

Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$ be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring. We constructed
a scheme $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = (\text{Proj}(R), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(R)})$; Set $R_+ = \bigoplus_{i > 0} R_i$
Recall $\text{Proj}(R) = \{ P \mid P \text{ homogeneous prime, } P \not\subset R_+ \}$
The top on $\text{Proj}(R)$ has open basis $\{ D_+(f) \}$ of forms of +ve deg
 $D_+(f) = \{ P \in \text{Proj}(R) \mid f \notin P \}$
 $\mathcal{O}_X(D_+(f)) \cong (R[f])_0$

Recall, a \mathbb{Z} -graded R mod $M \in \text{Mod}_R$
such that $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} M_\lambda$ as an ab group

and $R_{\lambda_1} \cdot M_{\lambda_2} \subseteq M_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}, \forall \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A graded R -lin map (or simply a graded map)
between two graded mods is an R lin map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$
s.t $\varphi(M_\lambda) \subseteq N_\lambda \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The set of \mathbb{Z} -graded R mods with graded
 R -lin map forms a set denoted Mod_R^{gr}
Given $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$, we construct a sheaf of
 \mathcal{O}_X -mods, denoted \tilde{M} on $X = \text{Proj } R$

Caution: This \tilde{M} is not the quot sheaf \tilde{M} on
 $\text{Spec}(R)$

Note given a mult closed set S of homogeneous
elts of R , $S^{-1}R$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded ring.
 $S^{-1}M$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded mod / $S^{-1}R$.
 $(S^{-1}R)_\lambda = \{ r/s \in S^{-1}R \mid \deg r - \deg s = \lambda \}$
 $(S^{-1}M)_\lambda = \{ m/s \in S^{-1}M \mid \deg m - \deg s = \lambda \}$

For $p \in \text{Proj}(R)$, set $S_p^* = \text{hom elts of } R \setminus p$
 $M_{(p)} = (S_p^{-1}M)_0$

Def of \tilde{M} : Consider the presheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -mods on
 X ,

$$\tilde{M}(U) = \left\{ \text{set maps } s: U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{p \in U} M_{(p)} \mid \begin{array}{l} \bullet \text{ for every } p \in U, \exists \text{ homogeneous} \\ \text{ } \varphi \text{ of +ve deg, s.t } p \notin \text{supp } \varphi \text{ and} \\ \bullet m \in M_{\deg \varphi} \text{ s.t } \forall q \in D_+(\varphi) \cap U \\ \varphi(q) = m/\varphi \in M_{(q)} \end{array} \right\}$$

End of 12.11.2025
Lecture

Start of 14.11.2025 Lecture

Recall: $\tilde{R} = \mathcal{O}_X$, So for $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, $t \in \tilde{M}(U)$
 $(s \cdot t)(q) = \frac{s(q) \cdot t(q)}{R(q)} \in M_{(q)} \forall q \in U$

Thm: 1) \tilde{M} is a sheaf for any $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$.
For $p \in \text{Proj } R$, the natural map $M_{(p)} \rightarrow (\tilde{M})_p$ is an isom
with inverse given by $s \mapsto s(p)$ for a section $s \in \tilde{M}(U)$,
 $p \in U$.

2) Given a graded R -lin map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$,
naturally have $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$
for $t \in \tilde{M}(U)$, $\tilde{\varphi}_U(t)(p) = \varphi_{(p)}(t(p))$, $\varphi_{(p)}: M_{(p)} \rightarrow N_{(p)}$
 $p \in U$

3) $\varphi_1 \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_2 = \tilde{\varphi}_1 \cdot \varphi_2$, $\text{id}_{\tilde{M}} = \text{id}_{\tilde{M}}$
So $M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ is given a function $\text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

Thm: For $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$, $\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}(X)$.
Moreover $\Gamma(D_+(f), \tilde{M}) \cong (M[f])_0$ for

Thm. For $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{gr}$; $\tilde{M} \in \text{Gr}_R(X)$.
 Moreover $\Gamma(D_+(\mathbb{A}), \tilde{M}) \cong (M[\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}]_0)$. for
 every homogeneous \mathbb{A} of +ve deg.

