

## Solutions to exercice sheet 1

## Schwartz space

1. Prove the Riesz & Fréchet theorem, namely, that given a Hilbert space  $H$ , the anti-linear map

$$J : H \ni x \mapsto \langle x, \cdot \rangle =: J(x) \in H'$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

(Hint: if  $V \subset H$  is a closed subspace, then  $H = V \oplus V^\perp$ .)

Let  $x \in H$  and we shall show, that  $J(x) \in H'$ . Indeed, for any  $y \in H$ ,  $|J(x)(y)| = |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\|_H \|y\|_H$ . This shows that  $J(x) \in H'$ .

For  $x \neq 0$ , one may take  $y = \frac{x}{\|x\|_H}$ , and one has  $J(x)(y) = \|x\|$ . This shows, that  $\|J(x)\|_{H'} = \|x\|_H$  and  $J$  is hence isometric and injective.

Let  $\xi \in H'$  and let us find an  $x \in H$ , so that  $\xi = J(x)$ . If  $\xi = 0_{H'}$ , then one may chose  $x = 0_H$ . Let's therefore assume that  $\xi \neq 0_{H'}$ . The continuity of  $\xi$  implies, that  $\ker(\xi) = \xi^{-1}\{0\} = V$  is a closed subspace of  $H$ . One therefore has  $H = V \oplus V^\perp$  and since  $\xi \neq 0_{H'}$ , one obviously has  $V^\perp \neq \{0_H\}$ .

Moreover, if  $V^\perp \ni x, y \neq 0_H$ , then  $\xi(\xi(y)x - \xi(x)y) = 0$ , so that  $\xi(y)x - \xi(x)y \in V \cap V^\perp = \{0_H\}$ . Consequently,  $V^\perp$  is a one-dimensional closed subspace of  $H$ .

Chose now  $0_H \neq y \in V^\perp$  and set  $x := \frac{y}{\|y\|_H} \overline{\xi(y)}$ . For  $v = \lambda y$ , one has therefore

$$J(x)(v) = \langle x, \lambda y \rangle = \lambda \xi(y) = \xi(\lambda y) = \xi(v).$$

Thus,  $J(x)|_{V^\perp} = \xi|_{V^\perp}$ ,  $J(x)|_V = \xi|_V$ , and since  $H = V \oplus V^\perp$ , one may conclude.

2. Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and consider a Banach space  $B$ . Prove that any continuous and linear map  $\xi : D \rightarrow B$ , defined on a dense set  $D \subset H$  has a unique and isometric extension  $\bar{\xi} : H \rightarrow B$ .

Let  $x \in H$ . Since  $D \subset H$  is dense, there is a Cauchy sequence  $(d_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D$  whose limit in  $H$  is  $x$ .

Consider then the sequence  $(\xi(d_k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B$ . The continuity of the map  $\xi : H \rightarrow B$  is equivalent to  $\xi$  being bounded, so that  $(\xi(d_k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy in  $B$ . This latter space being complete, there is a limit  $B \ni y = \lim_k \xi(d_k)$ .

If  $(d'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D$  is another Cauchy sequence whose limit in  $H$  is  $x$ , then  $(d'_k - d_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D$  is a sequence converging to  $0_H$ . The continuity of  $\xi$  then implies, that  $\lim_k \xi(d'_k - d_k) = 0_B$ . Therefore,  $\lim_k \xi(d'_k) = \lim_k \xi(d_k) = y$  and thus  $y$  depends only on  $x$  and not on the particular sequence  $(d_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D$  chosen. We set  $\bar{\xi}(x) := y$ . It remains to show that this extension of the map  $\xi$  is isometric. For a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is by construction some  $d \in D$ , so that  $\|\bar{\xi}(x) - \xi(d)\|_B, \|x - d\|_H < \epsilon$ . Consequently,  $\|\bar{\xi}(x)\|_B \leq \|\xi(d)\|_B + \epsilon \leq \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}(D,B)} \|d\| + \epsilon \leq \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}(D,B)} \|x\| + \epsilon(1 + \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}(D,B)})$ . This being true for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , one must have  $\|\bar{\xi}(x)\|_B \leq \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}(D,B)} \|x\|$ . This being true for any  $x \in H$ , one concludes, that  $\|\bar{\xi}\|_{\mathcal{L}(D,B)} \leq \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}(D,B)}$ .

