

Exercise Sheet 13

Algebraic Number Theory

January 7, 2026

Exercise 1 (Dirichlet series, analytic properties). Let $(a_n)_n$ be a sequence of complex numbers and consider the series, for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$. This is called Dirichlet series.

1. Let $\sigma_a((a_n)_n) = \inf\{\sigma \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n^\sigma} < \infty\}$ and suppose that $\sigma_a((a_n)_n) < \infty$. Show that

$$\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{re}(s) > \sigma_a\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; s \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

is well-defined.

2. Let $\sigma_c((a_n)_n) = \inf\{\sigma \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^\sigma} \text{ converges}\}$. Show that, if $\sigma_c((a_n)_n) < \infty$, then

$$\sigma_c((a_n)_n) \leq \sigma_a((a_n)_n) \leq \sigma_c((a_n)_n) + 1.$$

3. Suppose that $\sigma_c((a_n)_n) < \infty$. Recall complex analytic arguments to say that the function

$$L((a_n)_n, \bullet): \{s \mid \operatorname{re}(s) > \sigma_c((a_n)_n)\}; s \mapsto L((a_n)_n, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

is holomorphic and its derivative is given, for $\operatorname{re}(s) > \sigma_c((a_n)_n)$, by $L'((a_n)_n, s) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log n)a_n}{n^s}$.¹

4. Suppose now that $a_n \geq 0$ for all n . Show that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^\sigma}$ converges for some $\sigma > 0$ and $L((a_n)_n, s)$ can be analytically extended in a neighborhood of σ , then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^{\sigma-\epsilon}}$ converges.

Solution. 1. We have $|n^s| = n^{\operatorname{re}(s)}$. Hence for s with real part $\operatorname{re}(s) > \sigma_a$ the series is absolutely convergent.

2. Denote $\sigma_c((a_n)_n) = \sigma_c$. Since absolute convergence is stronger than conditional convergence (by triangle inequality) we have $\sigma_a((a_n)_n) \geq \sigma_c$. Suppose $s \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\operatorname{re}(s) > \sigma_c + 1$. Then $\operatorname{re}(s) - 1 > \sigma_c$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be so that $\operatorname{re}(s) - 1 > \sigma_c + \epsilon$. Then $\frac{a_n}{n^{\operatorname{re}(s)-1-\epsilon}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and in particular, there is $C > 0$ so that for all n we have $|a_n| \ll C n^{\operatorname{re}(s)-1-\epsilon}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{a_n}{n^s} \right| &= \frac{|a_n|}{n^{\operatorname{re}(s)}} \\ &\leq C \frac{n^{\operatorname{re}(s)-1-\epsilon}}{n^{\operatorname{re}(s)}} \leq \frac{C}{n^{1+\epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the series converges absolutely for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{re}(s) > 1 + \sigma_c$ and so $1 + \sigma_c \geq \sigma_a$.

3. The argument coming from complex analysis is called Weierstrass theorem and it is the following: Suppose we are given a sequence of holomorphic functions $f_m: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where Ω is a non empty open subset of \mathbb{C} . Suppose that f_m converges pointwise to a function f and that the convergence, when we restrict each f_m to a compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ is uniform, for any compact $K \subset \Omega$. Then f is holomorphic and $f'_m \rightarrow f'$, moreover the convergence is uniform on compact subsets.

¹Typo in the Exercise Sheet

To apply this theorem, we need to show that for $\operatorname{re}(s) > \sigma_c((a_n)_n)$ the convergence of the series $L_m(s) = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{a_n}{n^s} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} L(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$ is uniform on compact subsets. Denote $\sigma_c = \sigma_c((a_n)_n)$. Let $K \subset \{\operatorname{re}(s) > \sigma_c\}$ be a compact set and let

$$r = \min_{z \in K} |\operatorname{re}(z) - \sigma_c| > 0.$$

This min exists since K is compact and it is strictly positive.

