

# Exercise Sheet #8

Advanced Cryptography 2021

## Exercise 1 Interactive Proof Systems

1. Let us consider the following Square number proof:

- Statement:  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, m)$  where  $m, x_1, \dots, x_n$  are positive integers and  $n$  is even.
- Witness:  $w = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  such that for all  $i$  we have  $y_i^2 \equiv x_i \pmod{m}$ .
- The verifier  $V$  chooses a subset  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $|I| = \frac{n}{2}$ .
- The prover  $P$  sends  $y_i$  to  $V$  for all  $i \in I$ .
- The verifier checks whether  $y_i^2 \equiv x_i \pmod{m}$  for all  $i \in I$ .

Specify a witness relation  $R_c$  for which the completeness bound  $c$  is equal to 1, and a witness relation  $R_s$  for which the soundness bound  $s$  is equal to 0. In both cases, give a completeness and soundness bound.

2. Show that every language in NP has an interactive proof system (with polynomial-time prover and verifier) with perfect completeness and with soundness 0. (More exactly, for every language  $L \in \text{NP}$ , there is a relation  $R$  such that there is a proof system for  $R$  with perfect completeness and with soundness 0.)
3. Let  $(P, V)$  be an interactive proof system for some relation  $R$  with soundness  $s$  and completeness  $c$ . Let  $(P^\circ, V^\circ)$  be the following proof system:
  - On input  $(x, w)$ ,  $P^\circ$  executes  $P(x, w)$   $|x|$  times sequentially. (That is,  $P^\circ$  runs  $P(x, w)$ . When  $P(x, w)$  terminates,  $P(x, w)$  is run again, and so on. Each execution of  $P(x, w)$  uses independent randomness, i.e., the different executions of  $P(x, w)$  do not have any common data except  $x$  and  $w$ .)
  - On input  $x$ ,  $V^\circ$  executes  $V(x)$   $|x|$  times sequentially.  $V^\circ$  outputs 1 if and only if all invocations of  $V$  have output 1.

Prove that  $(P^\circ, V^\circ)$  is a proof system for  $R$  with soundness  $s^{|x|}$  and with completeness  $c^{|x|}$ .

## Exercise 2 $\Sigma$ -Protocol for $\mathcal{P}$ (final 2011)

We consider an alphabet  $Z$ , a polynomial  $P$ , and a predicate  $R$ . We assume that  $R$  can be computed in polynomial time. Given  $x \in Z^*$ , we let

$$R_x = \{w \in Z^*; R(x, w) \text{ and } |w| \leq P(|x|)\}$$

where  $|x|$  denotes the length of  $x$ . We define the language  $L$  from  $R$  by

$$L = \{x \in Z^*; R_x \neq \emptyset\}$$

1. In this question, we assume that there is an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  such that for any  $x \in L$ , we obtain  $\mathcal{A}(x) \in R_x$  and that for any  $x \in Z^*$ , the running time of  $\mathcal{A}(x)$  is bounded by  $P(|x|)$ .

Construct a  $\Sigma$ -protocol for  $L$ . Carefully specify all protocol elements and prove all properties which must be satisfied.

### Exercise 3 Combined Proofs (final 2011)

Let  $Z = \{0, 1\}$  be an alphabet. We consider two  $\Sigma$ -protocols  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  for two languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  over the alphabet  $Z$  defined by two predicates  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ . We assume that  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  use the same challenge set  $E$  which is given a group structure with a law  $+$ . For  $\Sigma_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , we denote  $\mathcal{P}_i$  the prover algorithm,  $V_i$  the verification predicate,  $\mathcal{E}_i$  the extractor, and  $\mathcal{S}_i$  the simulator.

2. (**AND proof**) Construct a  $\Sigma$  protocol  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \text{ AND } \Sigma_2$  for the language defined by

$$R((x_1, x_2), (w_1, w_2)) \iff R_1(x_1, w_1) \text{ AND } R_2(x_2, w_2)$$

(**OR proof**) In the remaining of the exercise, we now let

$$R((x_1, x_2), w) \iff R_1(x_1, w) \text{ OR } R_2(x_2, w)$$

This predicate defines a new language  $L$ . We construct a new  $\Sigma$ -protocol  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \text{ OR } \Sigma_2$  for  $L$  by

- $\mathcal{P}((x_1, x_2), w; r_1, r_2)$  finds out  $i$  such that  $R_i(x_i, w)$  holds, sets  $j = 3 - i$ , then picks a random  $e_j \in E$  and runs  $\mathcal{S}_j(x_j, e_j; r_1) = (a_j, e_j, z_j)$ . Then, it runs  $\mathcal{P}(x_i, w; r_2) = a_i$  and yield  $(a_1, a_2)$ .
- Upon receiving  $e$ ,  $\mathcal{P}((x_1, x_2), w, e; r_1, r_2)$  sets  $e_i = e - e_j$ , runs  $\mathcal{P}(x_i, w, e_i; r_2) = z_i$  and yields  $(e_1, e_2, z_1, z_2)$ .

The verification predicate is

$$V((x_1, x_2), (a_1, a_2), e, (e_1, e_2, z_1, z_2)) \iff \begin{cases} e = e_1 + e_2 \text{ AND} \\ V_1(x_1, a_1, e_1, z_1) \text{ AND} \\ V_2(x_2, a_2, e_2, z_2) \end{cases}$$

3. Show that  $\Sigma$  is complete and works in polynomial time.
4. Construct an extractor  $\mathcal{E}$  for  $\Sigma$  and show that it works, in polynomial time.
5. Construct a simulator  $\mathcal{S}$  for  $\Sigma$  and show that it works, in polynomial time.