

### Solutions – week 9

**Exercise 1.** *Dual.* Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be locally free sheaf of finite rank<sup>1</sup> on a ringed space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. We define  $\mathcal{E}^\vee = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Show that there is a natural isomorphism  $\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ .

*Solution.* Say  $A$  is a ring  $M$  a finite projective  $A$ -module and  $N$  any  $A$ -module. The key is that the evaluation map

$$M^\vee \otimes_A N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$$

sending a pure tensor  $\varphi \otimes n$  to  $m \mapsto \varphi(n)m$  is natural in  $M$  – we mean by this that if  $\psi: M \rightarrow M'$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^\vee \otimes_A N & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \\ \psi^\vee \otimes \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow -\circ\psi \\ M'^\vee \otimes_A N & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(M', N) \end{array}$$

commutes. In addition, this evaluation morphism commutes to localization. Therefore, using the above, we can prove the statement at stalks and suppose that  $\mathcal{E}_x = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^n$  for some  $n$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.** *Compatibilities between  $f^*$ ,  $f_*$  and  $\otimes$ .* Let  $f: (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  be a morphism of ringed spaces.

- (1) Let  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  be sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules. Show that there is a natural isomorphism

$$f^*(\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{H}) \cong f^*(\mathcal{G}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^*(\mathcal{H}).$$

- (2) (*Projection formula.*) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and  $\mathcal{E}$  be a finite locally free sheaf on  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ . Show that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} f_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_*(f^*\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F})$$

*Proof.* or (1), one may show first that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})).$$

Then the claim follows by combining adjunctions.

For (2), we proceed as in the previous exercise, meaning we construct a natural morphism between the implicit functors in  $\mathcal{E}$ , and then using this

<sup>1</sup>For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  a locally free sheaf of rank  $n$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module which is locally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus n}$  where  $U$  ranges in an open cover of  $X$ .

naturality we are allowed to show the claim locally. The natural map correspond by adjunction to tensoring the counit map  $f^*\mathcal{E} \otimes (f_*f^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F})$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 3.** *Points of projective spaces, naturally.* Let  $k$  be a field and  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space. Define

$$\mathbb{P}(V) = \text{Proj}(\text{Sym}(V^\vee)).$$

Let  $l \subset V$  be a  $k$ -linear subspace of dimension 1.

- (1) Show that if  $V$  has dimension 1, then the natural map

$$\mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore by functoriality of Proj when  $V$  is a general finite dimensional vector space, deduce that the map induced by  $l \subset V^2$

$$\mathbb{P}(l) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$$

defines  $k$ -rational point.

- (2) Moreover, show this defines a bijection

$$\mathbb{P}(V)(k) \leftrightarrow \{l \subset V \mid l \text{ is a one dimensional linear subspace of } V\}.$$

*Solution key.* If  $l \subset V$  is a one dimensional subspace, let  $\varphi \in V^\vee$  such that  $\varphi(l) \neq 0$ . Then we deduce that

$$l^\vee = k\varphi.$$

We therefore have  $\text{Sym}(l^\vee) = k[\varphi]$ , where  $\varphi$  is treated as a variable. Therefore  $\text{Proj}(\text{Sym}(l^\vee)) = \text{Spec}(k)$  because  $D_+(\varphi)$  covers  $\text{Proj}(\text{Sym}(l^\vee))$  and  $\text{Sym}(l^\vee)_{(\varphi)} = k$ .

It follows that  $V^\vee \rightarrow l^\vee$  induces a surjection  $\text{Sym}(V^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(l^\vee)$  which induces a closed immersion which is a  $k$ -rational point

$$\mathbb{P}(l) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$$

Write  $\pi: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  for the structure map. Say  $x: \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  is a  $k$ -rational point. Consider the surjection of quasi-coherent sheaves

$$\pi^*V^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1).$$

This comes from the multiplication map at level of graded modules

$$\text{Sym}(V^\vee) \otimes_A V^\vee \rightarrow \text{Sym}(V^\vee)(1),$$

meaning by this that it is sent to the above by the exact functor  $\tilde{\phantom{x}}$ . Now take  $x^*$  to the above surjection of sheaves. It leads to a surjection