The inverse inequality follows from the fact that  $\bar{\xi}|_D = \xi$ .

3. Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space and let  $\| \cdot \|_{1,2} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be two norms:
- Show that if  $\forall x \in V, \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2$ , then  $\{x \in V : \|x\|_2 < 1\} \subset \{x \in V : \|x\|_1 < 1\}$ .
  - Show that the topology  $\tau_2$  defined by  $\| \cdot \|_2$  is finer than the topology  $\tau_1$  defined by  $\| \cdot \|_1$ , i.e.  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$ . Prove as a consequence, that any sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset V$  converges for  $\tau_1$  if it does so for  $\tau_2$ .
  - Show that if  $W$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space with a topology  $\tau_W$  and if  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a continuous map with respect to  $\tau_1$ , then  $f$  is also continuous with respect to  $\tau_2$ .
  - Show that if in addition, there is a positive constant  $C$  so that  $\forall x \in V, \|x\|_2 \leq C\|x\|_1$ , then  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ .

- For a given  $x \in V$ , if  $\|x\|_2 < 1$ , then  $\|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 < 1$ . Consequently,  $\{x \in V : \|x\|_2 < 1\} \subset \{x \in V : \|x\|_1 < 1\}$ .
- Let  $U \in \tau_1$  be an open set for the topology induced by the norm  $\| \cdot \|_1$ . By definition, this means, that  $\forall x \in U$ , there is an  $\epsilon > 0$ , so that  $U$  contains the ball  $\{y \in V : \|x - y\|_1 < \epsilon\}$ . By the previous point, this implies, that  $U$  contains the open ball  $\{y \in V : \|x - y\|_2 < \epsilon\}$  as well, so that by definition,  $U \in \tau_2$ .  
A sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset V$  converges for  $\tau_2$  iff there is a  $x \in V$ , so that for any open set  $U \in \tau_2$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $n \geq N$  implies  $x_n \in U$ . By the previous point,  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$ , so that if the statement holds for any  $x \in U \in \tau_2$ , it must hold for any  $x \in U \in \tau_1$ . As a consequence, the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset V$  converges for  $\tau_1$  if it does so for  $\tau_2$ .
- If  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a continuous map with respect to  $\tau_1$ , then by definition, this means that for any  $U \in \tau_W$ ,  $f^{-1}\{U\} \in \tau_1$ . But since  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$ , this then means, that  $U \in \tau_W$ ,  $f^{-1}\{U\} \in \tau_2$ . Hence,  $f$  is continuous with respect to  $\tau_2$  as well.
- Suppose that in addition, there is a positive constant  $C$  so that  $\forall x \in V, \|x\|_2 \leq C\|x\|_1$ . Let  $U \in \tau_2$ . For any  $x \in U$ , there is hence an  $\epsilon > 0$ , so that  $\{y \in V : \|x - y\|_2 < \epsilon\} \subset U$ . But then, the set  $\{y \in V : \|x - y\|_1 < \epsilon/C\} \subset U$  as well and  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ .

4. (a) For  $f, g \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N$  with  $|\alpha| \leq n$ , show that

$$\partial^\alpha(fg)(x) = \sum_{\substack{\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^N, \\ \beta + \gamma = \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta f(x) \partial^\gamma g(x).$$

- (b) Show that the cardinality of the set  $\mathbb{N}_{\leq n}^N := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N : |\alpha| \leq n\}$  is  $\binom{n+N}{n}$ .  
(Hint: define  $\mathbb{N}_{=k}^N := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N : |\alpha| = k\}$  and observe, that  $\mathbb{N}_{\leq n}^N = \cup_{k=0}^n M_{=k}$ .)

