

Hand-in 4

Exercise to hand in. *Resolving singularities of a surface.* (Due Wednesday November 19, 12:00) Please write your solution in \TeX .

Let k be a field of characteristic different than 2. Consider

$$S = \text{Spec} \left(\frac{k[x, y, z]}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)} \right).$$

- (1) Show that S is not smooth using the Jacobian criterion.
- (2) Consider $S' \rightarrow S$ the blow-up of S at $V(x, y, z)$. Using the Jacobian criterion on each standard blow-up affine chart¹ show that S' is smooth over k .
- (3) On each standard blow-up affine chart $U \subset S'$, show that

$$\text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_{S'}(U), \mathcal{O}_{S'}(U))$$

is a free $\mathcal{O}_{S'}(U)$ -module of rank 2, and find two explicit derivations which are generators of this free module.

Solution key. (1) The Jacobian criterion amounts to look at the ideal

$$(2x, 2y, 2z, x^2, y^2, z^2) = (x, y, z) \neq (1)$$

so S is not smooth over k .

- (2) We use the description explained in the blow-up document on moodle. By symmetry we only consider the chart $k[x, \frac{y}{x}, \frac{z}{x}]$ of the blow-up. The strict transform in this chart will be given by

$$\frac{k[x, \frac{y}{x}, \frac{z}{x}]}{1 + \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{z}{x}\right)^2}.$$

Let us write $y' = \frac{y}{x}$ and $z' = \frac{z}{x}$. The Jacobian criterion now is

$$(2y', 2z', 1 + y'^2 + z'^2) = (1)$$

which concludes to the smoothness over k .

- (3) By symmetry, we only consider the chart $P := k[x, \frac{y}{x}, \frac{z}{x}]$ and write by abuse of notation " $y = \frac{y}{z}$ " and " $z = \frac{z}{x}$ ". Let

$$A = \frac{k[x, y, z]}{1 + y^2 + z^2}.$$

We want to compute $\text{Der}_k(A, A)$. Using the same method as in hand-in 3, we see that we want to look at derivations in $D \in \text{Der}_k(P, A)$ such that

$$(1) \quad D(1 + y^2 + z^2) = 0.$$

¹Take the symmetry of the problem to your advantage.

Write

$$D = f_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + f_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + f_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

for $(f_x, f_y, f_z) \in A^3$. Using Equation (1) we get that

$$f_y 2y + f_z 2z = 0$$

Because we suppose that 2 is invertible, we get

$$f_y y + f_z z = 0.$$

Note that $D(y)$ and $D(z)$ cover $\text{Spec}(A)$ because

$$(y, z, 1 + y^2 + z^2) = k[x, y, z].$$

So as in hand-in 3, we can deduce that there is some $g \in A$ with

$$D = f_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g(z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}).$$

We want to show that there is no relation between the generators $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial z})$. To do this we need to show that if $a_1, a_2 \in A$ with

$$a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + a_2(z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = 0$$

then $a_1 = a_2 = 0$.² Evaluate this derivation at x . Then $a_1 = 0$. Evaluate this derivation at y . Then

$$a_2 z = 0$$

But z is a non-zero divisor in A . This is equivalent to say that z does not divide the polynomial $1 + y^2 + z^2$ in $k[x, y, z]$, which is true. So $a_2 = 0$, concluding. \square

²We are exactly showing that $A \oplus A \rightarrow A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + A(z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = \text{Der}_k(A, A) \subset \text{Der}_k(P, A)$ is an isomorphism (so injective because surjective by definition), or in other words that this module is free of rank 2. This is what was asked in the statement of the exercise.