$$V^\vee \rightarrow x^*\mathcal{O}(1).$$

Recall that  $x^*\mathcal{O}(1)$  is a  $k$ -vector space of dimension 1. Up to choosing a generator of it, there is some  $v \neq 0$  such that the above map can be seen at the evaluation at  $v$ . Note that choosing a different generator would only alter  $v$  up to a scalar. So  $l := \langle v \rangle$  only depend on the above map. One now checks that the induced  $\mathbb{P}(l) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  gives the other direction of the construction.  $\square$

<sup>2</sup>So Proj of the induced map  $\text{Sym}(V^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(l^\vee)$ .

**Remark.** The above correspondence can also be seen as follows. To a  $l \subset V$ , we associate the homogeneous prime ideal of  $\text{Sym}(V^\vee)$  generated in degree 1 by the kernel of<sup>3</sup>

$$V^\vee \rightarrow l^\vee.$$

From this perspective, we see that  $l \in D_+(\varphi)$  if and only if  $\varphi(l) \neq 0$ . Choosing a basis, we recover what we are used to. Say  $V = k^{n+1}$  and  $v = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i e_i$ , then the above map is the linear form  $(\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_n)$ . The kernel of this map is generated by  $(\lambda_j x_i - \lambda_i x_j)$  where  $x_i$  denotes the dual basis.

**Exercise 4.** *Tautological line bundle.*

Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M$  be a finite projective module. Consider<sup>4</sup>

$$\mathbb{P}(M) := \text{Proj}(\text{Sym}(M^\vee)) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Spec}(A).$$

Let  $c \in M \otimes M^\vee$  be the canonical element corresponding to the identity along the natural isomorphism  $M \otimes M^\vee \cong \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$ .

(1) Show that the sub-module generated on  $D_+(\varphi)$  by

$$c/\varphi \in \pi^* M(D_+(\varphi)) = \text{Sym}(M^\vee)_{(\varphi)} \otimes M$$

defines a *line bundle* that we denote  $\mathcal{O}(-1) \subset \pi^* M$ . Show that this line bundle is isomorphic to the one defined in the lecture.

(2) Show that  $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-1))$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{V}(\pi^* M) = \mathbb{V}(M) \times_A \mathbb{P}(M)$ .

(3) *Tautological line bundle.* For any point  $x \in \text{Spec}(A)$  show that when base-changing to  $\text{Spec}(k(x))$  we obtain the following closed subset on  $k(x)$ -rational points

$$\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-1))(k(x)) = \{(v, l) \subset M(x) \times \mathbb{P}(M(x))(k(x)) \mid v \in l\}.$$

*Solution key.* Note that (2) holds because if  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$  is a sub-vector bundle (see lemmas on vector bundles at the end of this document) then  $\mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'^\vee$  is surjective, which induces a surjective map on  $\text{Sym}$ , and therefore a closed immersion on relative  $\text{Spec}$ . That  $\mathcal{O}(-1) \subset \pi^* M$  is a sub-vector bundle will follow from the proof below.

Write

$$c = \sum_i x_i \otimes e_i$$

for some elements  $x_i \in M^\vee$  and  $e_i \in M$ .<sup>5</sup> These elements have the property that for any  $m \in M$  and  $\varphi \in M^\vee$  we have

$$(1) \quad m = \sum_i x_i(m) e_i. \quad \varphi = \sum_i \varphi(e_i) x_i.$$

This will be used at the end of the proof.

<sup>3</sup>Using exercise 4, one sees that  $x^* \mathcal{O}(1)$  naturally identifies with  $l^\vee$ .

<sup>4</sup>Called the projective bundle associated to  $M$ .

<sup>5</sup>If  $M$  is free, and  $(e_i)$  is a basis, and  $x_i$  a dual basis,  $c = \sum_i x_i \otimes e_i$ .