Pf. Since being quasi is a local property, it's
 enough to check that for any homogeneous \mathbb{A} of
 +ve deg $\tilde{M}|_{D_+(\mathbb{A})}$ is quasi.

Sub $\Gamma = M[\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}]_0$. The identity map
 $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma(D_+(\mathbb{A}), \tilde{M})$ gives an $\mathcal{O}_{D_+(\mathbb{A})}$ -line map
 $\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{M}|_{D_+(\mathbb{A})}$ as $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is quasi on the
 affine $D_+(\mathbb{A})$.

Claim. $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is an isom.

Pf. We check isom at the stalks at $p \in D_+(\mathbb{A})$.

Recall that $D_+(\mathbb{A}) \cong \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}]_0)$
 $q \mapsto q \cap \mathbb{R}[\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}]_0 =: \mathfrak{q}_a$

The map induced by $\tilde{\Gamma} : (M[\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}]_0)_{\mathfrak{q}_a} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_p \cong M(\mathfrak{q}) \otimes \mathbb{A}_p$

$$g \in S_p^{\mathbb{A}} \quad , \quad \frac{m/\mathfrak{q}_a}{g/\mathfrak{q}_a} \longmapsto \frac{m/\mathfrak{q}_a}{g/\mathfrak{q}_a}$$

Note $(M[\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}]_0)_{\mathfrak{q}_a} = \left[(S_p^{\mathbb{A}})^{-1} M[\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}] \right]_{\mathfrak{q}_a} = (S_p^{\mathbb{A}})^{-1} M$.

So $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is an isom.

Def. $F: A \rightarrow B$ is a functor between two abelian categories

- ① F is called additive if for any $a, b \in A$
 $\text{Hom}_A(a, b) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(F(a), F(b))$ is a group hom.
- ② F is called exact if F is additive and F preserves
 kernel, cokernel.

Rmk. ① An additive functor preserves direct sum; i.e. $F(a \oplus b) \cong F(a) \oplus F(b)$
 ② An exact functor preserves short exact sequences.

Rmk. So \tilde{M} can be thought to be obtained by
 gluing $M[\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}]_0$ on $D_+(\mathbb{A})$ for different \mathbb{A} 's.

Thm. ① $\mathbb{A}: M \rightarrow N$ is a graded R -mod map.
 $\text{Ker } \mathbb{A} \hookrightarrow \text{Ker } \mathbb{A}$, $\text{coker } \mathbb{A} \cong \text{coker } \mathbb{A}$ (prove at each stalk) } i.e. $M \mapsto \tilde{M}$
 is an exact
 functor.

② If $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact in Mod_R^{gr}
 [This includes the hypothesis that all the maps are
 graded]
 Then $D \rightarrow \tilde{M}' \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}'' \rightarrow 0$ is also exact in
 $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$

③ $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \tilde{M}_i$ for any set I .

Thm. ① $\tilde{M} = 0 \iff \forall \mathbb{A}$ homogeneous of +ve deg $M[\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}]_0 = 0$
 \iff Given a covering $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_+(\mathbb{A}_i)$, $M[\mathbb{A}_i/\mathbb{A}_i]_0 = 0$

\iff Given a covering $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_+(\mathbb{A}_i)$, for any \mathbb{A} and any homogeneous $m \in M$
 s.t. $\deg \mathbb{A} = \deg m$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}(m, \mathbb{A}_i)$ s.t. $\mathbb{A}_i^n \cdot m = 0$

[A special case is when one can choose \mathbb{A}_i 's of
 deg 1 s.t. $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_+(\mathbb{A}_i)$]

② For $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{gr}$,

$\tilde{M} = 0 \iff \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} M_\lambda \hookrightarrow M$. \tilde{M} is an isom.

