

Advanced Numerical Analysis

Lecture 2
Spring 2025



Daniel Kressner

Quiz from Exercise Set 1

Definition 1.6. For $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 2$ (**base**), $t \in \mathbb{N}$ (**length of mantissa**), and $e_{\min} < 0 < e_{\max}$ with $e_{\min}, e_{\max} \in \mathbb{Z}$ (**range of exponent**), set $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}(\beta, t, e_{\min}, e_{\max}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$\left\{ \pm \beta^e \left(\frac{d_1}{\beta} + \frac{d_2}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{d_t}{\beta^t} \right) : \begin{array}{l} d_1, \dots, d_t \in \{0, \dots, \beta - 1\}, \\ d_1 \neq 0, \\ e \in \mathbb{Z}, e_{\min} \leq e \leq e_{\max}. \end{array} \right\} \cup \{0\}.$$

a) How many distinct elements are contained in $\mathbb{F}(2, 3, -1, 1)$?

- 17
- 25

- 49
- 129

b) Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}(\beta, t, e_{\min}, e_{\max})$ be a set of floating point numbers in the sense of Definition 1.6. Is the following statement true? If $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$, then $a + b \in \mathbb{F}$.

- True

- False

expm1

Reputable math libs have dedicated function for computing

$$\text{expm1}(x) := e^x - 1.$$

Why?

- ▶ Important in applications (compound interest rates in finance, solutions of differential equations, ...)
- ▶ Very tricky to implement¹ for $x \approx 0$ because of numerical cancellation

Examples: `numpy.expm1`, 1999 ISO C standard: `expm1`.

```
Program received signal
0x0000414141414141 in ???
LEGEND: STACK | HEAP | C
RAX 0x0
RBX 0x0
RCX 0x0
RDX 0x7fb536136780 ←
RDI 0x1
RBP 0x0
RSP 0x0
RIP 0x0000414141414141
```

Exploiting the Math.expm1 typing bug in V8

02 Jan 2019

Minus zero behaves like zero, right?

<https://abiondo.me/2019/01/02/exploiting-math-expm1-v8/>

¹See <https://www.math.utah.edu/~beebe/reports/expm1.pdf> for more than you ever wanted to know about this problem.

φ -functions

φ -functions like

$$\varphi_1(x) := \frac{e^x - 1}{x}$$

play an important role when numerically solving differential equations.

For $x = 10^{-8}/9$,

$$\varphi_1(x) = 1 + x/2 + x^2/6 + \dots = 1.00000000055555\dots$$

`(numpy.exp(x) - 1) / x`

returns 1.000000082740371^2

`numpy.expm1(x) / x`

returns 1.000000000555556 .

See lecture notes for another (strange) implementation that is surprisingly accurate.

²The result may differ on your computer.