\psi$ .
2. We have that  $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \equiv \neg\varphi \vee \psi$ . By the previous point,  $q \Vdash \neg\varphi \vee \psi$  iff  $\{q \mid q \Vdash \neg\varphi \vee q \Vdash \psi\}$  is dense below  $p$ . It is enough therefore to show that  $\{q \mid q \Vdash \neg\varphi \vee q \Vdash \psi\}$  is dense below  $p$  iff  $\neg\exists q \leq p (q \Vdash \varphi \wedge q \Vdash \neg\psi)$ .  
 $\rightarrow$  By contraposition, if there exists  $q \leq p$  with  $q \Vdash \varphi$  and  $q \Vdash \neg\psi$ , then for all  $r \leq q$  we have  $r \Vdash \varphi$  and  $r \nVdash \psi$ , therefore for all  $r \leq p$   $r \nVdash \neg\varphi$  and  $r \nVdash \psi$ . Therefore the set  $\{q \mid q \Vdash \neg\varphi \vee q \Vdash \psi\}$  is not dense below  $p$ .  
 $\leftarrow$  Suppose  $\neg\exists q \leq p (q \Vdash \varphi \wedge q \Vdash \neg\psi)$ , let us show that  $q \Vdash \neg\varphi \vee \psi$ , this is enough by the previous point. Let  $q \leq p$ , then we have  $q \nVdash \neg\varphi$  or  $q \nVdash \neg\psi$ , there exists therefore  $r \leq q$  such that  $r \Vdash \neg\varphi$  or  $r \Vdash \psi$ .
3. Recall the following Lemma, (Lemma 309 of the Lecture Notes):  
**Lemma.** *The following are equivalent:*
  - (a)  $p \Vdash \varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ ;
  - (b) for all  $r \leq p$ ,  $r \Vdash \varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ ;
  - (c) the set  $\{r \in \mathbb{P} \mid r \Vdash \varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\}$  is dense below  $p$ .

Now, we have that  $\forall v \varphi(v) \equiv \neg \exists v \neg \varphi(v)$ .

- Suppose  $p \Vdash \neg \exists v \neg \varphi(v)$  and let  $\tau \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$ . For all  $q \leq p$  the set  $\{r \leq p \mid \exists \sigma \in V^{\mathbb{P}} r \Vdash \neg \varphi(\sigma)\}$  is not dense below  $q$ . Therefore there exists, in particular,  $r \leq q$  such that for all  $s \leq r$ ,  $s \nVdash \neg \varphi(\tau)$ , i.e. there exists  $s_\sigma \leq s$  with  $s_\sigma \Vdash \varphi(\tau)$ . This shows that the set  $\{q \mid q \Vdash \varphi(\tau)\}$  is dense below  $p$ . By the Lemma above we thus obtain  $p \Vdash \varphi(\tau)$  as we wished.
- ← If  $p \Vdash \varphi(\tau)$  for all  $\tau \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$ , then, by the Lemma above,  $q \Vdash \varphi(\tau)$  for all  $q \leq p$ . Thus for all  $q \leq p$  and all  $\tau \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$ , we have that  $q \nVdash \neg \varphi(\tau)$  and therefore  $\{r \leq q \mid \exists \sigma \in V^{\mathbb{P}} r \Vdash \varphi(\sigma)\}$  is empty. It follows that  $p \Vdash \neg \exists v \neg \varphi(v)$ .