Pf. $\tilde{M} = 0 \iff \forall \mathbb{A}$ homogeneous of +ve deg $\tilde{M}|_{D_+(\mathbb{A})} = 0$
 $\iff M[\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}]_0 = 0$
 $\iff \tilde{M}$ is zero

Pf. $\tilde{M} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall f$ forms of +ve deg $\dots D_{+}(f)$
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{for a collection } \{f_i\}_{i \in I}, f_i \text{ forms of +ve deg}$
 $s.t. X \subseteq D_{+}(f_i), M[\tilde{M}]_0 = 0$

Now assume $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_{+}(f_i)$

$$M[\tilde{M}]_0 = \{m/f_i \mid \deg m = n \deg f_i\}$$

$$M[\tilde{M}]_0 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{for } m \in M_\lambda \text{ s.t. } \deg f_i \mid \lambda$$

$$m/f_i \lambda / \deg f_i = 0 \in M[\tilde{M}]_0 \subseteq M[\tilde{M}]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists i, n, m \neq 0 \text{ for some } n$$

2) Consider the exact seq in $\text{Mod}_R^{\mathbb{N}}$

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} M_\lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} M_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

Every nonzero f forms all in \mathcal{Q} left to a non-zero forms all, m of -ve deg in M

Now for every forms all, f of R of +ve deg $\deg f^n \cdot m \geq 0$ for $n > 0 \Rightarrow f^n \cdot m = 0$
 \uparrow
 $m \in \mathcal{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Q}} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} M_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

So far we have constructed an exact functor $\text{Mod}_R^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\text{Proj}(R))$

Under some finiteness assumption on R we will construct an exact functor $T_R^* : \mathcal{Q}(\text{Proj}(R)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\mathbb{N}}$ s.t $T_R^* \circ \tilde{}$ is a natural isom.

The finiteness assumption on R : From now on, unless otherwise stated we assume R is an \mathbb{N} -graded ring which is finitely gen over the local zero prime \mathcal{P}_0

This is equivalent to saying one of the following.

(a) \exists homogeneous elems of +ve degree $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in R$ s.t the \mathcal{P}_0 -deg map

$$\mathcal{P}_0[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow R \text{ is sur.}$$

$$x_i \mapsto g_i$$

(b) The ideal \mathcal{P}_+ is generated by finitely many homogeneous elems (for a choice g_1, \dots, g_n as above, $\mathcal{P}_+ = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$)

You will notice that the following property of R is what we use in the sequel.

Prop. Let R be as before. $\exists d \in \mathbb{N} > 0$ and homogeneous elements of R h_1, \dots, h_r ; each of degree d such that $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Proj}}(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_{+}(h_i)$

Pf. Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n be as before the alg generators set $h_i = \prod_{j \neq i} g_j$; $d = \deg(g_1) \deg(g_2) \dots \deg(g_n)$

Then $\deg(h_i) = d, \forall i$. Since $\sqrt{(h_1, \dots, h_n)} = \sqrt{(g_1, \dots, g_n)} = \mathcal{P}_+$
 $\bigcup_{i=1}^r D_{+}(h_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_{+}(g_i) = \mathcal{P}_{\text{Proj}}(R)$

Locally free sheaves:

A sheaf \mathcal{F} on $\text{Proj}(R)$ is called locally free if \exists an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of $\text{Proj}(R)$ such that $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{\oplus r_i}$

Locally free sheaves:

Def X be a scheme, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is called locally free if \exists an open covering $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ s.t. $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{\oplus r}$ for some set I ; $|I|$ is called the rank of $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$.

Def: A locally free sheaf of constant rank r is called an invertible sheaf. In literature invertible sheaves are called line bundles.

Prop \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf. Denote $\mathcal{L}^V = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Then the natural map $\mathcal{L}^V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is an isom. $\varphi \otimes f \rightarrow \varphi(f)$. \mathcal{L}^V is also denoted \mathcal{L}^{-1} .

Some twist: R as before. From now on fix choices of $f \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and homogeneous elts g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r of deg d s.t. $\text{Proj}(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_+(g_i)$. Set $X = \text{Proj}(R)$.

Def. For $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$, $M(n) \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$ is the object whose underlying R -mod is M , but $M(n)_m = M_{m-n}$.

- $\mathcal{O}_X(n) := \tilde{R}(n)$
- For $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, $\mathcal{F}(n) := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)$. $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is called the n -th \mathcal{O}_X twist of \mathcal{F} .

