

## Serie 13

### Optimal transport, Fall semester

EPFL, Mathematics section, Dr. Xavier Fernández-Real

**Exercise 13.1.** Let  $S_0, S_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the two segments

$$S_0 := (-1, 1) \times \{0\}, \quad S_1 := \{0\} \times (-1, 1).$$

Consider the two probability measures in the plane  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  whose mass is concentrated uniformly on the two segments  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ , respectively:

$$\mu_0 := \mathcal{H}^1 \llcorner S_0, \quad \mu_1 := \mathcal{H}^1 \llcorner S_1.$$

Here  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure. Find a Wasserstein geodesic between  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$ . How many are there?

**Solution:** We first prove that all couplings between  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$  have the same cost, and so they are all optimal. In fact, any  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu_0, \mu_1)$  is concentrated on the set  $S_0 \times S_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . Hence, by the Pythagorean Theorem,  $|x - y|^2 = |x|^2 + |y|^2$  for  $\gamma$ -almost every  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} |x - y|^2 d\gamma(x, y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} (|x|^2 + |y|^2) d\gamma(x, y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 d\mu_0(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y|^2 d\mu_1(y) = \frac{4}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Given any  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ , a Wasserstein geodesic between  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$  is then given by the interpolation

$$\mu_t^\gamma := [(1 - t)x + ty] \# \gamma \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

In particular, there are infinitely many Wasserstein geodesics connecting  $\mu_0$  to  $\mu_1$ .

**Exercise 13.2.** Recall the Benamou-Brenier formula (see section 4.1 in Figalli-Glaudo): given two probability measures  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then it holds that

$$W_2^2(\mu_0, \mu_1) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v_t|^2 d\rho_t dt : \partial_t \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(v_t \rho_t) = 0, \rho_0 = \mu_0, \rho_1 = \mu_1 \right\}.$$

Suppose that  $\mu_t$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  is a curve attaining the minimum, and suppose that  $\mu_t = (X_t) \# \mu_0$ , for some smooth vector field  $X_t$ . Prove that  $\ddot{X}_t \equiv 0$   $\mu_0$ -a.e. for a.e.  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

**Solution:** Let us start by observing that, if  $\rho_t = (Y_t)_\# \mu_0$  with

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Y}_t &= w_t \circ Y_t \\ Y_0 &= \text{id}, \end{cases}$$

that is,  $\partial_t \rho_t + \text{div}(w_t \rho_t) = 0$ , then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |w_t|^2 \rho_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |w_t \circ Y_t|^2 \mu_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\dot{Y}_t|^2 \mu_0.$$

Let us consider the vector field  $Y_{t,\varepsilon} := X_t + \varepsilon Z_t$ , where  $Z_t$  is a smooth vector field, compactly supported in time. In particular,  $Z_0 = Z_1 = 0$ . Let us consider  $\rho_{t,\varepsilon} := (Y_{t,\varepsilon})_\# \mu_0$ , so that  $\rho_{0,\varepsilon} = \mu_0$  and  $\rho_{1,\varepsilon} = \mu_1$ .

Then, by minimality, we have that

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\dot{X}_t|^2 d\mu_0 dt \leq \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\dot{Y}_{t,\varepsilon}|^2 d\mu_0 dt = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\dot{X}_t|^2 d\mu_0 dt + 2\varepsilon \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \dot{X}_t \cdot \dot{Z}_t d\mu_0 dt + o(\varepsilon).$$

In particular, by taking  $-Z_t$  instead of  $Z_t$  we deduce, letting  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ ,

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \dot{X}_t \cdot \dot{Z}_t d\mu_0 dt = 0.$$

By Fubini, integrating by parts in time first, and using that  $Z_0 = Z_1 = 0$ ,

$$0 = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \dot{X}_t \cdot \dot{Z}_t d\mu_0 dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \dot{X}_t \cdot \dot{Z}_t dt d\mu_0 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \ddot{X}_t \cdot Z_t dt d\mu_0.$$

From the arbitrariness of  $Z_t$ , we deduce the desired result.