- (a) For fixed  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $|\alpha| = 1$ , this is just the well-known Leibnitz rule.  
Suppose then that the result is true for  $|\alpha| = k < n$ . Set  $\alpha' = \alpha + \delta$  with

$|\delta| = 1$ . One then has

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^{\alpha'}(fg) &= \partial^\delta(\partial^\alpha(fg)) = \partial^\delta \left( \sum_{\substack{\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \beta + \gamma = \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta f \partial^\gamma g \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \beta + \gamma = \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^{\beta + \delta} f \partial^\gamma g + \sum_{\substack{\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \beta + \gamma = \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta f \partial^{\gamma + \delta} g \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\beta', \gamma' \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \beta' + \gamma' = \alpha', \beta' \geq \delta}} \binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta' - \delta} \partial^{\beta'} f \partial^{\gamma'} g + \sum_{\substack{\beta', \gamma' \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \beta' + \gamma' = \alpha', \gamma' \geq \delta}} \binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta'} \partial^{\beta'} f \partial^{\gamma'} g.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the first sum, if  $\beta' + \gamma' = \alpha'$  and  $\gamma' \geq \delta$  is false, then  $\beta'\delta = \alpha'\delta$ . Similarly, if  $\beta' + \gamma' = \alpha'$  and  $\beta' \geq \delta$  is false, then  $\gamma'\delta = \alpha'\delta$ . We thus split the sums accordingly and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^{\alpha'}(fg) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta', \gamma' \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \beta' + \gamma' = \alpha', \beta' \geq \delta}} \binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta' - \delta} \partial^{\beta'} f \partial^{\gamma'} g + \sum_{\substack{\beta', \gamma' \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \beta' + \gamma' = \alpha', \gamma' \geq \delta}} \binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta'} \partial^{\beta'} f \partial^{\gamma'} g \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\beta', \gamma' \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \beta' + \gamma' = \alpha', \beta'\delta = \alpha'\delta}} \binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta' - \delta} \partial^{\beta'} f \partial^{\gamma'} g + \sum_{\substack{\beta', \gamma' \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \beta' + \gamma' = \alpha', \gamma'\delta = \alpha'\delta}} \binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta'} \partial^{\beta'} f \partial^{\gamma'} g \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{\beta', \gamma' \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \beta' + \gamma' = \alpha', \beta', \gamma' \geq \delta}} \left( \binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta' - \delta} + \binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta'} \right) \partial^{\beta'} f \partial^{\gamma'} g.
\end{aligned}$$

In the first sum, note that if  $\beta' + \gamma' = \alpha'$  and  $\beta'\delta = \alpha'\delta$ , then  $\binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta' - \delta} = \binom{\alpha'}{\beta'}$ . A similar argument for the second sum shows, that  $\binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta'} = \binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\alpha' - \delta - \beta'} = \binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\gamma' - \delta} = \binom{\alpha'}{\gamma'}$ .

In the last sum, observe that  $\binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta' - \delta} + \binom{\alpha' - \delta}{\beta'} = \binom{\alpha'}{\beta'}$ . Adding therefore these three sums gives the desired result.

- (b)  $\mathbb{N}_{=k}^N$  may be viewed as the number of sampling with replacement of  $k$  indistinguishable elements among  $N$  distinguishable ones. Hence, the cardinality of  $\mathbb{N}_{=k}^N$  is  $\binom{N+k-1}{k}$ .

This may be shown as follows: For a given  $N \geq 1$ ,  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$ , one obviously has  $\mathbb{N}_{=0}^N = 1$  and  $\mathbb{N}_{=1}^N = N$ . Obviously, one also has  $\mathbb{N}_{=k}^1 = 1$ .

One then proceeds by induction on  $N$  and  $k$ : the possible choices for  $\mathbb{N}_{=k+1}^N$  are then to chose the first element as the  $N+1^{\text{th}}$  and the other  $k$  elements among all  $N+1$  choices, or to chose all  $k+1$  elements among the first  $N$  elements. Therefore:

$$\mathbb{N}_{=k+1}^{N+1} = \mathbb{N}_{=k}^{N+1} + \mathbb{N}_{=k+1}^N = \binom{N+1+k-1}{k} + \binom{N+k+1-1}{k+1} = \binom{N+k+1}{k+1}.$$

Again by induction on  $k$ , one then has

$$\mathbb{N}_{\leq k+1}^{N+1} = \mathbb{N}_{=k+1}^{N+1} + \mathbb{N}_{\leq k}^{N+1} = \binom{N+1+k}{k+1} + \binom{N+k+1}{k} = \binom{N+k+2}{k+1}.$$

5. Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space endowed with a family  $\{\|\cdot\|_j\}_{j \in I}$  of norms. For  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $\{j_1, \dots, j_n\} \subset I$ , one defines

$$U_{x, \epsilon, j_1, \dots, j_n} := \{y \in X : \forall k = 1, \dots, n, \|y - x\|_{j_k} < \epsilon\}.$$