Note There is a map $M_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \tilde{M})$ and so $M_n = (M(n))_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \tilde{M}(n))$

Prop (i) There are natural maps $\mathcal{O}_X(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(n+m)$ and $\mathcal{F}(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(n+m)$

(ii) For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{O}_X(nd)$ is invertible. The map $\mathcal{O}_{D_+(g_i)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(nd)|_{D_+(g_i)}$ is an isom. $\mathcal{O}_X(nd)^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-nd)$

(iii) For any graded F , $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $F(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nd) \rightarrow F(m+nd)$ is an isom.

Pf. (i) $\mathcal{O}_X(n)|_{D_+(g_i)} \cong (R^{(n)}[\frac{1}{g_i}])_0 \cong (R[\frac{1}{g_i}])_n$

Thus $\mathcal{O}_X(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(n+m)$ is given by $R[\frac{1}{g}]_n \otimes R[\frac{1}{g}]_m \rightarrow R[\frac{1}{g}]_{n+m}$

Rest is clear.

(ii) For g_i as before, $\mathcal{O}_X(nd)|_{D_+(g_i)} \cong R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_{(nd)}$

Note that $R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_0 \rightarrow R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_{nd}$ is an isom of $R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_0 \text{ mod } 1 \rightarrow g_i^n$

Let's check surjectivity. Any elt in $R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_{nd}$ is of the form $\frac{a}{g_i^n}$ s.t. $\deg a - nd = nd$

Let's check injectivity. Any elt in $K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$

form $\frac{d}{g_i^t}$ s.t. $\deg d - td = nd$

Rewrite $\frac{d}{g_i^t} = \frac{d}{g_i^t g_i^n} \cdot g_i^n$

(ii) Suffices to take $F = \mathcal{O}_X$. We check that the induced map $R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_m \otimes R[\frac{1}{g_j}]_0 \rightarrow R[\frac{1}{g_j}]_{m+nd}$ is an isom.

The inverse is given by $d \mapsto \frac{d}{g_i^{nd}} \otimes g_i^{nd}$

Eg: Let H be the Hyperplane $X_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{P}^n$.

Define an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ mod. \mathcal{L} by $\mathcal{L}(U) = \{ f \in K(\mathbb{P}^n) = K(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mid f \text{ has no pole outside } H, f \text{ can have poles of order at most } m \}$

Then $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)$

Construction of T_x :

$R, \{g_i\}$ be as before. $X = \text{Proj}(R)$

Def. Given $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, set $T_x \mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n))$

Prop (i) $T_x \mathcal{O}_X$ is a ring. $T_x \mathcal{F}$ is an R_x -module

(ii) There is a map of graded rings $R \rightarrow R_x$.

(iii) There $T_x \mathcal{F}$ is naturally an R_x -mod.

(iv) There is an \mathcal{O}_X -lin map $T_x \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$

Pf (i), (ii), (iii) clear

• We describe $T_x \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}_x \otimes_{R_x} R_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x \otimes_{R_x} R_x$ for each i

Since $T_x \mathcal{F}$ is quot, enough to describe

$$T_x \mathcal{F}[\frac{1}{g_i}]_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(D_+(g_i))$$

Any $d \in T_x \mathcal{F}[\frac{1}{g_i}]_0$ is of the form $\frac{d}{g_i^n}$ where $d = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(nd))$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $g_i^{-n} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-nd))$. There is a map $\Gamma(D_+(g_i), \mathcal{F}(nd)) \otimes \Gamma(D_+(g_i), \mathcal{O}_X(-nd)) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Gamma(D_+(g_i), \mathcal{F})$

Send d to $\varphi(\frac{d}{g_i^n} \otimes g_i^n)$

One needs to prove that this map is well defined, i.e. does not depend on the representation $\frac{d}{g_i^n}$

Pf.
 (i) Choose an affine open covering $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_j$ s.t.
 $\mathcal{L}|_{U_j} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_j}$. Fix isomorphisms $\mathcal{L}|_{U_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_j}$, denote the
 image of $\mathcal{L}|_{U_j}$ by f_j

$t_1|_{U_j \cap D_x} = 0$, $U_j \cap D_x = D_{U_j}(f_j)$ [This means the basic affine open given by f_j inside U_j]

Since U_j is affine and $\mathcal{G}|_{U_j}$ is
 qcoh $f_j^{n_j} \cdot t_1 = 0 \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G}|_{U_j})$ for some n_j