We slightly differ from the intended route by giving graded constructions. Namely, (1) can be more naturally seen without taking homogeneous localization as the graded inclusion of graded  $\text{Sym}(M^\vee)$ -graded-modules

$$\langle c \rangle \subset \text{Sym}(M^\vee) \otimes_A M$$

where  $c$  is in degree 1. We claim that

$$\text{Sym}(M^\vee)(-1) \rightarrow \langle c \rangle$$

sending 1 to  $c$  (note that the twist is here to make this map a graded map) is an isomorphism. It is surjective by definition. If the ring  $A$  has no non-zero divisors, then it is automatically an isomorphism. Otherwise, it is clear in the case where  $M$  is free – the reader can see how to reduce to this case in a remark at the end of the proof. We now prove (3). We take  $x$  in  $\text{Spec}(A)$  and base change the setup to  $\text{Spec}(k(x))$  (see again the remark after the proof). So we may therefore treat the case where  $A = k$  is a field. Then we want to understand  $k$ -rational points of  $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-1))$ . The image of such a point along  $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}(-1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(M)$  is a  $k$ -rational of  $\mathbb{P}(M)$ . By the above exercise, it corresponds to

$$l \subset M$$

a 1-dimensional sub- $k$ -vector space. Say we fix a such. We want to compute the fiber of  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$  at this point. Taking first the base change at the level of graded modules we get

$$\langle c_l \rangle \subset \text{Sym}(l^\vee) \otimes_A M$$

Where  $c_l$  is the element in degree 1 corresponding to the inclusion  $l \subset M$  via the natural isomorphism  $l^\vee \otimes_A M \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Hom}}_A(l, M)$ . Applying the  $\widetilde{(-)}$  here correspond to picking a generator of  $l^\vee$ , say the restriction of  $\varphi \in M^\vee$  with  $\varphi(l) \neq 0$  and applying the homogeneous localization at  $\varphi$ . Recall that as in the above exercise we have

$$\text{Sym}(l^\vee)_{(\varphi)} = k.$$

Say  $v$  is a generator of  $l$  such that  $\varphi(v) = 1$ . Then,  $x_{i|l} = x_i(v)\varphi_l$  in  $l^\vee$ . Now the homogeneous localization of  $c_l$  is

$$\sum_i \frac{x_{i|l}}{\varphi_l} \otimes e_i = \sum_i x_i(v) \otimes e_i \rightarrow \sum_i x_i(v)e_i = v,$$

along the natural isomorphism  $k \otimes_k M \rightarrow M$  and using Equation (1). We finally get that the  $k$ -linear subspace generated by the homogeneous localization of  $c_l$  in  $M$  is  $l$ . In other words, the fiber of  $\mathcal{O}(-1) \subset \pi^*M$  at the point  $l \subset M$  of  $\mathbb{P}(M)$  is

$$l \subset M.$$

This is why  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$  is called the *tautological line bundle*. □

**Remark.** The definition of  $c$  is invariant under base change and is invariant under isomorphisms of  $M$ . What we mean by this is that for any morphism  $A \rightarrow A'$  we can base change all the above setup to  $A'$  and all the definitions commute to base change. Namely there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\text{Sym}(M^\vee) \otimes_A M) \otimes_A A' \rightarrow \text{Sym}(M'^\vee) \otimes_{A'} M'$$

where  $M' = M \otimes_A A'$  and that under this natural isomorphism  $c$  is sent to  $c'$  where  $c'$  would be defined exactly as  $c$  but for  $M'$ . Also, if  $\psi: M \rightarrow N$  is an isomorphism, note that we have the following square of commuting isomorphisms of  $A$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^\vee \otimes_A M & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(M, M) \\ \psi^{-1\vee} \otimes \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \circ - \circ \psi^{-1} \\ N^\vee \otimes_A N & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(N, N) \end{array}$$

which shows that  $\psi^{-1\vee} \otimes \psi$  is an isomorphism that preserves  $c$ . Using the two facts above, we can harmlessly reduce to the case where  $M$  is free for the above proof: one first localizes on  $A$  to have that  $M$  is isomorphic to a free module, and then use a fixed isomorphism to really make in sort that  $M$  is equal to a free module using the above square.

