

# Exercise Sheet #3

*Advanced Cryptography 2022*

## Exercise 1 The Goldwasser-Micali Cryptosystem (midterm 2012)

Consider the group  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$ . We recall that if  $m$  is an odd factor of  $n$ , then the Jacobi symbol  $x \mapsto \left(\frac{x}{m}\right)$  is a group homomorphism from  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  to  $\{-1, +1\}$ . I.e.,  $\left(\frac{xy \bmod n}{m}\right) = \left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \left(\frac{y}{m}\right)$ . It further has the property that  $\left(\frac{x}{mm'}\right) = \left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \left(\frac{x}{m'}\right)$ . We consider that multiplication in  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  and the computation of the above Jacobi symbol can each be done in  $\mathcal{O}((\log n)^2)$ .

Let  $s$  be a security parameter. We consider the following public-key cryptosystem.

**Key Generation.** Generate two different odd prime numbers  $p$  and  $q$  of bit size  $s$ , compute  $n = pq$ , and find some  $z \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  such that  $\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{z}{q}\right) = -1$ . The public key is  $(n, z)$  and the secret key is  $p$ .

**Encryption.** To encrypt a bit  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ , pick  $r \in_U \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  and compute  $c = r^2 z^b \bmod n$ . The ciphertext is  $c$ .

**Decryption.** To decrypt  $c$ , compute  $\left(\frac{c}{p}\right)$  and find  $b$  such that it equals  $(-1)^b$ . The plaintext is  $b$ .

This cryptosystem is known as the Goldwasser-Micali cryptosystem.

1. Show that the cryptosystem is correct. I.e., if the key generation gives  $(n, z)$  and  $p$ , if  $b$  is any bit, if the encryption of  $b$  with the key  $(n, z)$  produces  $c$ , then the decryption of  $c$  with the key  $p$  produces  $b$ .
2. Analyze the complexity of the three algorithms in terms of  $s$ .
3. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the set of all  $n$ 's which could be generated by the key generation algorithm. Let **Fact** be the problem in which an instance is specified by  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  and the solution is the factoring of  $n$ .
  - (a) Define the key recovery problem **KR** related to the cryptosystem. For this, specify clearly what is its set of instances and what is the solution of a given instance.
  - (b) Show that the **KR** problem is equivalent to the **Fact** problem. Give the actual Turing reduction in both directions.
4. Let **QR** be the problem in which an instance is specified by a pair  $(n, c)$  in which  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\left(\frac{c}{n}\right) = 1$ . The problem is to decide whether or not  $c$  is a quadratic residue in  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$ .

- (a) Define the decryption problem  $\text{DP}$  related to the cryptosystem. For this, specify clearly what is its set of instances and what is the solution of a given instance.
- (b) Show that the  $\text{DP}$  problem is equivalent to the  $\text{QR}$  problem. Give the actual Turing reduction in both directions.

## Exercise 2 The CPA-secure PKC from the deterministic PKC (HW 1, 2019)

We define the public key cryptosystem as a set  $(\text{Gen}, \mathcal{M}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  with the message domain  $\mathcal{M}$  where  $\text{Gen}$ ,  $\text{Enc}$  and  $\text{Dec}$  are defined as follows:

- $\text{Gen}(1^\lambda) = (sk, pk)$  is a probabilistic algorithm which takes the security parameter  $\lambda$  as input, and outputs the secret key  $sk$  and the public key  $pk$ .
- $\text{Enc}(pk, m) = c$  is an algorithm which takes the public key  $pk$  and the message  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  as input, and outputs ciphertext  $c$ .
- $\text{Dec}(sk, c) = m$  is a deterministic algorithm which takes the secret key  $sk$  and the ciphertext  $c$  as input, and outputs the message  $m$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a deterministic PKC if  $\mathcal{C}.\text{Enc}$  is a deterministic algorithm, and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a probabilistic PKC if  $\mathcal{C}.\text{Enc}$  is a probabilistic algorithm.

**Definition 1 (IND-CPA security)** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a public key cryptosystem. Then, we say that the public key cryptosystem  $\mathcal{C}$  is IND-CPA secure if*

$$\text{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}^{\text{IND-CPA}}(\lambda) = \left| \Pr[\text{IND-CPA}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}(0, \lambda) = 1] - \Pr[\text{IND-CPA}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}(1, \lambda) = 1] \right|$$

is a negligible function in  $\lambda$  for all probabilistic and polynomial time algorithm  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$  where  $\text{IND-CPA}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is defined as follows:

**Game:  $\text{IND-CPA}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}(b, \lambda)$**

```

 $sk, pk \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^\lambda)$ 
 $m_0, m_1, s_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_1(pk)$  //  $s_1$ : State of  $\mathcal{A}_1$ 
 $c \leftarrow \mathcal{C}.\text{Enc}(pk, m_b)$ 
 $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_2(c, s_1)$ 
return  $b'$ 

```

**Question 1.** Prove that there is no IND-CPA-secure deterministic PKC.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_1 = (\text{Gen}_1, \mathcal{M}_1, \text{Enc}_1, \text{Dec}_1)$  be a deterministic PKC which is secure against chosen plaintext decryption attacks. Then, we define a new PKC  $\mathcal{C}_2 = (\text{Gen}_2, \mathcal{M}_2, \text{Enc}_2, \text{Dec}_2)$  with a group  $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}_1$  (with additive notation below) as follows:

- $\text{Gen}_2(1^\lambda)$ 
  1. Compute  $(sk, pk) = \text{Gen}_1(1^\lambda)$
  2. Return  $(sk, pk)$
- $\text{Enc}_2(pk, m)$ 
  1. Pick a random value  $r$  in  $\mathcal{M}_2$  of same size as  $m$

2. Compute  $c = (c_1, c_2) = (\mathsf{Enc}_1(pk, m + r), \mathsf{Enc}_1(pk, r))$
3. Return  $c$

- $\mathsf{Dec}_2(sk, c)$ 
  1. Separate  $c$  into two ciphertexts  $(c_1, c_2)$  encrypted with  $\mathcal{C}_1$
  2. Return  $\mathsf{Dec}_1(sk, c_1) - \mathsf{Dec}_1(sk, c_2)$

**Question 2.** Suppose that the message domain  $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{0, 1\}^n$  with  $\oplus$ . Show that  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is not IND-CPA-secure, i.e. show there is an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  whose advantage is not negligible.

Hint: Think about the neutral element

**Definition 2 (IND-KPA security)** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a public key cryptosystem. Then, we say that the public key cryptosystem  $\mathcal{C}$  is IND-KPA secure if

$$\mathsf{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}^{\mathsf{IND-KPA}}(\lambda) = \left| \Pr \left[ \mathsf{IND-KPA}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}(0, \lambda) = 1 \right] - \Pr \left[ \mathsf{IND-KPA}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}(1, \lambda) = 1 \right] \right|$$

is a negligible function in  $\lambda$  for all probabilistic and polynomial time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathsf{IND-KPA}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is defined as follows:

**Game:  $\mathsf{IND-KPA}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}(b, \lambda)$**   
 $sk, pk \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^\lambda)$   
 $m_0, m_1 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$   
 $c \leftarrow \mathcal{C}.\mathsf{Enc}(pk, m_b)$   
 $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(pk, m_0, m_1, c)$   
**return**  $b'$

**Question 3.** Show that if  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is the plain RSA,  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is not IND-KPA-secure when  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is a multiplicative group.