Guidelines for the presentation of the literature:

6.0:
Excellent. You gave *your own* perspective, brought information from the literature (beside the actual paper). You delivered a clear presentation and convey the *main message*. You captured and mastered the content and communicated very well with your audience. Appropriate balance between relevant message and technical details.

5.5:
Almost excellent but the main message *or* the logic of the presentation could have been clearer *or* the general context (connection with other literature) better emphasized.

5.0:
You gave a good presentation but nothing was really different from the actual paper (or from the course):
You did not bring any additional information, perspective or materials that could have complemented the content of the paper as well as its structure.
Your presentation does not have the follow the same order and content as the paper. Writing and presenting are two different objectives.
This grade also applies if the main message (big picture) was not properly emphasized, or if the literature and context were missing.

4.5:
This grade applies if several aspects listed under 5.0 were not fulfilled.

4.0:
You missed the big picture (*i.e.*, the main important idea) and focused too much on unimportant detail. This grade was also attributed if one presenter contributed much less than the other co-presenter.

Suggestion: Before preparing your presentation, make sure you are able to do an elevator pitch.