

## Evaluator's Checklist – SNSF Spark Grant-Inspired Assessment

### 1. Novelty / Unconventionality of the Proposed Research Project (20%)

- ☐ Is the research idea distinct from established work in the field?
- ☐ Does the project address a topic with little prior literature or ongoing work?
- ☐ Is the methodological or conceptual approach clearly unconventional or original?

Score (0–20): \_\_\_\_

Comments:

---

### 2. Scientific Quality of the Project (30%)

- ☐ Is the research plan logically structured and scientifically sound?
- ☐ Is the proposed methodology appropriate for the research goals?
- ☐ Is the project feasible within the proposed timeline and resources?
- ☐ Are the hypotheses or research questions clearly stated and testable?

Score (0–30): \_\_\_\_

Comments:

---

### 3. Potential for Significant Impact (20%)

- ☐ Does the project have the potential to transform a central scientific question or field?
- ☐ Could it enable a new method, technology, or research direction?
- ☐ Does it show potential to influence wider scientific, societal, or technological developments?
- ☐ Is the level of risk appropriately matched to the potential impact?

Score (0–20): \_\_\_\_

Comments:

---

### 4. Effective Use of Figures (20%)

- ☐ Are the figures clear, well-labeled, and professionally presented?

- ☐ Do figures effectively support the text and clarify complex ideas or workflows?
- ☐ Is the visual storytelling compelling and relevant to the proposed research?

Score (0-20): \_\_\_\_

Comments:

---

#### 5. Submission Timeliness (10%)

- ☐ Was the initial concept submitted by March 20?
- ☐ Was the first draft submitted by April 17?

Score (0-10): \_\_\_\_

Comments:

---

**Total Score (out of 100): \_\_\_\_\_**