

Exercise Sheet 8

Algebraic Number Theory

December 8, 2025

Exercise 1. Let $K := \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ be a number field for some algebraic integer $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$, and assume that its ring of integers is given by $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$. Let p be a prime number. We denote by $P_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ the minimal polynomial of θ , and by $\bar{P}_\theta \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[X]$ its reduction mod p . Given a divisor $Q \mid \bar{P}_\theta$, we furthermore define the ideal $I(Q) \subset O_K$ to be

$$I(Q) := pO_K + F(\theta)O_K,$$

where $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is any polynomial such that $F \equiv Q \pmod{p}$.

1. Show that

$$O_K/I(Q) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[X]/(Q),$$

and deduce that $I(Q)$ is prime if and only if Q is irreducible.

2. Write \bar{P}_θ as

$$\bar{P}_\theta = Q_1^{e_1} \cdots Q_r^{e_r},$$

where the $Q_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[X]$ are pairwise distinct, irreducible, monic polynomials. Show that the prime factorization of the ideal pO_K is then given by

$$pO_K = I(Q_1)^{e_1} \cdots I(Q_r)^{e_r}.$$

Solution. 1. From the previous Exercise Sheet, Exercise 4, we have the ideal $I(Q)/pO_K \subset O_K/pO_K$ corresponds to $(Q)/(\bar{P}_\theta) \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]/(\bar{P}_\theta)$. Hence

$$O_K/I(Q) \simeq (O_K/pO_K)/I(Q)/pO_K \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]/(\bar{P}_\theta))/((Q)/(\bar{P}_\theta)) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X])/(Q),$$

by the isomorphism theorems.

2. By the Chinese remainder theorem we have

$$O_K/pO_K \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[X]/(\bar{P}_\theta) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^r (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X])/(Q_i^{e_i}) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^r O_K/I(Q_i^{e_i}) \simeq O_K / \prod_{i=1}^r I(Q_i)^{e_i}$$

And this shows the desired result. Notice that $I(Q^{e_i}) = I(Q)^{e_i}$. Assume this is false and let $k \leq e_i$ be minimal so that $I(Q^k) \neq I(Q)^k$. Then $k > 1$. Notice that $I(Q)^k \subset I(Q^k)$, in fact let F so that \bar{Q}^k and let $x \in \mathfrak{p}O_K$ and $a \in O_K$, then $(x + F(\theta)a)^k = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} x^{k-j} F(\theta)^j a^j = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} x^{k-j} F(\theta)^j a^j + F(\theta)^k a^k \in I(Q^k)$. Hence, $I(Q^k) = I(Q)^l$ for some $l < k$. However this implies, by minimality of k , that $I(Q^k) = I(Q^l)$, which then implies $Q^k = Q^l$ and this is a contradiction.

Exercise 2. In this exercise we will prove the Dedekind recipe I. As in the statement let $A \subset Q$ be a Dedekind domain. Let B be the integral closure of A in a finite separable extension K of Q . We may assume $K = Q[z]$ for $z \in B$. Let $n = [K : Q]$. First we prove some preliminaries

1. Prove that for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec}(A)$

$$\mathfrak{D}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \mathfrak{D}_{B/A, \mathfrak{p}}.$$

Hint: By $\mathfrak{D}_{B/A, \mathfrak{p}}$ we mean the localization of $\mathfrak{D}_{B/A}$ at \mathfrak{p} , that is $\mathfrak{D}_{B/A} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Also note recall that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a PID, and we have a result for the Discriminant ideal in this case.

2. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset B$. Prove that \mathcal{B} is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if and only if

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{disc}(\mathcal{B})) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_{B/A}).$$

In particular, B is free with A -basis \mathcal{B} if and only if $(\text{disc } \mathcal{B}) = \mathfrak{D}_{B/A}$.

Hint: Use Exercise 3 from Sheet 7.

Next, we start the actual proof of Dedekind recipe 1, so let $P_z(X) \in A[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of z . Consider a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$ s.t.

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{disc}(z)) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_{B/A}).$$

3. Prove that $B_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq A_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(P_z)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{B/A}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\text{disc}(z))A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
 4. Prove that the stated bijection between the ideals, that is prove that

$$\{\text{irreducible factors of } \bar{P}_z\} \rightarrow \text{spec}_{\mathfrak{p}} B; \bar{P} \mapsto (\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} + P(z)B_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap B$$

is a bijection, where P is any polynomial so that $P \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} = \bar{P}$.

Hint: Show first that $B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\bar{P}_z)$, where $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = A/\mathfrak{p}$.

