

## Exercises 9

### Exercise 1. CORRELATING AND DECORRELATING SIGNALS

In this exercise, we will see that a signal can be both correlated and decorrelated by applying a suitable linear transform, where in the latter case the optimal transform is the Karhunen–Loeve transform (KLT). KLT in signal processing literature is basically equivalent to PCA.

- (a) Consider an i.i.d. sequence of random variables  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{N-1}$  ( $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = 1$ , for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ ). Define a new set of random variables  $\mathbf{Y} = [Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_{N-1}]^T$  as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} X_0 \\ X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_{N-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{0,0} & \alpha_{0,1} & \cdots & \alpha_{0,N-1} \\ \alpha_{1,0} & \alpha_{1,1} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{N-1,0} & \alpha_{N-1,1} & \cdots & \alpha_{N-1,N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a real square matrix.

Show that the correlation function satisfies:

$$R_{i,j} = E[Y_i \cdot Y_j] = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_{i,k} \cdot \alpha_{j,k},$$

for  $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ .

- (b) Show that the following equality holds:

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \lambda_i^{1/2},$$

where the  $\lambda_i$  are eigenvalues of the correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}_y$ .

- (c) Consider a time sequence of random vectors  $\mathbf{Y}[n] = [Y_0[n], Y_1[n], \dots, Y_{N-1}[n]]^T$ . The KLT of the random signal  $\mathbf{Y}[n]$  is obtained as  $\mathbf{Z}[n] = \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{Y}[n]$ , where the rows of the matrix  $\mathbf{T}$  are the eigenvectors of the correlation matrix of the signal  $\mathbf{Y}[n]$  (sorted in descending order of the corresponding eigenvalues).

Show that the resulting vector coefficients  $\mathbf{Z}[n]$  are uncorrelated. Are they independent?

**Exercise 2. KLT OF CIRCULANT CORRELATION MATRICES**

Let  $X$  be a real periodic sequence of period  $N = 4$  with correlation matrix  $R_x$ :

$$R_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.4 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 0.4 & 0.2 \\ 0.2 & 0.4 & 1 & 0.4 \\ 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Compute its KLT, that is, the transform  $T$  that diagonalizes  $R_x$ .
- (b) Consider now the DFT matrix  $S_N$  of size  $N = 4$ . Compute  $S_N^* R_x S_N$ . What do you obtain? Recall that the DFT can be formulated as a complex matrix multiplication  $X[k] = S_N x[n]$  where the DFT matrix  $S_N$  is given by  $S_N[k, n] = W_N^{-kn}$ .
- (c) Compare both solutions. What can you conclude?

**Exercise 3. AUTOMATIC CLASSIFICATION OF SOUND WAVES**

A brilliant engineer has developed an automatic detection system that records sound activity of dolphins and classifies them among 4 characteristic sound waves, that we will call  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ . The system is installed on a boat and performs detection on the fly. A radio subsequently transmits to a base station the wave category ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , or  $\delta$ ) coded as numerical values. Let  $X[n]$  denote the obtained physical signal, and we assume  $X[n]$  is a Markov chain (with 4 values corresponding to the 4 states  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta$ ).

While the detection system is brilliant, the transmission one is not as good. Indeed, the numerical values coding the 4 types of waves are not known at the receiver, and the received signal  $Y[n]$  is quite noisy (additive Gaussian white noise). This makes the decoding process difficult.

Consequently, at the receiver, we first need to denoise the signal  $Y[n]$  to estimate  $X[n]$  prior to any decoding.

- Given that we have observed  $N = 1000$  samples of the noisy signal, *i.e.*,  $y[1], \dots, y[1000]$ , propose a denoising method, justifying your choice. Describe the method in detail, clearly writing the equations of the models used. Write every step of your method (as a bullet list) clearly indicating the input and output of each step. The final output of the question is an estimation of  $x[1], \dots, x[1000]$ .