**Exercise 5. Euler sequence.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M$  a finite projective  $A$ -module.

- (1) *Directional derivative.* For a  $v \in M$ , show that there is a unique  $A$ -derivation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v}: \text{Sym}(M^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(M^\vee)$$

which is equal to the evaluation at  $v$  on elements of degree 1. If  $M$  is free, if  $(e_i)$  and  $(x_i)$  denotes a basis and a dual basis respectively, and  $v = \sum \lambda_i e_i$ , show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v} = \sum_i \lambda_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

- (2) For  $\varphi \in M^\vee$ , show that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$  uniquely extends to an  $A$ -derivation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v}: \text{Sym}(M^\vee)_\varphi \rightarrow \text{Sym}(M^\vee)_\varphi.$$

Deduce that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$  defines an  $A$ -derivation<sup>6</sup>,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v}: \text{Sym}(M^\vee)_{(\varphi)} \rightarrow \text{Sym}(M^\vee)_{(-1)_{(\varphi)}}.$$

- (3) Denote by  $\pi: \mathbb{P}(M) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}(M)|A}^1 = \left( \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(M)|A}^1 \right)^\vee$ . Deduce from the above that there is a  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(M)}$ -linear map

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial(-)}: \pi^* M \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}(M)|A}^1(-1).$$

*Hint:*  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}(M)|A}^1(-1) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(M)}}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(M)|A}^1, \mathcal{O}(-1))$ <sup>7</sup>. Use the universal property of  $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(M)|A}^1$  on affines  $D_+(\varphi)$ .

<sup>6</sup>where  $(-)(-1)$  denotes tensoring by  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ .

<sup>7</sup>Because in general if  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite locally free and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules, then  $\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$

- (4) *Euler sequence.* Show that there is an exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(M)}$ -locally free sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \pi^* M \xrightarrow{\frac{\partial}{\partial(-)}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}(M)|A}^1(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

where the first arrow is the canonical inclusion  $\mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \pi^* M$  and the second is the arrow above. *Hint: show the sequence is exact when restricted to standard opens.*

*Solution key.* (1) First we consider the following situation. Say  $P$  is an  $A$ -module. An  $A$ -linear derivation

$$\mathrm{Sym}_A(P) \rightarrow N$$

for a  $\mathrm{Sym}_A(P)$ -module  $N$  is a  $A$ -linear map satisfying the Leibniz rule. This is the same as a map  $\mathrm{Sym}_A(P)$ -algebra map

$$\mathrm{Sym}_A(P) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_A(P) \oplus_0 N$$

which is a section of the projection. By the universal property of the symmetric algebra, we deduce that this is same as the data of an  $A$ -linear map

$$P \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_A(P) \oplus_0 N$$

such that the first component is the natural degree 1 inclusion  $P \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_A(P)$ . Therefore we deduce that this data is equivalent to an  $A$ -linear map

$$P \rightarrow N$$

All in all we have shown that there is a natural isomorphism in  $N$

$$\mathrm{Der}_A(\mathrm{Sym}_A(P), N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(P, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sym}_A(P)}(\mathrm{Sym}_A(P) \otimes_A P, N).$$

We therefore deduce that

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{Sym}_A(P)|A} = \mathrm{Sym}_A(P) \otimes_A P.$$

The universal derivation  $\mathrm{Sym}_A(P) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_A(P) \otimes_A P$  being entirely determined by sending  $p \in P$  to  $1 \otimes p$  and then defined by the Leibniz rule.

In particular for  $P = M^\vee$ , for any  $v \in M$  there is a unique derivation corresponding to the  $A$ -linear map

$$\mathrm{ev}_m: M^\vee \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(M^\vee).$$

- (2) Follows from the universal property of the derivations and because derivating with respect to a variable of degree 1 decrease the degree of polynomial by 1, as usually implied by the Leibniz rule.  
 (3) On an affine  $D_+(\varphi)$  we define

$$M \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(M^\vee)_{(\varphi)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_A(\mathrm{Sym}(M^\vee)_{(\varphi)}, \mathrm{Sym}(M^\vee)_{(\varphi)}(-1))$$

by sending  $v \otimes \frac{f}{\varphi^{\deg(f)}}$  to the derivation  $\frac{f}{\varphi^{\deg(f)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ . This glues because this only depends on where to send  $M$ .