We still need to show the statement about the inertia and the ramification degrees. So let \bar{P} an irreducible factor of \bar{P}_z and let $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{spec}_{\mathfrak{p}}(B)$ the corresponding prime ideal. Also let e be the biggest power of \bar{P} that divides \bar{P}_z .

5. Show that for any commutative ring A and any two ideals I, J one has

$$A/(I + J) \simeq (A/I)/((I + J)/I)$$

and use it to deduce that $\deg(\bar{P}) = f_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}$.

6. Recall that $B_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is principal, so write $\mathfrak{P}B_{\mathfrak{P}} = (\pi)$. Show that

$$\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}} = (\pi^{e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}})$$

and that

$$e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}} = \min \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \forall x \in (B_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}}) \text{ not a unit} : x^n = 0\}$$

7. Show that $e = e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}$.

Hint: Write $\bar{P}_z = \prod_i \bar{P}_i^{e_i}$. Use that $B_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}} \simeq (k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\bar{P}_z))_{\mathfrak{P}}$ to find the minimal n as in the previous subexercise.

Solution. We denote $d = [K : Q]$.

1. We have

$$\mathfrak{D}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \langle \text{disc}_{K/Q} \left(\frac{z_1}{q_1}, \dots, \frac{z_d}{q_d} \right) \mid z_i \in B, q_i \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p} \rangle$$

and

$$\mathfrak{D}_{B/A, \mathfrak{p}} = \langle \frac{1}{q} \text{disc}_{K/Q}(z_1, \dots, z_d) \mid q \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}, z_1, \dots, z_d \in B \rangle$$

Notice that $\text{disc}_{K/Q} \left(\frac{z_1}{q_1}, \dots, \frac{z_d}{q_d} \right) = \frac{1}{q_1 \cdots q_d} \text{disc}_{K/Q}(z_1, \dots, z_d)$. The equality of the two sets is now clear.

2. As $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a PID, we know that

$$\mathfrak{D}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \text{disc}(\mathcal{E}).A_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for any $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis \mathcal{E} of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (Proposition 2.14 in the lecture notes). In particular, if \mathcal{B} is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then

$$\text{disc}(\mathcal{B}).A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{D}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \mathfrak{D}_{B/A}.A_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

and hence, by unique prime factorization of ideals in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and using that $\mathfrak{p}.A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the unique non-zero prime ideal, we find that

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{disc}(\mathcal{B})) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_{B/A}).$$

So suppose now that

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_{B/A}) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{disc}(\mathcal{B})).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}(\mathcal{B}).A_{\mathfrak{p}} &= (\mathfrak{p}.A_{\mathfrak{p}})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{disc}(\mathcal{B}))} = (\mathfrak{p}.A_{\mathfrak{p}})^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_{B/A})} \\ &= \mathfrak{D}_{B/A}.A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{D}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{E} be an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $M \in \text{Mat}_n(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be the matrix that express \mathcal{B} as linear combinations of \mathcal{E} . Then $\text{disc}(\mathcal{B}) = \det(M)^2 \text{disc}(\mathcal{E})$. However we have by assumption that

$$\text{disc}(\mathcal{B}).A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{D}_{B_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \text{disc}(\mathcal{E}).A_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

and so we deduce that $\det(M) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, that is \mathcal{B} is a $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis.

We turn to the last claim. Suppose first that B is free with A -basis \mathcal{B} . In particular, \mathcal{B} is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} . Hence, $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_{B/A}) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{disc}(\mathcal{B}))$ and so by the uniqueness of the factorization we deduce that $(\text{disc}(\mathcal{B})) = \mathfrak{D}_{B/A}$.

For the opposite implication, suppose that $(\text{disc}(\mathcal{B})) = \mathfrak{D}_{B/A}$. Then, in particular, $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{disc}(\mathcal{B})) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_{B/A})$ for all non-zero primes $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ and thus \mathcal{B} is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every non-zero $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. By Sheet 8 Exercise 2 it follows that B is a free A -module and, as shown there in the proof, \mathcal{B} is an A -basis of B .

