

# Advanced Numerical Analysis

## Lecture 2

Spring 2025



Daniel Kressner

# Quiz from Exercise Set 1

**Definition 1.6.** For  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\beta \geq 2$  (**base**),  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  (**length of mantissa**), and  $e_{\min} < 0 < e_{\max}$  with  $e_{\min}, e_{\max} \in \mathbb{Z}$  (**range of exponent**), set  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}(\beta, t, e_{\min}, e_{\max}) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$\left\{ \pm \beta^e \left( \frac{d_1}{\beta} + \frac{d_2}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{d_t}{\beta^t} \right) : \begin{array}{l} d_1, \dots, d_t \in \{0, \dots, \beta - 1\}, \\ d_1 \neq 0, \\ e \in \mathbb{Z}, e_{\min} \leq e \leq e_{\max}. \end{array} \right\} \cup \{0\}.$$

a) How many distinct elements are contained in  $\mathbb{F}(2, 3, -1, 1)$ ?

- ☐ 17  
☐ 25

- ☐ 49  
☐ 129

b) Let  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}(\beta, t, e_{\min}, e_{\max})$  be a set of floating point numbers in the sense of Definition 1.6. Is the following statement true? If  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ , then  $a + b \in \mathbb{F}$ .

- ☐ True

- ☐ False

# expm1

Reputable math libs have dedicated function for computing

$$\text{expm1}(x) := e^x - 1.$$

Why?

- ▶ Important in applications (compound interest rates in finance, solutions of differential equations, ...)
- ▶ Very tricky to implement<sup>1</sup> for  $x \approx 0$  because of numerical cancellation

Examples: `numpy.expm1`, 1999 ISO C standard: `expm1`.



```
Program received signal
0x0000414141414141 in ??
LEGEND: STACK | HEAP | C
RAX 0x0
RBX 0x0
RCX 0x0
RDX 0x7fb536136780 ←
RDI 0x1
```

## Exploiting the Math.expm1 typing bug in V8

02 Jan 2019

Minus zero behaves like zero, right?

<https://abiondo.me/2019/01/02/exploiting-math-expm1-v8/>

<sup>1</sup>See <https://www.math.utah.edu/~beebe/reports/expm1.pdf> for more than you ever wanted to know about this problem.

# $\varphi$ -functions

$\varphi$ -functions like

$$\varphi_1(x) := \frac{e^x - 1}{x}$$

play an important role when numerically solving differential equations.

For  $x = 10^{-8}/9$ ,

$$\varphi_1(x) = 1 + x/2 + x^2/6 + \dots = 1.000000000555555 \dots$$

```
( numpy.exp(x) - 1 ) / x
```

returns 1.000000082740371.<sup>2</sup>

```
numpy.expm1(x) / x
```

returns 1.0000000005555556.

See lecture notes for another (strange) implementation that is surprisingly accurate.

---

<sup>2</sup>The result may differ on your computer.