$\Rightarrow t_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_j}|_{U_j} = 0 \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_j})$

Take $n = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_m\}$, then $t_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^n = 0 \neq 0$
 $\Rightarrow t_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^n = 0 \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$

(ii) Since $D_x \cap U_j = D(f_j)$ in U_j and
 $\mathcal{G}(D_x \cap U_j) = \mathcal{G}(U_j)[\frac{1}{f_j}]$ [$\because \mathcal{G}$ is qcoh]

$\exists n_j$ s.t. $f_j^{n_j} \cdot t$ is the restriction of a section in
 $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, U_j)$ to $D(f_j) = D_x \cap U_j$. This means
 $\exists t_j \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_j})$ s.t. $t \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_j} = t_j|_{D_x \cap U_j}$

Let $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m\}$

Set $t'_j = t_j \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0 - n_j} \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0})$

On $U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} \cap D_x$ $t'_{j_1} = t'_{j_2} = t|_{U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} \cap D_x} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0}|_{U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} \cap D_x}$

Since Y is quasi-separated, $U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}$ is quasi-compact.

So by (i) $\exists n_{j_1 j_2}$ s.t. $(t'_{j_1} - t'_{j_2}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_{j_1 j_2}}|_{U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}} = 0$

Take $N = \max\{n_{j_1 j_2}\}$. Thus $t'_{j_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^N$ glue to produce
 a section $t \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0 + N})$

$t|_{D_x} = t \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0 + N}$ (check the restrictions to each U_j).

Back to (†)

injectivity.

If $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{I}^n$ goes to zero

$\mathcal{L}|_{D_+(q_i)} = 0 \Rightarrow \exists n_i$ s.t. $\mathcal{L} \cdot q_i^{n_i} = 0 \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n_i d))$
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{I}^n = 0$ in $(\Gamma_0 \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{Y}_{q_i}])_0$

Surjectivity.

Not e $X = \bigcup D_+(q_i)$

$D_+(q_\alpha) \cap D_+(q_\beta) = D_+(q_\alpha q_\beta)$

\therefore we can apply (ii) of Lemma above on X .

$$D = k[x_1, \dots, x_p] \quad \dots \quad \dots$$

So we can apply (ii) of Lemma above on X .

Given $t \in \mathbb{F}(D_+(g_i))$. $\exists n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.
 $t \otimes g_i^{n_i} = \tilde{t} \Big|_{D_+(g_i)}$ for some $\tilde{t} \in \mathbb{F}(X, \mathbb{F}(n_i d))$

Then $\tilde{t}/g_i^{n_i} \in (\mathbb{P}_0 \mathbb{F}[1/g_i])_0$ with its image
in $\mathbb{F} \Big|_{D_+(g_i)}$ being t .

Thm: Assume that \exists hom. elts of +ve deg g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r
s.t. $R = R_0[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r]$ and $\text{Proj}(R) = X$ is locally
noeth. Given $\mathbb{F} \in \text{Ab}(X)$, \exists a finitely gen $M \in \text{Mod}_R^n$
s.t. $\tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}$

Pf. Choose n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r s.t. $\deg g_i^{n_i} = \deg g_j^{n_j} = d \forall i, j$

Then $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_+(g_i^{n_i})$. So $\mathcal{O}_X(d)$ is invertible.

Realize $g_i^{n_i} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$. Note $Dg_i^{n_i} = D_+(g_i^{n_i})$

\uparrow
 $g_i^{n_i}$ is thought of in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$

Since \mathbb{F} is coh, $\Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathbb{F})$ is a f.g $\mathbb{P}(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathcal{O}_X)$
mod. By the lemma above, $\exists s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{r_i} \in \Gamma(X, \mathbb{F}(d_i d))$
such that $\{s_d/g_i^{n_i d}\}_{d=1, \dots, r_i}$ is a set of gen.

By varying i and possibly increasing d_i , we can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$
& finitely many elts $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \Gamma(X, \mathbb{F}(dm))$.

s.t. $\forall i, \{t_i/g_i^{n_i m}\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ generate the $\Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathcal{O}_X)$
mod $\mathbb{F}(D_+(g_i^{n_i}))$.

