

# Exercise Sheet #7

*Advanced Cryptography 2022*

## Exercise 1 DSS Security Hypothesis

We briefly recall the DSS signature algorithm:

**Public parameters:** pick a 160-bit prime number  $q$ , a large prime number  $p = aq + 1$ , a generator  $h$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  raised to the power  $a$ ,  $g = h^a \bmod p$  (an element of order  $q$ ).

**Set up:** pick  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and compute  $y = g^x \bmod p$ .

**Secret key:**  $K_s = x$ .

**Public key:**  $K_p = y$ .

**Signature generation:** pick a random  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ , compute  $r = (g^k \bmod p) \bmod q$ , and  $s = \frac{H(M) + xr}{k} \bmod q$ . The signature is  $\sigma = (r, s)$ .

**Verification:** check that

$$r = \left( g^{\frac{H(M)}{s} \bmod q} y^{\frac{r}{s} \bmod q} \bmod p \right) \bmod q .$$

We consider the DSS signature algorithm with parameters  $p, q, g$ , a hash function  $H$ , and a public key  $y$ .

1. If the discrete logarithm problem is easy in the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  spanned by  $g$ , show that anyone can forge signatures.
2. If  $H$  is not one-way, show that we can forge a  $(m, r, s)$  triplet so that  $(r, s)$  is a valid signature for the message  $m$  with the public key  $y$ .
3. If  $H$  is not collision resistant, show that we can forge a given signature with a chosen-message attack.
4. If the parameter  $k$  of DSS is predictable, show that we can deduce the secret key from a valid signature. What is the complexity of this attack when using brute force?

## Exercise 2 Instances of the ElGamal (Final 2010)

Let  $p$  be a large prime number and  $g$  be an element of  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$ . We denote by  $q$  the order of  $g$ . We let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$  which includes  $g$ . We let  $\mathcal{M} = \{0,1\}^\ell$  be the message space. We assume an injective function  $e : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  which is called an *embedding function*. We further assume that given a random  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $e(m)$  “looks like” uniformly distributed in  $\mathcal{G}$ . In this exercise, we consider the ElGamal cryptosystem using domain parameters  $(p, g, q, e)$  with different choices on how to select them. Namely, a secret key is a value  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_q$ , its public key is  $y = g^x \bmod p$ . For any message  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , the encryption of  $m$  with public key  $y$  is a pair  $(u, v)$  such that  $u = g^r$  with  $r \in \mathbf{Z}_q$  random and  $v = e(m)y^r$ . The decryption of  $(u, v)$  with secret key  $x$  is  $m = e^{-1}(vu^{-x})$ .

1. We assume here that  $g$  is a generator of  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$ . What is the value of  $q$ ?  
Is the cryptosystem IND-CPA secure? Why?
2. We assume here that  $q$  is a large prime but much smaller than  $p$ , and that  $\mathcal{G}$  is generated by  $g$ .  
Is the cryptosystem IND-CPA secure? Why?  
In practice, is it easy to propose an efficient embedding function  $e$ ?
3. We assume here that  $p = 1 + 2q$  with  $q$  prime and that  $\mathcal{G}$  is generated by  $g$ .  
Is the cryptosystem IND-CPA secure? Why?  
Show that  $\mathcal{G}$  is the subgroup of all quadratic residues in  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$ .  
Compute  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$ .  
Deduce that for any  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  then either  $x$  or  $-x$  is in  $\mathcal{G}$ .  
Finally, if  $\ell = \lfloor \log_2 q \rfloor$ , propose a practical embedding function  $e$ .

## Exercise 3 PIF Implies PAF (Final 2011)

We consider a function family  $F_k$  taking inputs of length  $\lambda$ , making outputs of length  $\lambda$ , and where the key  $k$  is also of length  $\lambda$ . We consider the two following games:

**Game PIF**( $\mathcal{A}, 1^\lambda$ ):

- 1: pick some random coins  $k$  of length  $\lambda$
- 2: pick  $\rho$
- 3: run  $\mathcal{A}(\rho) \rightarrow x$
- 4: if  $|x| \neq \lambda$ , output 0 and stop
- 5: pick a random bit  $b$
- 6: **if**  $b = 0$  **then**
- 7:   compute  $y = F_k(x)$
- 8: **else**
- 9:   pick a random  $y$  of  $\lambda$  bits
- 10: **end if**
- 11: run  $\mathcal{A}(y; \rho) \rightarrow b'$
- 12: output  $b \oplus b' \oplus 1$

**Game PAF**( $\mathcal{A}, 1^\lambda$ ):

- 1: pick some random coins  $k$  of length  $\lambda$
- 2: pick  $\rho$
- 3: pick a random  $x$  of length  $\lambda$
- 4: compute  $y = F_k(x)$
- 5: run  $\mathcal{A}(y; \rho) \rightarrow x'$
- 6: output  $1_{x=x'}$

We say that  $F_k$  is PIF-secure (resp. PAF-secure) if for all polynomially bounded  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have that  $\Pr[\text{PIF}(\mathcal{A}, 1^\lambda) = 1] - \frac{1}{2}$  (resp.  $\Pr[\text{PAF}(\mathcal{A}, 1^\lambda) = 1]$ ) is a negligible function in terms of  $\lambda$ .

**Q.1** Show that if  $F_k$  is PIF-secure, then it is PAF-secure.

**Hint:** based on a PAF-adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  and some coins  $\rho' = r' \parallel \rho \parallel b''$ , define  $\mathcal{A}'(\rho') = x$  picked at random from  $r'$  then  $\mathcal{A}'(y, \rho') = 1$  if  $\mathcal{A}(y; \rho) = x$  and  $\mathcal{A}'(y, \rho') = b''$  otherwise. By considering  $\mathcal{A}'$  as a PIF-adversary, look at the link between  $\Pr[\text{PIF}(\mathcal{A}', 1^\lambda) = 1] - \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\Pr[\text{PAF}(\mathcal{A}, 1^\lambda) = 1]$ .