

Problem 1 In Example 26 we met the moving maximum process with standard Fréchet margins and later we simulated it using the code

```
n <- 10000; a <- 1; i <- c(1:n) # we saw this before
z <- 1/rexp(n+1) # independent Frechet variables
x <- pmax(a*z[i],z[i+1])/(a+1) # moving maximum series
par(mfrow=c(1,2)) # two adjacent panels for figures
```

In this exercise we shall use $\chi(u)$ to see compare the asymptotic (serial) dependence in this series with those in Gaussian time series models. The use of a copula means that we can take any margins, so we can just take the raw series and compute $\chi(u)$ between X_j and the lagged series X_{j+h} . Let's make a function to do this, using the option `which=1` to ensure that only the chi-plot is shown:

```
library(evd)
chi.lag <- function( x, lag=0)  chiplot(cbind(x[1:(n-lag)],x[(1+lag):n]), which=1)
```

- (a) First for the moving maximum process, at lags $h = 1$ and $h = 2$:

```
chi.lag( x, 1)
chi.lag( x, 2)
```

Explain the difference between these plots. What would you expect to see for other lags? Check. Try this with other values of a .

- (b) The stationary Gaussian autoregressive process of order one is defined in terms of a white noise process $\{\varepsilon_j\} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ by the relationship

$$X_{j+1} = \rho X_j + (1 - \rho^2)^{1/2} \varepsilon_{j+1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{where } |\rho| \leq 1.$$

Given that $\text{var}(X_j) = 1$ for all j , show that $\text{corr}(X_j, X_{j+1}) = \rho$; in fact $\text{corr}(X_j, X_{j+h}) = \rho^{|h|}$. We use the standard function `arma.sim` to generate data:

```
rho <- 0.9 # try other values also, including rho =1
x <- arma.sim(list(ar=rho),n)
chi.lag(x, 1)
chi.lag(x, 2)
```

This process is asymptotically independent. Describe how the chi-plots differ from those for the moving maximum process.

- (c) The stationary Gaussian moving average process of order one is defined by

$$X_{j+1} = \rho \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_{j+1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Show that $\text{corr}(X_j, X_{j+1}) = \rho/(\rho^2 + 1)$ and that $X_j \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{j+h}$ for $|h| \geq 2$. We again use `arma.sim`:

```
rho <- 0.9 # try other values also, including rho = 1
x <- arma.sim(list(ma=rho),n)
chi.lag(x, 1)
chi.lag(x, 2)
```

Discuss the chi-plots in relation to those above. Explain.

(d) Under what circumstances will lack of stationarity have a big impact on such plots?

Problem 2 Let the continuous random variables (U_1, U_2) and (V_1, V_2) be independent and identically distributed with copula $C(u_1, u_2)$.

- (a) Show that Kendall's tau may be written $\tau = \text{corr}\{I(U_1 > V_1), I(U_2 > V_2)\} = 4E\{C(U_1, U_2)\} - 1$.
- (b) Spearman's $\rho = \text{corr}(U_1, U_2)$ is another measure of concordance. Show that $\rho = 12E(U_1 U_2) - 3$.
- (c) Show that if U_1 and U_2 are independent, then $\tau = \rho = 0$.

Problem 3 Let $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_D)$ have joint distribution function

$$F(z_1, \dots, z_D) = \exp\{-V(z_1, \dots, z_D)\}, \quad z_1, \dots, z_D > 0,$$

where, writing $z = (z_1, \dots, z_D)$ for brevity, $tV(tz) = V(z)$ for all $z > 0$ and the Z_d have marginal unit Fréchet distributions.

(a) Show that the copula corresponding to F is

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_D) = \exp\{-V(-1/\log u_1, \dots, -1/\log u_D)\}, \quad 0 < u_1, \dots, < u_D.$$

- (b) Writing $u = (u_1, \dots, u_D)$ for brevity, show that the max-stability of F leads to the property $C(u^{1/t})^t = C(u)$. Such a copula is said to be *max-stable*.
- (c) If $u = (u_1, \dots, u_D)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\rho > 0$, are the following copulas max-stable:

$$C_1(u) = \exp\left[-\left\{\sum_{d=1}^D (-\log u_d)^{1/\alpha}\right\}^\alpha\right], \quad C_2(u) = \exp\left[\sum_{d=1}^D \log u_d - \left\{\sum_{d=1}^D (-\log u_d)^{-\rho}\right\}^{-1/\rho}\right],$$

$$C_3(u) = \exp\left\{\sum_{d=1}^D \log u_d - \prod_{d=1}^D (-\log u_d)\right\}?$$