Let M be the (finitely generated) R -submodule
of $\mathbb{P}_0 \mathbb{F}$ generated by t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n .

Claim: The inclusion map $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_0 \mathbb{F}$ induces an isom
 $\tilde{M} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{P}_0 \mathbb{F}}$ in $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

Pf. It's enough to check that for each i

the induced map $\mathbb{P}(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \tilde{M}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \tilde{\mathbb{P}_0 \mathbb{F}})$
is an isom.
 $\uparrow \cong \quad \uparrow \cong$
 $(M[1/g_i^{n_i}])_0 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{P}_0 \mathbb{F}[1/g_i^{n_i}])_0$

The injectivity follows as $M \subseteq \mathbb{P}_0 \mathbb{F}$; surjectivity follows
from the diag
 $(M[1/g_i^{n_i}])_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}(D_+(g_i^{n_i m}))$

from the diag $(M[\frac{1}{g_i}])_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_i(D_+(g_i^{n_i m}))$
 $\searrow \quad \nearrow$
 $(\mathbb{P}_x \mathbb{F}_i[\frac{1}{g_i^{n_i m}}])_0$
 where the top arrow is sur by construction.

Invertible sheaves:

Def: X be a scheme. A locally free sheaf of rank 1 is called an invertible sheaf.

Proof: Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \quad (\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \mapsto \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L})$$

is an isom

ii) For an \mathcal{O}_X -mod \mathcal{F} , suppose there is an \mathcal{O}_X -mod \mathcal{G} and an isom $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. Then \mathcal{F} is invertible.

Pf: i) Given $x \in X$, choose an open nbhd U of x s.t

$\mathcal{L}|_U \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_U$. We have a diag using this isom

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{L}|_U, \mathcal{O}_U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{L}|_U & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_U \\ \downarrow \cong & & \parallel \text{id} \\ \mathcal{O}_U \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{O}_U, \mathcal{O}_U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_U & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_U \end{array}$$

Since the bottom row is an isom, we are done.

ii) Check at stalks.

Proof: X be a scheme. The isom class of invertible \mathcal{O}_X -mod form an abelian group, denoted $\text{Pic}(X)$ - called the Picard group or the group of invertible sheaf.

Prop/Eg: R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring. Suppose $\exists g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r$ each of dg d such that $\text{Proj}(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_+(g_i)$.

Then for each n , $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is invertible.

- So if $d=1$, each $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ invertible
- Assume R is gen over R_0 by dg 1 elt as an alg (i.e R standard graded), then $d=1$ and each $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is invertible.

End of 14.11.25 Lecture

Eg: We will see $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{C}^1) \cong \{id\}$, $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}(1)$
 \uparrow
 $\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1 = \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1])$

Def: X scheme, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is called globally generated if there is a surjection of \mathcal{O}_X mods $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$, (n need not be finite)

is a surjection of $\mathcal{O}_X \text{-mod} \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, (I need not be finite)

Proof: Note $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{G}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{G})$. So giving a ^{surj} morphism of $\mathcal{O}_X \text{-mod} \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the same as choosing I many elts of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{G})$, such that those generate every stalk $\mathcal{G}_x, x \in X$.

Def: An invertible $\mathcal{O}_X \text{-mod} \mathcal{L}$ is called ample, if for any $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X) \exists n_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall n \geq n_{\mathcal{F}}$, $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^n$ is globally generated.

Prop: \mathcal{L} is ample $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}^n$ is ample for some $n \in \mathbb{N} > 0$.

Thm: Let $R = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} R_{\lambda}$ be a noetherian graded ring, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r be deg d elts s.t. $X = \text{Proj}(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r V_+(g_i)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_X(m)$ is ample for any $m > 0$.

Rmk: Recall that R is noeth $\Leftrightarrow R_0$ is noeth and R is a finite type R_0 -alg.

We first prove the Theorem assuming R is standard graded ($d=1$) i.e. R is generated as an R_0 -algebra by finitely many deg 1 elements. Then we will deduce the general case by passing to the standard graded case.

By the Prop before it's enough to show that $\mathcal{O}_X(dm) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X(m)^{\otimes d}$ is ample - we show this.