Show that the collection of all subsets  $U \subset X$ , so that

$$\forall x \in U, \exists \epsilon > 0, \exists \{j_1, \dots, j_n\} \subset I \text{ s.t. } U_{x, \epsilon, j_1, \dots, j_n} \subset U$$

is a topology  $\tau_X$  on  $X$ , i.e.:

- $\forall \mathcal{F} \subset \tau_X, |\mathcal{F}| \in \mathbb{N}$  implies  $\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U \in \tau_X$ ,
- $\forall \mathcal{F} \subset \tau_X, \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U \in \tau_X$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \tau_X, |\mathcal{F}| \in \mathbb{N}$ . Without loss of generality, we may suppose  $\mathcal{F} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ . Let  $x = \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U$ . By definition, there are positive numbers  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n > 0$  and finite sets of indices  $I_1, \dots, I_n$ , so that for each  $k = 1, \dots,$

$$U_{x, \epsilon_k, j \in I_k} \subset U_k.$$

Set now  $\epsilon := \min\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$  and  $I = \bigcup_{k=1}^n I_k$ . It is then clear, that

$$U_{x, \epsilon, j \in I} \subset \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U,$$

which shows that  $\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U \in \tau_X$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \tau_X$  and  $x \in \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U$ . There is hence some  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  so that  $x \in U$ . By definition, there is then an  $\epsilon > 0$  and finite indices  $j_1, \dots, j_n$ , so that

$$U_{x, \epsilon, j_1, \dots, j_n} \subset U.$$

But it is the clear, that

$$U_{x, \epsilon, j_1, \dots, j_n} \subset \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U$$

as well, showing that  $\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U \in \tau_X$ .

We end by the remark, that by convention, if  $\tau_X \supset \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ , then  $\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U = X$  and  $\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U = \emptyset$ , so that as a consequence,  $\emptyset, X \in \tau_X$  as a consequence of the two previously checked rules.

6. Let  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a sequence which is Cauchy for all the norms  $\|\cdot\|_n$ . Show, that this sequence converges to some  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$ . (Hint: you might wanna use the completeness of spaces like  $C(K)$  or  $C_0(K)$  for the uniform norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  on a compact set  $K$  and the uniform continuity of the Riemann integral.)

Since  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is Cauchy for all the norms  $\|\cdot\|_n$ , then for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $(\partial^\alpha f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $((1 + x \cdot x)^n \partial^\alpha f_k(x))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are Cauchy for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ .

By uniform completeness of  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , all sequences  $(\partial^\alpha f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  converge uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  to some continuous functions  $f_\alpha$ , which are all of rapid decrease.

(Strictly speaking, one has to apply uniform completeness on the one-point compactification  $(\mathbb{R}^N)^+$ . This space is defined as the set  $\mathbb{R}^N \cup \{\star\}$ , endowed with the topology consisting of all open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and the sets  $(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus K) \cup \{\star\}$ , where  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  are compact sets. A sequence  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  which converge to 0 as  $x \cdot x \rightarrow \infty$  can then be extended to a sequence  $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of continuous functions on  $(\mathbb{R}^N)^+$ , defined by  $F_k(\star) = 0$  and  $F_k|_{\mathbb{R}^N} = f_k$ . If  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy for  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , then  $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy for  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^N)^+$ , so that the completeness of  $C((\mathbb{R}^N)^+)$  can be applied to this sequence, which hence converges uniformly to a continuous function  $F$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^N)^+$ . Obviously,  $F(\star) = 0$  and  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  to the continuous function  $f = F|_{\mathbb{R}^N}$ .)