3. Recall that $\text{disc}(z) = \text{disc}(1, \dots, z^{n-1})$. From the second point we have, that $(1, \dots, z^{n-1})$ is a $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In particular, $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}[z] \simeq A_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(P_z)$. Also, since ideals in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are uniquely defined by their $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -valuation, it is clear that $(\text{disc}(z)).A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{D}_{B/A}.A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
4. We have $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}[z]$. Hence we can use Exercise Sheet 7, Exercise 4 (in the notation of the exercise, $B = B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $A = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$) we have

$$B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq (A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})[X]/(P_z \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}),$$

where we momentarily denote $P_z \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ the image of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}[X] \ni P_z \mapsto P_z \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$. From the class we canonically have $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq A/\mathfrak{p}$ induced by the map $A \hookrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and under this map $P_z \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ to \bar{P}_z . Hence we get the desired isomorphism

$$B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\bar{P}_z),$$

which concretely takes a polynomial $\bar{P} \in k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$, take a $P \in A[X]$ that maps onto \bar{P} , and maps $[\bar{P}] \in k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\bar{P}_z)$ to $P(\alpha) + \mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \in B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

In particular, we have restricting to prime ideals, that

$$\{\text{irreducible factors of } \bar{P}_z\} \rightarrow \text{spec}(B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}); \bar{P} \mapsto (P(\alpha)B_{\mathfrak{p}} + \mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Also, the set $\text{spec}(B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is in natural bijection with the set of prime ideals \mathfrak{P} of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ so that $\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathfrak{P}$ and the latter is in bijection with the set $\text{spec}_{\mathfrak{p}}(B)$, more concretely

$$\begin{aligned} \text{spec}(B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}) &\longrightarrow \text{spec}_{\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}}(B_{\mathfrak{p}}) \longrightarrow \text{spec}_{\mathfrak{p}B}(B) \\ \bar{I} &\longmapsto I + \mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \longmapsto (I + \mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap B, \end{aligned}$$

where I is any ideal so that its image under $B_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is \bar{I} . In particular, we get the desired bijection.

5. The first assertion is classical from Isomorphism theorems and we actually used it already repeatedly. The inertia degree $f_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}$ is the degree of the extension $B/\mathfrak{P}B$ over $A/\mathfrak{p}A$. Let $P \in A[X]$ that maps to $\bar{P} \in k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$, then

$$B/\mathfrak{P}B = B/(\mathfrak{p}B + P(z)B) \simeq (B/\mathfrak{p}B)/(\mathfrak{p}B + P(z)B)/\mathfrak{p}B \simeq (k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\bar{P}_z))/(\bar{P}) \simeq k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\bar{P}).$$

By classical field theory, the right hand side is a field extension of degree $\deg(\bar{P})$ of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and so the claim.

6. Write $\mathfrak{p}B = \prod_{\mathfrak{P}' \neq \mathfrak{P} \in \text{spec}_{\mathfrak{p}}(B)} \mathfrak{P}'^{e_{\mathfrak{P}'/\mathfrak{p}}} \mathfrak{P}^{e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}}$, then

$$\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}} = \prod_{\mathfrak{P}' \neq \mathfrak{P} \in \text{spec}_{\mathfrak{p}}(B)} \mathfrak{P}'^{e_{\mathfrak{P}'/\mathfrak{p}}} B_{\mathfrak{P}} \mathfrak{P}^{e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}} B_{\mathfrak{P}} = \mathfrak{P}^{e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}} B_{\mathfrak{P}} = (\pi^{e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}}),$$

where the second equality comes from the fact that for $\mathfrak{P}' \neq \mathfrak{P}$, then $\mathfrak{P}' B_{\mathfrak{P}} = B_{\mathfrak{P}}$ (since it contains invertible elements).

Let $n < e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}$, π is not a unit in $B_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}}$, since $\pi^{e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}} = 0$ and $\pi^n \notin \mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and so $e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}} \leq \min \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \forall x \in (B_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}}) \text{ not a unit} : x^n = 0\}$. The other inequality is easily verified as well.

7. We conclude by showing that

$$e = e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}}$$

using the above characterization. We have that

$$e_{\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}} = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x^n = 0 \forall x \in B_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}}, x \text{ not a unit}\}.$$

Note that localization commutes with taking quotients i.e.

$$B_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}} \simeq (B/\mathfrak{p}B)_{\mathfrak{P}}$$

and you have seen that

$$B/\mathfrak{p}B \simeq B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

We have then that

$$B_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}} \simeq (B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{P}} \simeq (k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\overline{P}_z))_{\mathfrak{P}} = (k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\overline{P}_z))_{(\overline{P})}$$

Applying the Chinese Remainder Theorem finally shows that

$$B_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}} \simeq \left(\prod_{\overline{Q}} k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\overline{Q}^{e_Q}) \right)_{(\overline{P})} \simeq \prod_{\overline{Q}} (k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/\overline{Q}^{e_Q})_{(\overline{P})},$$

where the product is taken over all irreducible factors $\overline{Q} \mid \overline{P}_z$. Notice that for $\overline{Q} \neq \overline{P}$ we have $(k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/\overline{Q}^{e_Q})_{(\overline{P})}$ is 0, in fact $\overline{Q}^{e_Q} \notin (\overline{P})$ and $1 \cdot \overline{Q}^{e_Q} = 0 \in k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\overline{Q}^{e_Q})$ so $1 \equiv 0 \in (k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\overline{Q}^{e_Q}))_{\overline{P}}$. Hence

$$B_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{P}} \simeq (k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/\overline{P}^{e_Q})_{(\overline{P})} \simeq k_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(\overline{P}^{e_Q}).$$

We can conclude using the previous subexercise.

Exercise 3. Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a number field of degree d , let θ be an algebraic integer of degree d , and let

$$P(X) = X^d + a_{d-1}X^{d-1} + \dots + a_1X + a_0$$

be its minimal polynomial. Furthermore, suppose that P is Eisenstein with respect to the prime p , that is

$$p \mid a_j \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad p^2 \nmid a_0.$$

The goal of this exercise is to show that then $p \nmid |O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\theta]|$.

1. Assume to the contrary that p divides $|O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\theta]|$. Show that in this case we can find $\xi \in O_K$, such that $p\xi \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ and $\xi \notin \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$.

2. Write

$$p\xi = b_0 + b_1\theta + \dots + b_{d-1}\theta^{d-1} \quad \text{with } b_i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and let j be the smallest index such that $p \nmid b_j$. Prove that $b_j\theta^{d-1} \in pO_K$.

3. Show that $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(b_j\theta^{d-1}/p) \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

4. Conclude by finding a contradiction.

Solution. 1. By Cauchy's theorem there must exist $\xi \in O_K$ such that its equivalence class $\xi + \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ is of order p in $O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$. In other words, we have $\xi \notin \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ but $p\xi \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$.

2. Since $p\xi \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$, we can write it in the form

$$p\xi = b_0 + b_1\theta + \dots + b_{d-1}\theta^{d-1}$$

for some $b_0, \dots, b_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore note that not all b_i are divisible by p , since otherwise we would have $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$. So there is a smallest index j such that $p \nmid b_j$. Then we can write $b_j\theta^{d-1}$ in the following way,

$$b_j\theta^{d-1} = (p\xi - b_0 - \dots - b_{j-1}\theta^{j-1})\theta^{d-j-1} - (b_{j+1} + \dots + b_{d-1}\theta^{j-d-2})\theta^d.$$

By definition of b_j we have

$$p\xi - b_0 - b_1\theta - \dots - b_{j-1}\theta^{j-1} \in pO_K,$$

and since P is Eisenstein at p we also have

$$\theta^d = -a_{d-1}\theta^{d-1} - \dots - a_1\theta - a_0 \in pO_K.$$

Thus

$$b_j\theta^{d-1} \in pO_K,$$

as we wanted to show.

3. We have

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{b_j\theta^{d-1}}{p}\right) = \frac{b_j^d}{p^d} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\theta)^{d-1} = \frac{b_j^d a_0^{d-1}}{p^d} \notin \mathbb{Z},$$

since $p \nmid b_j$ and $p^2 \nmid a_0$.

4. As we have shown in 2, $b_j\theta^{d-1}/p \in O_K$ and thus

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{b_j\theta^{d-1}}{p}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This obviously contradicts the result we have shown in 3. Hence we can conclude that p does not divide $|O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\theta]|$.

Exercise 4. Let p be a prime, let $\ell \geq 1$, let ζ be a primitive p^ℓ -th root of unity, and let K be the cyclotomic field $K := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. In this exercise we want to determine the ring of integers of K .

1. Show that

$$\Phi(X) := \frac{X^{p^\ell} - 1}{X^{p^{\ell-1}} - 1} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

is the minimal polynomial of ζ .

Hint: Show that $\Phi(X+1)$.

2. Let $\xi := \zeta^{p^{\ell-1}}$. Prove that

$$|N_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi)/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi - 1)| = p \quad \text{and} \quad |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi - 1)| = p^{p^{\ell-1}}.$$

3. Verify that

$$(\xi - 1)\Phi'(\zeta) = p^\ell \zeta^{-1}.$$

4. Prove that

$$|\text{disc}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{\phi(p^\ell)-1})| = p^s \quad \text{with} \quad s := p^{\ell-1}(\ell p - \ell - 1).$$

5. Conclude that $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$.

Hint: For prime p , you may like to consider $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta] = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta - 1]$

Solution. 1. First of all note that

$$\Phi(X) = 1 + X^{p^{\ell-1}} + X^{2p^{\ell-1}} + X^{3p^{\ell-1}} + \dots + X^{(p-1)p^{\ell-1}},$$

so $\Phi(X)$ is indeed a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $\phi(p^\ell) = p^{\ell-1}(p-1)$. Now, since ζ is a primitive p^ℓ -th root of unity, we have that

$$\zeta^{p^\ell} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta^{p^{\ell-1}} \neq 1,$$

and thus

$$\Phi(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta^{p^\ell} - 1}{\zeta^{p^{\ell-1}} - 1},$$

which shows that ζ is indeed a root of $\Phi(X)$.

In order to show irreducibility, we will apply Eisenstein's criterion on the polynomial $\Phi(X+1)$. Let $\bar{\Phi}(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ be the reduction of $\Phi(X)$ mod p . Because of

$$X^{p^j} = (X+1)^{p^j} - 1 \quad \text{for } j \geq 0$$

in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, it follows that

$$\bar{\Phi}(X+1)X^{p^{\ell-1}} = \bar{\Phi}(X+1)((X+1)^{p^{\ell-1}} - 1) = (X+1)^{p^\ell} - 1 = X^{p^\ell},$$

and thus

$$\bar{\Phi}(X+1) = X^{(p-1)p^{\ell-1}}.$$

This shows that all but the leading coefficients of the polynomial $\Phi(X+1)$ are divisible by p . Furthermore, a direct calculation shows that the constant coefficient of $\Phi(X+1)$ is equal to p . Hence we can apply the Eisenstein criterion and we see that $\Phi(X+1)$ is indeed irreducible. By consequence, so is $\Phi(X)$.

2. By what we have shown in 1, the minimal polynomial of ξ (which is a p -th root of unity) over \mathbb{Q} is given by

$$1 + X + X^2 + \dots + X^{p-1}.$$

Thus the minimal polynomial of $\xi - 1$ is

$$1 + (X+1) + (X+1)^2 + \dots + (X+1)^{p-1},$$

and since the constant coefficient of this polynomial is equal to p , we get

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi)/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi - 1) = p.$$

Using this result we can also deduce

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi - 1) = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi)/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi - 1)^{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta):\mathbb{Q}(\xi)]} = p^{p^{\ell-1}},$$

where we have made use of the fact that $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}(\xi)] = p^{\ell-1}$.

3. We have

$$(X^{p^{\ell-1}} - 1)\Phi(X) = X^{p^\ell} - 1$$

which by taking the derivative with respect to X gives

$$p^{\ell-1}X^{p^{\ell-1}-1}\Phi(X) + (X^{p^{\ell-1}} - 1)\Phi'(X) = p^\ell X^{p^\ell-1}.$$

The identity in question follows immediately by evaluating both sides at ζ .

4. By Sheet 6 Exercise 1 we have

$$|\operatorname{disc}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{\phi(p^\ell)-1})| = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\Phi'(\zeta)).$$

so that by the identity proven in 3,

$$|\operatorname{disc}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{\phi(p^\ell)-1})| = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{p^\ell}{\zeta(\xi-1)}\right) = \frac{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(p^\ell)}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta)N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi-1)}.$$

Noting that

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(p^\ell) = p^{\ell\phi(p^\ell)}, \quad N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi-1) = p^{\ell-1}$$

the identity in question follows.

5. Let B be a basis of O_K , and let M be the matrix representing the tuple

$$1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{\phi(p^\ell)-1}$$

in this basis. Then we have the relation

$$\operatorname{disc}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{\phi(p^\ell)-1}) = (\det M)^2 \operatorname{disc}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B).$$

We have

$$|\det(M)| = |O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]|,$$

and by 4,

$$|\operatorname{disc}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{\phi(p^\ell)-1})| = p^s,$$

for some positive integer s . Together this shows that the only prime divisor of $|O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]|$ is p .

On the other hand, as shown in 1, the polynomial $\Phi(X+1)$ satisfies the Eisenstein condition at p . It follows that p does not divide

$$|O_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta-1)}/\mathbb{Z}[\zeta-1]|,$$

and since obviously $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta-1) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta-1] = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, we see that p does also not divide $|O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]|$. So, we must have

$$|O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]| = 1,$$

or, in other words, $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$.