Recall $R^{(dm)}$ is the graded ring whose underlying ring is $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} R_{dm+j}$ but whose λ -th graded piece is $R_{dm+\lambda}$. By the lemma above $R^{(dm)}$ is generated over its degree 0-piece - R_0 , by its set of degree 1 elts. So $R^{(dm)}$ is a standard graded ring.

The above modifications with the following Prop often allows to reduce a problem about non-standard graded ring to a standard graded ring.

Prop: Let S be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring, $a \in \mathbb{N}$, the inclusion map of rings $S^{(a)} \hookrightarrow S$ (it takes deg j elts to deg dj elts) induces an isom $\varphi: \text{Proj } S \rightarrow \text{Proj}(S^{(a)})$ such that $\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(S^{(a)})}(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_S(a)$

pf: Ex.

Returning to the proof of ampleness, take $a = m d_2$.

Consider $R^{(a)} \hookrightarrow R$.

Claim: If S is a standard graded noetherian ring, $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(S)}(1)$ is ample

Pf. Given a coh sheaf \mathcal{G} , choose a finitely generated S mod N such that $\mathcal{G} \cong \tilde{N}$. Choose from N n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s of N that generates N . Permuting the order assume $\deg n_1 \leq \deg n_2 \leq \dots \leq \deg n_s$.

Claim For $\lambda \geq \lambda' \geq \deg n_s$, $N_\lambda = S_{\lambda - \lambda'} \cdot N_{\lambda'}$

Pf. For $\lambda \geq \deg n_s$, choose $x \in N_\lambda$.

$$x = f_1 n_1 + \dots + f_s n_s, \quad \deg f_i = \deg x - \deg n_i$$

So f_i is sum of prod of $\deg x - \deg n_i$ monomials in the $\deg 1$ generators of S . So each f_i can be written as $f_i = \sum_j \tilde{f}_j^i \cdot q_j$, where $\deg \tilde{f}_j^i = \deg n_s - \deg n_i$
 $\deg q_j = \deg x - \deg n_s$. Thus $x = \sum_i \sum_j (\tilde{f}_j^i \cdot n_i) \cdot q_j$

$$\deg \tilde{f}_j^i \cdot n_i = \deg n_s \quad \forall i, j. \quad \text{So } N_\lambda = S_{\lambda - \deg n_s} \cdot N_{\deg n_s}$$

$$\text{So } N_\lambda = S_{\lambda - \lambda'} \cdot S_{\lambda' - \deg n_s} N_{\deg n_s}$$

$$= S_{\lambda - \lambda'} \cdot N_{\lambda'}$$

Claim: For $\lambda \geq \deg n_s$, $\tilde{N}(\lambda)$ is glb gen.

Pf. Take $\lambda \geq \deg n_s$.

Since S is noetherian, N f.g., \exists homo elts d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t generating N_λ as an S_0 -mod.

Consider the graded map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus^t S & \longrightarrow & N(\lambda) \\ \downarrow \alpha_i & & \downarrow d_i \end{array}$$

By the claim above the map is sur onto $\bigoplus N_{d_i}$.

So the cokernel is annihilated by $(S_+)^{\deg d_i}$, $i \geq 1$

So the sheaf given by cokernel is 0 on $\text{Proj } S$ is 0.

Thus taking \sim in \mathcal{X} , get a sur map

$$\bigoplus^t \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(S)} \longrightarrow \tilde{N}(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0$$

Claim: Since S is standard graded $\tilde{N}(\lambda) \cong \tilde{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(S)}(\lambda)$

Pf. Ex.

Recall $a = d m d_2$ and $R^{(a)} \hookrightarrow R$ induces an isom

16- 20'

Recall $a = \text{dim } k$ and $R^{(a)} \hookrightarrow R$ induces an isom

$$\varphi: \text{Proj}(R) \rightarrow \text{Proj}(R^{(a)}) \text{ such that } \varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{R^{(a)}}(1)) = \mathcal{O}_R(a)$$

Since φ is an isom, pull back of an ample sheaf ^{is} ample. Thus $\mathcal{O}_R(\text{dim } k)$ is ample $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(d)$ is ample.