It remains to be shown, that  $f_\alpha = \partial^\alpha f$ . In order to do so we proceed by induction on  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N$ . For  $\alpha = \bar{0}$  this is just stating  $f = f_{\bar{0}} = \partial^{\bar{0}} f = f$ . Suppose then that  $f_\alpha = \partial^\alpha f$  and let  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq 1}^N$ . We then have for a given  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$f_\alpha(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \partial^\alpha f_k(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{x \cdot \delta} \partial^{\alpha+\delta} f_k(x(\bar{1} - \delta) + y\delta) d(\delta \cdot y).$$

Since  $(\partial^{\alpha+\delta} f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  to  $f_{\alpha+\delta}$ , one may exchange the limit and the Riemann integration to get

$$f_\alpha(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x \cdot \delta} f_{\alpha+\delta}(x(\bar{1} - \delta) + y\delta) d(\delta \cdot y).$$

$f_{\alpha+\delta}$  is a continuous function, so that by the fundamental theorem of calculus, this last equality yields

$$\partial^\delta f_\alpha(x) = f_{\alpha+\delta}(x).$$

7. Let  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mu_L)$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , set  $E_x := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : y = \delta x \text{ s.t. } \delta \in [0, 1]^N\}$ . Show that the function

$$\mathbb{R}^N \ni x \mapsto G(x) := \text{sgn}(x) \int_{E_x} g(y) \mu_L(dy)$$

is well-defined, continuous and polynomially bounded. If  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x) \partial^{\bar{1}} f(x) \mu_L(dx) = (-1)^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x) f(x) \mu_L(dx).$$

(Hint: for the second part, show it first when  $g(x) = \prod_{k=1}^N g_k(x_k)$  and all  $g_k(t)$  are continuous and compactly supported on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Use then a density argument.)

The set  $E_x$  is obviously compact and consequently,  $1_{E_x} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mu_L)$ . Since  $\int_{E_x} g(y) \mu_L(dy) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} 1_{E_x}(y) g(y) \mu_L(dy) = \langle 1_{E_x}, g \rangle_{L^2}$ , it is well-defined and if  $x \rightarrow x'$ , then manifestly  $1_{E_x}(y) \rightarrow 1_{E_{x'}}(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and by the dominated convergence theorem,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x'} G(x) = G(x)$ .

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one gets that  $|G(x)| \leq \|g\|_{L^2} \text{Vol}(E_x)$ , which is obviously bounded by  $(x \cdot x)^{N/2}$ .

Consider first the case where  $g = \prod_{k=1}^N g_k(x_k)$ , where all functions  $g_k(t)$  are continuous and compactly supported. Clearly,  $g(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mu_L(dx))$  and all functions

$g_k(t)$  have continuous primitive functions  $G_k(t)$ , which are constant outside a compact support. For a given  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and by integrating all  $N$  dimensions in successive order, one gets  $G(x) = \prod_{k=1}^N (G_k(x_k) - G_k(0))$ .

Now,  $G(x)\partial^{\bar{1}}f(x)$  is continuous and square summable, so that one may replace the Lebesgue integral by Riemann integration to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x)\partial^{\bar{1}}f(x)\mu_L(dx) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_N \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_1 \prod_{k=1}^N (G_k(x_k) - G_k(0)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\partial^{N-1}}{\partial x_2 \dots \partial x_N} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \right) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_N \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_2 \prod_{k=2}^N (G_k(x_k) - G_k(0)) \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_1 (G_1(x_1) - G_1(0)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\partial^{N-1}}{\partial x_2 \dots \partial x_N} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \right) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_N \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_2 \prod_{k=2}^N (G_k(x_k) - G_k(0)) \\
&\quad \times \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_1 (-1)g_1(x_1) \left( \frac{\partial^{N-1}}{\partial x_2 \dots \partial x_N} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (G(x_1) - G(0)) \frac{\partial^{N-1}}{\partial x_2 \dots \partial x_N} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} \right] \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_N \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_2 \prod_{k=2}^N (G_k(x_k) - G_k(0)) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_1 g_1(x_1) \left( \frac{\partial^{N-1}}{\partial x_2 \dots \partial x_N} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By iteration, one finally gets

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x)\partial^{\bar{1}}f(x)\mu_L(dx) = (-1)^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)f(x)\mu_L(dx).$$

Linearity of the integral implies that this last relation remains valid for  $g(x)$  being a linear combination of the type  $g(x) = \sum_{l=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N g_{l,k}(x_k)$  with all  $g_{l,k}(t)$  being continuous and of compact support.