- (4) Working locally is enough. Indeed the sequence is functorial in  $\mathcal{E}$ , so this is sufficient. Let's say that  $\mathcal{E} = A^{n+1}$ . Fix an  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ , without loss of generality say  $i = 0$ . Denote by  $t_j = \frac{x_j}{x_0}$ . Note that (we just need to choose where to send each  $t_j$ )

$$\mathrm{Der}_A(A[t_1, \dots, t_n], \frac{1}{x_0} A[t_1, \dots, t_n]) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} A[t_1, \dots, t_n] \frac{1}{x_0}.$$

In term of the above basis, we have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} = (-\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, -\frac{x_n}{x_0})$ , because

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \left( \frac{x_j}{x_0} \right) = \frac{-x_j}{x_0^2} = \frac{1}{x_0} \frac{-x_j}{x_0}.$$

Also we have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = e_j$ . As  $e_i \in (A[t_0, \dots, t_n])^{\oplus n+1}$  is sent to  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  we get that the matrix of the map is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x_1}{x_0} & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\frac{x_n}{x_0} & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that the map is surjective and that the kernel is generated by

$$\sum_{j=0}^n e_j \otimes \frac{x_j}{x_0} = \frac{c}{x_0}$$

which proves the claim. (We used the notation  $c$  from the exercise on the tautological line bundle). □

Note the following lemmas about inclusion of vector bundles.

**Lemma.** Let  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be an inclusion of finite locally free sheaves on a scheme  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) For every  $x \in X$  the fiber  $\mathcal{E}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(x)$  is injective.
- (2) The dual map  $\mathcal{F}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee$  is surjective.
- (3) The cokernel  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{E}$  is locally free.

*Proof.* Because the statement is local and invariant under isomorphism, we are in the situation of an injective map

$$R^{\oplus n} \rightarrow R^{\oplus m}$$

between free modules for some ring  $R$ , given by some matrix  $M$ .

We show that (1) implies (2). The fiber of  $M(\mathfrak{p})^T$  of the transpose of the matrix is injective because the dual map correspond to the transpose matrix, so we just use the hypothesis (1). Now it implies that  $M(\mathfrak{p})$  defines a surjective map because this is true for vector spaces that the dual of an injective map is surjective.<sup>8</sup> Therefore by Nakayama we deduce that the dual map is surjective, proving (2).

---

<sup>8</sup>Which is false for general modules! Look at  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now we prove that (2) implies (3). Say that we are given an injective map  $N \rightarrow M$  between finite projective modules. Therefore we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M/N, R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, R) \dashrightarrow 0$$

where the dashed arrow is the hypothesis (2). Because the kernel of a map between finite projective modules is finite projective, then  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M/N, R)$  is projective. Now, taking the dual of an exact sequence of finite projective modules is again a short exact sequence of finite projective modules, so we have a morphism of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M/N \dashrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N^{\vee\vee} & \longrightarrow & M^{\vee\vee} & \longrightarrow & (M/N)^{\vee\vee} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

so we conclude using the five lemma that  $M/N \cong (M/N)^{\vee\vee}$ . But  $(M/N)^{\vee\vee}$  is the dual of  $(M/N)^\vee$  which was shown above to be locally free, so we can conclude because the dual of a locally free sheaf is locally free.

We conclude by showing that (3) implies (1). Namely we use the exact sequence

$$0 = \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(k(\mathfrak{p}), M/N) \longrightarrow N(\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow M(\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow (M/N)(\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow 0$$

which concludes. The first group is zero because  $M/N$  is flat.  $\square$

We say that  $N \rightarrow M$  is a *sub-bundle* when it satisfies one of the equivalent conditions of the lemma.