Fix a reference point $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{re}(s_0) > \sigma_c$ and $\operatorname{re}(s_0) - \sigma_c < r/2$. We denote

$$L_t(s_0) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq t} \frac{a_n}{n^{s_0}}$$

Since $(L_m(s_0))_m$ converges, there is a $C > 0$ so that for all m one has $|L_m(s_0)| \leq C$. Let $s \in K$. By summation by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} L_M(s) - L_N(s) &= \sum_{n=N+1}^M \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \sum_{N < n \leq M} \frac{a_n}{n^{s_0}} \frac{1}{n^{s-s_0}} \\ &= \frac{1}{M^{s-s_0}} \sum_{N < n \leq M} \frac{a_n}{n^{s_0}} + (s-s_0) \int_N^M \left(\sum_{N < n \leq t} \frac{a_n}{n^{s_0}} \right) \frac{1}{t^{s-s_0+1}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{M^{s-s_0}} (L_M(s_0) - L_N(s_0)) + (s-s_0) \int_N^M (L_t(s_0) - L_N(s_0)) \frac{1}{t^{s-s_0+1}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side is bounded

$$\left| \frac{1}{M^{s-s_0}} (L_M(s_0) - L_N(s_0)) \right| \leq \frac{2C}{M^{\operatorname{re}(s-s_0)}} \leq \frac{2C}{M^{r/2}}$$

uniformly on $s \in K$. The second term on the right hand side is bounded

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (s-s_0) \int_N^M (L_t(s_0) - L_N(s_0)) \frac{1}{t^{s-s_0+1}} dt \right| &\leq 2C \sup_{z \in K} |z - s_0| \int_N^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{r/2+1}} dt \\ &\leq 2C \sup_{z \in K} |z - s_0| N^{-r/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The sup is finite since K is compact. Hence, we see all at once that for all $s \in K$ the sequence $(L_M(s))_M$ is a Cauchy sequence and that the convergence is uniform for all $s \in K$. The statement about derivative follows from Weierstrass and $\frac{d}{ds} \frac{1}{n^s} = -\frac{\log n}{n^s}$.

4. Call $L(s) = L((a_n)_n, s)$. Since $L(s)$ is holomorphic around σ there are a $\sigma' > \sigma$ so that the Taylor series of L centered around σ' has convergence radius larger than $\sigma' - \sigma$. Let $\epsilon < \sigma' - \sigma$, then

$$L(\sigma - \epsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{L^{(k)}(\sigma')}{k!} (\sigma - \sigma' - \epsilon)^k.$$

By the exercise before, iterated in k , we have

$$L^{(k)}(\sigma') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (\log n)^k a_n}{n^{\sigma'}}.$$

Hence,

$$L(\sigma - \epsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (\sigma - \sigma' - \epsilon)^k}{k!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log n)^k a_n}{n^{\sigma'}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma' - \sigma + \epsilon)^k}{k!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log n)^k a_n}{n^{\sigma'}}.$$

Now the terms in the summation are all positive, hence we can rearrange the sums

$$L(\sigma - \epsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^{\sigma'}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\log n(\sigma' - \sigma + \epsilon))^k}{k!} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^{\sigma'}} e^{\log(n)(\sigma' - \sigma + \epsilon)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^{\sigma - \epsilon}},$$

showing that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^{\sigma - \epsilon}}$ is convergent.

Exercise 2. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}$ be a the n 'th cyclotomic extension. Assume that $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}(s) = \zeta(s) \prod_{\substack{\chi \pmod{n} \\ \chi \neq 1}} L(\chi, s)$, where on the left is the Dedekind zeta function of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}$ and the product on the right is ranging over all the non-trivial² characters in $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^\times}$

Show that for all *non trivial* $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^\times}$ we have $L(\chi, 1) \neq 0$.

Solution. We know, from the class number formula, that $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$ and that $\zeta(s)$ as well. If some of the $L(\chi, 1) = 0$ for χ non-trivial, then the function $\zeta(s) \prod_{\chi \neq 1} L(\chi, s)$ would have a removable singularity at $s = 1$, which is not the case.

We can also argue without appealing to the class number formula. From the last exercise we deduce that a Dirichlet series defined by a non-negative sequence must have a singularity at $s = \sigma_c$, the abscissa of conditional convergence. On the other hand, we see that if $L(\chi, 1) = 0$ for some non-trivial χ , then $\zeta(s) \prod_{\chi \neq 1} L(\chi, s)$ would be holomorphic around $s = 1$ and so $\sigma_c \leq 0$ but this is readily false for $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}(s)$.

Exercise 3. Merry Christmanas and Happy new year

²Non-trivial was missing in first formulation, I am sorry.