We now use the density of these latter functions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mu(dx))$ . Let  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mu(dx))$  and consider a sequence  $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mu(dx))$ , so that  $\lim_k g_k = g$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mu(dx))$ . Suppose that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the relation  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_k(x)\partial^{\bar{1}}f(x)\mu_L(dx) = (-1)^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_k(x)f(x)\mu_L(dx)$  holds. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x) &= \operatorname{sgn}(x) \int_{E_x} g(y)\mu_L(dy) = \operatorname{sgn}(x) \langle I_{E_x}, g \rangle_{L^2} \\
&= \operatorname{sgn}(x) \langle I_{E_x}, \lim_k g_k \rangle_{L^2} = \lim_k \operatorname{sgn}(x) \langle I_{E_x}, g_k \rangle_{L^2} = \lim_k G_k(x),
\end{aligned}$$

and since  $|G(x) - G_k(x)| = |\langle I_{E_x}, g - g_k \rangle_{L^2}| \leq \|I_{E_x}\|_{L^2} \|g - g_k\|_{L^2} = \operatorname{Vol}(E_x)^{1/2} \|g - g_k\|_{L^2}$ , which is polynomially bounded in  $x$ , we have that  $G_k(x)\partial^{\bar{1}}f(x)$  converges

uniformly to  $G(x)\partial^{\bar{1}}f(x)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x)\partial^{\bar{1}}f(x)\mu_L(dx) &= \lim_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_k(x)\partial^{\bar{1}}f(x)\mu_L(dx) \\ &= (-1)^N \lim_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_k(x)f(x)\mu_L(dx) = (-1)^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)f(x)\mu_L(dx), \end{aligned}$$

where the last limit is in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mu_L)$ .

8. Find an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and continuous and polynomially bounded functions  $(g_\alpha(x))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}^N}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , so that

$$\varphi(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_\alpha(x)\partial^\alpha f(x)\mu_L(dx)$$

for

- (a)  $\varphi = \delta(x)$ ,  
 (b)  $\varphi = \text{p.v.}(\frac{1}{x})$ .

Can you find more than one such representations?

- (a) A simple integration, that for  $\varphi = \delta(x)$ , one has

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \varphi(f) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f'(x)dx.$$

Hence, integration by parts yields

$$\varphi(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (0 \vee x) f''(x) dx.$$

Therefore, one may chose  $n = 2$ ,  $g_0(x) = g_1(x)$  and  $g_2(x) = (0 \vee x)$ . This last function is certainly continuous and bounded by  $x^2$ .

One may also chose  $g_2(x) = (0 \vee x) + cx + d$  for any constant  $c, d$ .

- (b) Two integration by parts show, that for  $\varphi = \text{p.v.}(\frac{1}{x})$ , one has

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \varphi(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} x(\ln(x) - 1)(f''(x) - f''(-x))dx.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f) &= \int_0^\infty x(\ln(x) - 1)f''(x)dx + \int_0^\infty (-x)(\ln(x) - 1)f''(-x)dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty x(\ln(x) - 1)f''(x)dx + \int_0^\infty (-x)(\ln(x) - 1)f''(-x)dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty x(\ln(|x|) - 1)f''(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one may chose  $n = 2$ ,  $g_0(x) = g_1(x)$  and  $g_2(x) = x(\ln(|x|) - 1)$ . This last function is certainly continuous (since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x \ln(|x|) = 0$ ) and bounded by  $x^2$ .

One may also chose  $g_2(x) = x(\ln(|x|) - 1) + cx + d$  for any constant  $c, d$ .

9. Prove that the sequence  $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  converges in the weak\* topology to  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for

(a)  $\varphi = \delta(x)$  and  $h_k(x) = 1_{[-1,1]} \frac{k}{2} e^{-k|x|}$ ,

(b)  $\varphi = \text{p.v.}(\frac{1}{x})$  and  $h_k(x) = \frac{x}{x^2+k^{-2}}$ .

- (a) For a given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and a fixed  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \overline{h_k}, f \rangle_{L^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{[-1,1]} \frac{k}{2} e^{-k|x|} f(x) \mu_L(dx) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{[-k,k]} \frac{1}{2} e^{-|y|} f(yk^{-1}) \mu_L(dy). \end{aligned}$$

The integrand is bounded by  $\frac{1}{2} e^{-|y|} \|f\|_{\infty}$ , which is certainly in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mu_L)$ . By the dominated convergence theorem, we therefore may conclude, that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overline{h_k}, f \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} e^{-|y|} f(0) \mu_L(dy) = \delta(x)(f).$$