### Solution of exercise 3:

1. Notice that the notion of atom is absolute for  $M$ . We work in  $M$  to show the existence of a  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic filter: let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  be an atom of  $\mathbb{P}$ . We define, by comprehension (in  $M$ ), the set  $G_p = \{q \in \mathbb{P} \mid \exists r \in \mathbb{P} (r \leq p \wedge r \leq q)\}$  of the elements of  $\mathbb{P}$  which are compatible with  $p$ . Clearly  $G_p$  is upward closed and moreover if  $q, q' \in G_p$  then there exist  $r, r' \leq p$  such that  $q \geq r$  and  $q' \geq r'$ . Now, since  $p$  is an atom,  $r$  and  $r'$  are compatible, therefore there exists  $s \leq p$  with  $s \leq r$  and  $s \leq r'$ , and, finally,  $s \in G_p$  with  $s \leq q$  and  $s \leq q'$ . To see that  $G_p$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $M$ , it is enough to notice that if  $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is dense, then there exists  $r \leq p$  with  $r \in D$ ; but then  $r \in G_p$ .
2. It is clear that in  $V$ , since  $M$  is countable and transitive,  $\mathbb{P}$  is countable and therefore  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{P})$  has cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . Therefore the set  $\mathcal{G}$  of the  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic filters over  $M$  has cardinality  $\leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

We now show that  $|\mathcal{G}| \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . To do so, we define an injective application of  $2^\omega$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ . For each finite sequence  $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ , we define an element  $p_s$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  such that:

- $s \subseteq t$  implies  $p_s \geq p_t$ ,
- $p_{s \smallfrown 0} \perp p_{s \smallfrown 1}$ ,
- for all  $\alpha \in 2^\omega$ :

$$G_\alpha = \{q \in \mathbb{P} \mid \exists n \ q \geq p_{\alpha \upharpoonright n}\}$$

is a filter which is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $M$ .

Towards this goal we fix (in  $V$ ) an enumeration  $(D_n)$  of the dense subsets of  $\mathbb{P}$  which belong to  $M$  and then procede by induction on the length of  $s$ : choose  $p_\emptyset \in D_0$ , then for  $s \in 2^n$ , since  $p_s$  is not an atom, there exist  $r_0, r_1 \leq p_s$  with  $r_0 \perp r_1$ . Since  $D_{n+1}$  is dense, there exist  $p_{s \smallfrown 0}, p_{s \smallfrown 1} \in D_{n+1}$  with  $p_{s \smallfrown 0} \leq r_0$  and  $p_{s \smallfrown 1} \leq r_1$ . This construction yields the desired application: indeed, the function  $\alpha \mapsto G_\alpha$  is injective.

### Solution of exercise 4: Consider in $\mathbf{M}$ the following set:

$$Q = \{q \in \mathbb{P} \mid q \Vdash_* \psi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\}.$$

We show that  $Q$  is dense below  $p$ . Towards a contradiction, let us assume that there exists some  $s \leq p$  such that for all  $t \leq s$

$$t \Vdash_* \psi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n).$$

This implies

$$s \Vdash_* \neg\psi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n).$$

Since  $s \leq p$  and  $p \Vdash_* \varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ , we also have (by Lemma 306 from the lecture notes):

$$s \Vdash_* \varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$$

we end up with

$$s \Vdash_* (\varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \wedge \neg\psi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)).$$

(By Lemma 286 from the lecture notes) there exists some filter  $G$   $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $\mathbf{M}$  such that  $s \in G$ . By the Truth Lemma, we have

$$(s \in G \wedge (s \Vdash_* \varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n))^{\mathbf{M}} \wedge (s \Vdash_* \neg\psi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n))^{\mathbf{M}})$$

implies

$$\mathbf{M}[G] \models \varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \text{ and } \mathbf{M}[G] \models \neg\psi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$$

Now, since

$$\vdash_c \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n (\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longrightarrow \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

we have

$$\models \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n (\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longrightarrow \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

and in particular

$$\mathbf{M}[G] \models \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n (\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longrightarrow \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

which yields

$$\mathbf{M}[G] \models (\varphi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \longrightarrow \psi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n))$$

By *modus ponens* this gives

$$\mathbf{M}[G] \models \psi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$$

which yields the following contradiction

$$\mathbf{M}[G] \models (\psi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \wedge \neg\psi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)).$$

So, we have shown that  $Q$  is dense below  $p$ , and by Lemma 309 we obtain

$$(p \Vdash_* \psi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n))^{\mathbf{M}}.$$