

# Exercise sheet 10

Disclaimer: the exercises are arranged by theme, not by order of difficulty.

**Exercise 1** (Some properties, revisited). Recall that a property  $P$  is said to hold almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}$ , or for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , if the set of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  for which  $P$  does not hold is measurable and of Lebesgue measure zero. Show the following form of linearity:

---

**Theorem.** Let  $f, g, h$  be measurable, integrable and suppose that  $h = f + g$  holds almost everywhere. Then  $h$  is integrable and

$$\int h d\lambda = \int f d\lambda + \int g d\lambda.$$


---

Show also the following stronger formulation of the monotone convergence theorem:

---

**Theorem.** Let  $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of positive and integrable functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , that is almost everywhere increasing, i.e. such that for all  $n \geq 1$ , almost everywhere<sup>1</sup>  $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ . Suppose also that there exists  $f$  measurable such that  $f_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} f$  almost everywhere. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\lambda = \int f d\lambda.$$


---

**Exercise 2** (Reminder: switching sums). Provide examples of double sequences  $(a_{n,m})_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that one of the limits below converges, but not the others; or that they all converge but to different limits:

- 1)  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} a_{n,m} \right) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^M a_{n,m} \right)$
- 2)  $\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n,m} \right) := \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^M \left( \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N a_{n,m} \right)$
- 3)  $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^K a_{n,m}$

On the other hand, prove that if  $(a_{n,m})_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is absolutely summable, i.e. if one of these limits above exists when replacing  $a_{m,n}$  with  $|a_{m,n}|$ , then the others do as well and all the results are the same.

---

<sup>1</sup>Think about whether it is important that it is "for all  $n \geq 1$ , for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$   $f_n(x) \leq f_{n+1}(x)$ " or "for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $f_n(x) \leq f_{n+1}(x)$ "

**Exercise 3.** Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be (Borel-)measurable. Then for any  $0 < m < n$  and any  $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have that  $f_{x_1, \dots, x_m} : \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_{x_1, \dots, x_m}(y_1, \dots, y_{n-m}) := f(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_{n-m})$  is also measurable.

**Exercise 4.** Show that  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \mapsto x^\alpha$  is integrable if and only if  $\alpha > -1$ . What about  $f : (1, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \mapsto x^\alpha$ ? Revisit the Example 2.41 in the notes of finding a function  $f : (-1, 1)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is integrable, but so that there is some point  $x \in (-1, 1)$  for which  $f(x, \cdot) : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not integrable.