

Solution 1 We can write $T \stackrel{D}{=} Z/\sqrt{W/\nu}$, where $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $W \sim \chi_\nu^2$ are independent. Hence

$$T^2 \stackrel{D}{=} \frac{Z^2}{W/\nu} \sim F_{1, n-p},$$

because $Z^2 \sim \chi_1^2$.

Solution 2 The MGF of ε is

$$M_\varepsilon(t) = E\{\exp(t\varepsilon)\} = E\{\exp(tX_1 - tX_2)\} = E\{\exp(tX_1)\}E\{\exp(-tX_2)\} = M_X(t)M_X(-t),$$

where

$$M_X(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{tx} \lambda e^{-tx} dx = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}, \quad t < \lambda,$$

so

$$M_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - t^2}, \quad |t| < \lambda.$$

The given density has MGF

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{tx} e^{-\lambda|x|} dx = \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^\infty (e^{-tx-\lambda x} + e^{tx-\lambda x}) dx = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda+t} + \frac{1}{\lambda-t} \right) = \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - t^2}, \quad |t| < \lambda,$$

so it is the MGF of ε .

Clearly $E(\varepsilon) = E(X_1) - E(X_2) = 0$ and $\text{var}(\varepsilon) = 2\text{var}(X_1) = 2/\lambda^2$. Thus we obtain variance σ^2 by setting $\lambda = \sqrt{2}/\sigma$.

This density has heavier tails than the normal, so it might be useful for dealing with data with symmetric errors but large tails than the normal.

Solution 3

- (a) If the x_j are all equal then the matrix $X_{n \times 2}$ is not full-rank, so the parameters cannot be identified. If the x_j are not all equal, then

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^T X = \begin{pmatrix} n & n\bar{x} \\ n\bar{x} & \sum x_i^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (X^T X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \begin{pmatrix} \sum x_i^2 & -n\bar{x} \\ -n\bar{x} & n \end{pmatrix},$$

and some algebra using the formulae $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$ and $H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$, so $h_{jj} = (1, x_j)(X^T X)^{-1}(1, x_j)^T$, leads to the given expressions.

- (b) Standard formulae for sums of integers and their squares give

$$\sum x_j = c \sum j = cn(n+1)/2, \quad \sum x_j^2 = c^2 \sum j^2 = c^2 n(n+1)(2n+1)/6,$$

so $\bar{x} = c(n+1)/2$, $\sum (x_j - \bar{x})^2 = c^2 n(n+1)(n-1)/12$, clearly h_{jj} is maximised for $j = 1$ and $j = n$, and $x_n - \bar{x} = c(n-1)/2$, giving the stated formula.

- (c) This uses the formula for summing a geometric series, i.e., $\sum_{j=1}^n p^j = p(p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$ for $p \neq 1$, followed by some algebra.
- (d) The sketch is easy. There is limiting normality in (b), but not in (c) (at least in general), because the response at x_n will dominate the limiting distribution. Of course if the errors in (c) were all normal, then there would be exact normality for every n .