- (b) For a given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and a fixed  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \overline{h_k}, f \rangle_{L^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x f(x)}{x^2 + k^{-2}} \mu_L(dx) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \left( \frac{1}{x + ik^{-1}} + \frac{1}{x - ik^{-1}} \right) \mu_L(dx) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x) (\ln(x + ik^{-1}) + \ln(x - ik^{-1})) \mu_L(dx) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f''(x) ((x + ik^{-1}) \ln(x + ik^{-1}) - x + (x - ik^{-1}) \ln(x - ik^{-1}) - x) \mu_L(dx) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f''(x) \left( \frac{x}{2} \ln(x^2 + k^{-2}) - x + \frac{i}{2k} \ln\left(\frac{x + ik^{-1}}{x - ik^{-1}}\right) \right) \mu_L(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Because  $f''(x)$  is rapidly decreasing, the integrand is bounded by  $|f''(x)| (x^2 + |x| + |x + 1|^2)$ , which is in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mu_L)$ . By the dominated convergence theorem, we therefore may conclude, that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overline{h_k}, f \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x(\ln(|x|) - 1) f''(x) \mu_L(dx) = \text{p.v.}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)(f).$$

10. Prove that the weak\* topology on  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a topology (see exercise 5).  
Prove that  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is complete when endowed with this topology.

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \tau(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  and  $|\mathcal{F}| \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\varphi \in \cap_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U$ . Then  $\forall U \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $x \in U$ , and there are for every  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  a finite number of Schwartz functions  $f_1^U, \dots, f_{n_U}^U$ , so that

$$\{\eta \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N) : \forall k = 1, \dots, n_U, |\eta(f_k^U) - \varphi(f_k^U)| < 1\} \subset U.$$

But then,  $\cup_{U \in \mathcal{F}} \{f_1^U, \dots, f_{n_U}^U\}$  is also a finite set of Schwartz functions and

$$\{\eta \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N) : \forall U \in \mathcal{F}, \forall k = 1, \dots, n_U, |\eta(f_k^U) - \varphi(f_k^U)| < 1\} \subset \cap_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U$$

and  $\cap_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U \in \tau(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \tau(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  and suppose  $\varphi \in \cup_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U$ . Then there is an open set  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ , so that  $\varphi \in U$  and there is a finite number of Schwartz functions  $f_1^U, \dots, f_{n_U}^U$ , so that

$$\{\eta \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N) : \forall k = 1, \dots, n_U, |\eta(f_k^U) - \varphi(f_k^U)| < 1\} \subset U.$$

But then obviously

$$\{\eta \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N) : \forall k = 1, \dots, n_U, |\eta(f_k^U) - \varphi(f_k^U)| < 1\} \subset \cup_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U$$

and  $\cup_{U \in \mathcal{F}} U \in \tau(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ .

Let  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence for  $\tau(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ . Then this means, that for any  $U \in \tau(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  with  $\varphi_0 \in U$ , there is an  $n_U \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $k, l \geq n_U$  implies  $\varphi_k - \varphi_l \in U$ .

In particular, this means, that for any fixed  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $N_{f, \epsilon}$ , so that for  $k, l \geq N_{f, \epsilon}$ ,  $\varphi_k - \varphi_l \in \{\eta \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N) : |\eta(\frac{f}{\epsilon})| < 1\}$ .

Thus, for any fixed  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $N_{f, \epsilon}$ , so that  $k, l \geq N_{f, \epsilon}$  implies  $|\varphi_k(f) - \varphi_l(f)| < \epsilon$ . Consequently, for any fixed  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $(\varphi_k(f))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$  and the limit  $\lim_k \varphi_k(f)$  exists in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

By linearity of the limits and all tempered distributions  $\varphi_k$ , this implies, that the map

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N) \ni f \mapsto \lim_k \varphi_k(f) =: \varphi(f)$$

is a linear functional on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . It remains to be shown, that  $\varphi$  is continuous.

The family of tempered distributions  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is simply bounded for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , since  $(\varphi_k(f))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{C}$ . By the uniform boundedness principle, this family is therefore equicontinuous, meaning there is an open set  $0 \in U \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , so that  $\forall f \in U, |\varphi_k(f)| < \frac{1}{2}$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By simple convergence,  $\forall f \in U, |\varphi(f)| \leq \frac{1}{2} < 1$ , and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .