

Class Number Formula

$$r_K(m) = \left| \left\{ \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \quad \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a}) = m \right\} \right|$$

THEOREM 4.10. [The class number formula] As $X \rightarrow +\infty$ we have

$$\sum_{m \leq X} r_K(m) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X}} 1 = \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} h(\mathcal{O}_K) \text{reg}(\mathcal{O}_K)}{w_K |\text{disc}(\mathcal{O}_K)|^{1/2}} X + O_K(X^{1-1/n}).$$

In this formula $h(\mathcal{O}_K) = |\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)| \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ is the class number, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{O}_K) > 0$ is the regulator, $\text{disc}(\mathcal{O}_K) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the discriminant and $w_K = |\mu_K|$ is the size of the group of roots of unity in K .

Reduction:

$$\sum_{m \leq X} v_K(m) = \sum_{\text{Nr}(a) \leq X} 1 = \sum_{[a] \in \mathcal{C}(O_K)} \sum_{\substack{b \in [a] \\ \text{Nr}(b) \leq X}} 1$$

$$= \sum_{[a] \in \mathcal{C}(O_K)} \sum_{\substack{b \in [a]^{-1} \\ \text{Nr}(b) \leq X}} 1$$

$$b \in [a]^{-1} \iff a \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \quad a \in \mathcal{A} - \{0\}$$

$$a \in \mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{A} \cdot b \quad a' \in a \mathcal{O}_K^\times \quad a' \in \mathcal{O}_K = a \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$|\text{Nr}(a)| = \text{Nr}(\mathcal{A}) \text{Nr}(b)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{b \in [a]^{-1} \\ \text{Nr}(b) \leq X}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{a \mathcal{O}_K^\times \subset \mathcal{A} - \{0\} \\ |\text{Nr}(a)| \leq \text{Nr}(\mathcal{A}) X}} 1$$

$$K_{\infty}^x = \mathbb{R}^{x r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{x r_2} \ni z = (x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{r_2})$$

$$K_{\infty, \leq X}^x = \left\{ z \in K_{\infty}^x \mid \text{Nr}(z) = \prod |x_i| \prod |z_j|^2 \leq X \right\}$$

\mathcal{F} = fundamental domain for $K_{\infty}^x / \mathfrak{o}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{O}_K^x)$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\leq X}^x = \text{---} K_{\infty, \leq X}^x / \mathfrak{o}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{O}_K^x)$$

Count



$$\sum 1$$

$$a \in \mathfrak{A} - \{0\}$$

$$\sigma_\infty(a) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\leq X}$$



$\sigma_\infty(\mathfrak{A}) =$ a lattice in K_∞

Lipshitz Principle

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ precompact, $t > 0$

$\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a lattice

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda \cap t\Omega| &= \frac{\text{vol}(t\Omega)}{\text{vol}(\Lambda)} + \text{Err Term} \\ &= \frac{\text{vol}(\Omega)}{\text{vol}(\Lambda)} t^n + \text{Err Term} \end{aligned} \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

$\partial\Omega$ needs to be "regular" enough

DEFINITION 4.9. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map between metric spaces. The map φ is Lipschitz if there exists $c \geq 0$ such that

$$\forall x, x' \in X, d(\varphi(x), \varphi(x')) \leq c \cdot d(x, x').$$

DEFINITION 4.10. A compact domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has Lipschitz boundary if its boundary $\partial\Omega$ is the union of the images of a finite set of Lipschitz maps

$$\varphi : [0, 1]^{n-1} \rightarrow \partial\Omega.$$

THEOREM 4.11 (Lipschitz principle). Let Λ be a lattice and Ω compact with Lipschitz boundary. We have as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$N_{\Omega}(t, \Lambda) = \frac{\text{vol}(\Omega)}{\text{vol}(\Lambda)} t^n + O_{\Lambda, \Omega}(t^{n-1}).$$

$$|\Lambda \cap t\Omega|$$

Proof: We can do a linear transformation mapping the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^n to a basis of Λ . It preserves "being Lipschitz"

wlog wnce that $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$

We count $|\mathbb{Z}^n \cap t\Omega|$

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n} C(\lambda) \quad C(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n [i, \lambda_i + 1[$$

$$\Omega_t = t\Omega = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \Omega_t \cap C(\lambda)$$

$$|\{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid C(\lambda) \subset \Omega_t\}| \leq |\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Omega_t| \leq |\{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid C(\lambda) \cap \Omega_t \neq \emptyset\}|$$

$$| \leq \text{vol}(\Omega_t) \leq |$$

It is sufficient to show that

$$0 \leq \left| \{ \lambda \text{ st } C(\lambda) \cap \Omega_t \neq \emptyset \} \right| - \left| \{ \lambda \text{ st } C(\lambda) \subset \Omega_t \} \right|$$

?
 $\ll t^{n-1}$

Suppose $\lambda \in \{ \lambda \text{ st } C(\lambda) \cap \Omega_t \neq \emptyset \} \setminus \{ \lambda \text{ st } C(\lambda) \subset \Omega_t \}$

then λ is at distance $\leq \text{diam } C(\lambda) = \sqrt{u} = O(1)$

}

from a point of $\partial\Omega_t$

Supposons Ω is covered by

$$\varphi_1([0,1]^{n-1}), \dots, \varphi_d([0,1]^{n-1})$$

for φ_i Lipschitz maps then

$$t\varphi_1([0,1]^{n-1}), \dots, t\varphi_d([0,1]^{n-1}) \text{ cover}$$

$$\Omega_t = t\Omega$$

Let $P_t \in \partial \Omega_t$ be the points of the shape

$$t\varphi_1(\eta/t), \dots, t\varphi_d(\eta/t) \quad \eta \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \cap [0, t]^{n-1}$$

$|P_t| = \mathcal{O}(t^{n-1})$ and any point in $[0, t]^{n-1}$

is at distance $\leq \frac{1}{t}$ from some η/t

Since the φ_i are Lipschitz any point

of $\partial\Omega_t$ is at distance $\mathcal{O}(1)$ from a point in $P_t \Rightarrow$ the number of λ is $\mathcal{O}(t^{n-1})$



Rmq: the proof also give
 $|\Lambda \cap \partial\Omega_t| = \mathcal{O}(t^{n-1})$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$

COROLLARY 4.2. *Notations and assumptions being as above, we have as $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$N_{\partial\Omega}(t, \Lambda) = |\{\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \in \partial(\Omega_t)\}| = O(t^{n-1}).$$

Application to the CNF

$$|\{a \in \mathfrak{a} - \{0\} \mid \text{Nr}(a) \leq X \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a})\}| / \mathcal{O}_K^\times$$

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \mathcal{N}_K^\times U$$

$$U \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r-1}$$

↑ group generated
by fundamental
units.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \{a \in \mathfrak{a} - \{0\} \mid \text{Nr}(a) \leq X \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a})\} / \mathfrak{O}_K^\times \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{w_K} \left| \{a \in \mathfrak{a} - \{0\} \mid \text{Nr}(a) \leq X \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a})\} / \mathfrak{U} \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$w_K = |\mathfrak{N}_K|$$

Let \mathfrak{F} a fund domain for $K \leq X$
 a fund domain for $K \leq X / \mathfrak{U}$

Recall that

$\text{Log}_\infty(U) = \text{Log} \circ \mathcal{G}_\infty(U)$ is a lattice

in $H(\mathbb{R}_+) = \left\{ (l_1, \dots, l_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r \mid l_1 + \dots + l_r = 0 \right\}$

Let $\mathcal{P}_U = \sum_{i=1}^r [0, 1[\log_\infty(\varepsilon_i)$

$$U = \varepsilon_1^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \varepsilon_2^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \dots \times \varepsilon_{r-1}^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

Now $\text{Log}^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_U) = \mathcal{F}_1$ is a fundamental

domain for K_{∞}^x / U

$$\{z \in K_{\infty}^x \mid \text{Nr}(z) = 1\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\leq x} = \int_0, x^{\frac{1}{n}} [\cdot \mathcal{F}_1 = \left\{ tz \mid t \in \int_0, x^{\frac{1}{n}} [\right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} z \in K_{\infty, 1}^x \\ \text{Log } z \in \mathcal{P}_U \end{array} \right\} \right.$$

is a fund domain for $K_{\infty, \leq X}^x / U$

and $\overline{F}_{\leq X}$ has Lipschitz boundary.

$$|\sigma_{\infty}(\pi) \cap \overline{F}_{\leq X}| = \frac{\text{vol}(\overline{F}_{\leq 1})}{\text{vol}(\sigma_{\infty}(\pi))} X + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{X^{1-\frac{1}{n}}}{\sigma_{\infty}(\pi)}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\text{vol}(\overline{F}_{\leq 1}) 2^{n_2}}{|\text{disc } G_K|^{\frac{1}{2}} N_{\mathbb{R}}(\pi)} X + \mathcal{O}\left(X^{1-\frac{1}{n}}\right)$$

Add the contributions of the various representatives
 u of $\mathcal{C}(G_K) = \{ [\mathfrak{a}] \}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightarrow \left| \{ b \in G_K \mid \text{Nr}(b) \leq X \} \right| \\ &= \sum_{[\mathfrak{a}]} \sum_{\substack{a \in G_K^\times \\ \text{Nr}(a) \leq X \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a})}} 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{[\mathfrak{A}]} \frac{\text{vol}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\leq 1}) 2^{r_2} X \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{A})}{|\text{disc } G_k|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{A})} + O(X^{1-\frac{1}{n}})$$

$$= \frac{\text{vol}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\leq 1}) 2^{r_2} h(G_k) X}{|\text{disc } G_k|^{\frac{1}{2}}} + O(X^{1-\frac{1}{n}})$$

PROPOSITION 4.13. *We have*

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{F}_{\leq 1}) = 2^{r_1 - r_2} (2\pi)^{r_2} \text{reg}(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

Proof: See Course Notes.

Rmq (Uniform distribution in ideal classes)

The proof give

$$|\{ \mathfrak{b} \subset [\mathfrak{a}] \text{ Nr}(\mathfrak{b}) \leq X \}|$$

$$= \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} \text{reg}(\mathcal{O}_K)}{w_K |\text{disc } \mathcal{O}_K|^{\frac{1}{2}}} X + \mathcal{O}_2(X^{1-\frac{1}{n}})$$

Simple analog: $q \geq 1$ $a \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$

$$|\{n \leq X \mid n \equiv a(q)\}| = \frac{X}{q} + O(1)$$

as $X \rightarrow \infty$.

$n = qk + a$
count the k 's

Dedekind's function

$$r_K(m) = \left| \left\{ \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \quad \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a}) = m \right\} \right|$$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad r_K(m) = O_\varepsilon(m^\varepsilon)$$

$\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{r_K(m)}{m^s}$ is abs converging for $\text{Re } s > 1$

$$= \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a})^s} = \zeta_K(s)$$

PROPOSITION 4.14. Let s be a complex number with $\Re s > 1$ the series

$$\zeta_K(s) := \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a})^s}$$

converges absolutely and defines an holomorphic function in the half-plane $\Re s > 1$.

$\zeta_K(s)$ = Dedekind Zeta function of K

Rmq: $K = \mathbb{Q}$ $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m^s} = \zeta(s) =$ Riemann ζ .

PROPOSITION 4.15 (Euler product formula). For $\Re s > 1$ we have the identity of holomorphic functions

$$\zeta_K(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})^s}\right)^{-1}$$

Since $r_K(m)$ is multiplicative for $\Re s > 1$

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{r_K(m)}{m^s} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(\sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \frac{r_K(\mathfrak{p}^\alpha)}{\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha s}} \right)$$

$$\sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \frac{r_K(p^\alpha)}{p^{\alpha s}} = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a})^s}$$

$\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{power of } p$

The ideals of norm p^α for some α are divisible by prime ideal above p

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} | p} \sum_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 0} \frac{1}{\text{Nr}(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} | p} \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}})^s} = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} | p} \sum_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 0} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | p} \frac{1}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})^{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} s}}$$

$$\prod_{A|P} \left(\sum_{\alpha_{P \geq 0}} \frac{1}{\text{Nr}(A)^{\alpha_{P^s}}} \right) = \prod_{A|P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\text{Nr}(A)^s} \right)^{-1}$$

$$\zeta_K(s) = \prod_P \prod_{A|P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\text{Nr}(A)^s} \right)^{-1}$$

$$= \prod_A \left(1 - \frac{1}{\text{Nr}(A)^s} \right)^{-1}$$

THEOREM 4.12. The Dedekind ζ function admits meromorphic continuation to the half-plane $\{s, \Re s > 1 - 1/n\}$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$. We have

$$\operatorname{res}_{s=1} \zeta_K(s) = \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} h(\mathcal{O}_K) \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{O}_K)}{w_K |\operatorname{disc}(\mathcal{O}_K)|^{1/2}}$$

where $w_K = |\mu_K|$. In particular $\zeta_K(s) \neq 0$ for $\Re s > 1$.

Proof: Set
$$\rho = \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} h(\mathcal{O}_K) \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{O}_K)}{w_K |\operatorname{disc} \mathcal{O}_K|^{1/2}}$$

$$r_{K,0}(m) = r_K(m) - \rho$$

By the Class # formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m \leq X} v_{0,k}(m) &= \sum_{m \leq X} v_k(m) - \rho \sum_{m \leq X} 1 \\ &= \rho X + O(X^{1-\frac{1}{n}}) - \rho X + O(1) \\ &= O(X^{1-\frac{1}{n}})\end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{r_{k,0}(m)}{m^\sigma} &= \left[x^{-\sigma} \sum_{m \leq x} r_{k,0}(m) \right] \Big|_{\frac{1}{2}}^x \\
&\quad + \sigma \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^x x^{-\sigma-1} \left(\sum_{m \leq x} r_{k,0}(m) \right) dx \\
&= O\left(1 + x^{1-\frac{1}{n}-\sigma}\right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^x x^{-\frac{1}{n}-\sigma} dx\right)
\end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ this bounded as $X \rightarrow \infty$

$\Rightarrow \sum \frac{r_{k,0}(m)}{m^s}$ absolutely converging
for $\text{Re } s > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ and
holomorphic there.

$$\sum_{m \leq X} \frac{r_{k,0}(m)}{m^s} = \zeta_{k,X}(s) - \rho \zeta_X(s)$$

$$\zeta_{K, X}(s) = \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{r_K(m)}{m^s} \quad \zeta_X(s) = \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{1}{m^s}$$

if $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$ the partial sums converge
to $\zeta_K(s)$ and $\zeta(s)$

$\zeta_K(s)$ has analytic cont to $\operatorname{Re} s > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$
with a pole at $s=1$ with residue
 $\rho \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \zeta(s) = \rho$

$$\zeta_K(s) - \rho \zeta(s) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{v_{K,0}(m)}{m^s}$$

is analytic
for $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$
with a pole at
 $s=1$ residue ρ .

is analytic
 $\operatorname{Re} s > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$

Example: $\zeta_q = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{q}\right)$ $K_q = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$
" q -th cyclotomic field

Galois ext of \mathbb{Q} of degree $\varphi(q) = |(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times|$
 $\text{Gal}(K_q/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$

We know that $\mathcal{O}_{K_q} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$

$$\zeta_{K_q}(s) = \zeta(s) \prod_{\substack{\chi(q) \\ \chi \neq 1}} L(\chi, s)$$

χ varies over the character mod q

$$\chi: (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\chi(m)}{m^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

Distribution of prime ideals

We want an asymptotic for:

$$|\{P \subset G_K \text{ prime } \text{Nr}(P) \leq X\}|$$

$$K = \mathbb{Q} \quad |\{p \leq X \text{ } p \text{ prime}\}| \sim \frac{X}{\log X}$$

Prime Number Theorem
involve the analytic properties
of $\zeta(s)$

THEOREM 4.14 (Mertens Theorem). As $X \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\sum_{p \leq X} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log(X) + O(1).$$

and much more involved in the

THEOREM 4.15 (Prime number theorem).

$$\pi(X) = |\{p \text{ prime}, p \leq X\}| \approx \frac{X}{\log X}$$

- (1) Analytic cont of $\zeta(s)$ for $\text{Re } s > 1 - \epsilon$ $\epsilon > 0$
- (2) $\zeta(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$
- (3) $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ when $\text{Re } s = 1$

THEOREM 4.16 (Mertens Theorem over K). As $X \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\sum_{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq X} \frac{\log \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})} = \log(X) + O(1).$$

and much harder is the *Prime Number Theorem for number fields*.

THEOREM 4.17 (Prime number theorem over K). As $X \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\sum_{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq X} \log \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p}) = X + o(X).$$

and

$$\sum_{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq X} 1 = \frac{X}{\log X} + o\left(\frac{X}{\log X}\right).$$

(1) Analytic Cont of $\zeta_K(s)$ to $\text{Re } s > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$

(2) $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})^s}\right)^{-1}$

(3) $\zeta_K(s) \neq 0$ for $\text{Re } s = 1$

Primes in Ideal Classes

We have seen that each ideal contains
asymptotically the same # of ideals
of norm $\leq X$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$

Is it true for the prime ideals?
Yes!

THEOREM 4.17 (à la Dirichlet). For any class $[\mathfrak{a}]$, one has

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in [\mathfrak{a}]} \frac{\log \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})^s} / \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{\log \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})^s} = 1/h(\mathcal{O}_K).$$

In particular there are infinitely many prime ideals in a given ideal class.

THEOREM 4.18 (à la Mertens). For any class $[\mathfrak{a}]$, one has

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq X \\ \mathfrak{p} \in [\mathfrak{a}]}} \frac{\log \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})} = \frac{1}{h(\mathcal{O}_K)} \log X + O(1)$$

THEOREM 4.19 (à la Hadamard de la Vallee-Poussin). For any class $[\mathfrak{a}]$, one has

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq X \\ \mathfrak{p} \in [\mathfrak{a}]}} \log \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{1}{h(\mathcal{O}_K)} X + o(X)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq X \\ \mathfrak{p} \in [\mathfrak{a}]}} 1 = \frac{1}{h(\mathcal{O}_K)} \frac{X}{\log X} + o\left(\frac{X}{\log X}\right)$$

Analogous to Dirichlet Thm on primes
in arithmetic progressions

$$q \geq 1 \quad (a, q) = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x, q, a) &= \left| \left\{ p \leq x \quad p \equiv a \pmod{q} \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \frac{x}{\log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Class Group L-fets

Dirichlet L-fcts: $q \geq 1$

$$\chi: (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\chi(m)}{m^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

Class Grp L-fcts

$$\chi: \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$L(\chi^s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{\chi([\mathfrak{n}])}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{n})^s} \quad \text{Re } s > 1$$

$$= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi([\mathfrak{p}])}{\text{Nr}(\mathfrak{p})^s} \right)^{-1}$$

THEOREM 4.13. If χ is not trivial $L(\chi, s)$ admits analytic continuation to $\Re s > 1 - 1/n$ (with no poles in this domain).

Proof: $\chi \neq 1$

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X}} \chi([\mathfrak{a}]) = \sum_{[\mathfrak{a}] \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)} \chi([\mathfrak{a}]) \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a}' \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{a}') \leq X \\ \mathfrak{a}' \in [\mathfrak{a}]}} 1$$

$$= \sum_{[\mathfrak{a}] \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_K)} \chi([\mathfrak{a}]) \left(\frac{\rho}{h(\mathcal{O}_K)} X + O\left(X^{1-\frac{1}{n}}\right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{f \cdot X}{h(G_K)} \sum_{[a] \in \text{Cl}(G_K)} \chi([a]) + O(X^{1-\frac{1}{n}})$$

Since $\chi \neq 1$ \Rightarrow

$$\sum_{a \in G_K} \chi([a]) = O(X^{1-\frac{1}{n}})$$

$$N_{\nu}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq X$$

$$\sum_{n \in G_K} \frac{\chi([a])}{\text{Nr}(a)^s} = \text{Abel Summation by Part}$$

$$\text{Nr}(n) \leq X$$

as $X \rightarrow \infty$

the partial sum is converging
for $\text{Re } s > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$

$\Rightarrow L(\chi, s)$ is holomorphic for $\text{Re } s > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$.

to get Mertens type thm one need
to show that $L(\chi, 1) \neq 0$.