**Exercise 13.3.** Let  $\mu_0 = \rho_0 \mathcal{L}^d, \mu_1 = \rho_1 \mathcal{L}^d \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^d)$  be two probability measures on the  $d$ -dimensional torus such that  $\rho_0, \rho_1 \geq c > 0$  everywhere. Let  $u : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a solution of the Poisson equation  $-\Delta u = \rho_1 - \rho_0$ . Show that

$$W_2(\mu_0, \mu_1) \leq c^{-1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}.$$

*Hint:* Use the Benamou-Brenier formula, which is valid also on the torus.

**Solution:** We will use the Benamou-Brenier formula. Let  $\rho_t, v_t$  be defined as

$$\rho_t := (1-t)\rho_0 + t\rho_1 \quad v_t := \frac{\nabla u}{\rho_t} \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Since  $-\Delta u = \rho_1 - \rho_0$ ,  $\rho_t$  solves the continuity equation with velocity field  $\rho$ :

$$\partial_t \rho_t + \text{div}(\rho_t v_t) = 0.$$

Moreover, since  $\rho_0, \rho_1 \geq c > 0$  by hypothesis, we have

$$\rho_t \geq c \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Therefore, by the Benamou-Brenier formula,

$$\begin{aligned} W_2^2(\mu_0, \mu_1) &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |v_t|^2 \rho_t dx dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\rho_t} dx dt \\ &\leq c^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired inequality after taking the square root of both sides.

**Exercise 13.4.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be an open bounded set, and  $V_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be functions which are lower semicontinuous and bounded from below. Show that the functionals

$$\mathbb{V}_1(\mu) = \int_{\Omega} V_1 d\mu \quad \mathbb{V}_2(\mu) = \int_{\Omega} V_2(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y)$$

are lower semicontinuous with respect to  $W_2$ -convergence.

**Solution:** Since  $\Omega$  is bounded, convergence in  $W_2$  and narrow convergence are equivalent. We saw in class that the integral with respect to  $\mu$  of a lower semicontinuous function bounded below is lower semicontinuous in the space of probability measures equipped with narrow convergence. Therefore  $\mathbb{V}_1$  is  $W_2$ -lower semicontinuous. In order to prove that  $\mathbb{V}_2$  is  $W_2$ -lower semicontinuous is then enough to show that the narrow convergence  $\mu_j \rightarrow \mu$  in  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  implies the narrow convergence  $\mu_j \times \mu_j \rightarrow \mu \times \mu$  in  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ . This is a direct consequence of the definition of narrow convergence. By a density argument, it is sufficient to test narrow convergence in the product space with functions of the type  $f(x, y) = \varphi(x)\psi(y)$ , with  $\varphi, \psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous and bounded. For these test functions we have

$$\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} f d(\mu_j \times \mu_j) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) d\mu_j(x) \int_{\Omega} \psi(y) d\mu_j(y) \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \int_{\Omega} \psi(y) d\mu(y) = \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} f d(\mu \times \mu),$$

and the proof is concluded.

**Exercise 13.5.** Show that the functional  $\mathcal{F}$  given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho + |x|^2) \rho dx$$

is  $W_2$ -convex, and compute the evolution equation of its Wasserstein gradient flow.

**Solution:** We write  $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$  as the sum of two terms: an internal energy, and a potential energy,

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \rho dx.$$

The internal energy term, corresponding to  $U(\rho) = \rho^2$ , is  $W_2$ -convex because  $s \mapsto s^d U(s^{-d}) = s^{-d}$  is non-increasing and convex (McCann criterion). On the other hand, the potential energy term, corresponding to  $V(x) = |x|^2$ , is  $W_2$ -convex because the potential  $V$  is convex. As a consequence, their sum  $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$  is  $W_2$ -convex.

The gradient flow is formally given by the equation

$$\partial_t \rho_t = \operatorname{div} \left( \rho_t \nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mu}(\rho_t \mathcal{L}^d) \right),$$

where  $\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mu}(\rho_t \mathcal{L}^d) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the  $L^2$ -variation of the functional  $\mathcal{F}$  computed at  $\rho_t \mathcal{L}^d$ . In our case, we have

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mu}(\rho_t \mathcal{L}^d)(x) = U'(\rho_t(x)) + V(x) = 2\rho_t(x) + V(x).$$

Therefore, the gradient flow reads as

$$\partial_t \rho_t = \operatorname{div}(2\rho_t \nabla \rho_t) + \operatorname{div}(\rho_t \nabla V).$$