

Problem Sheet 2

September 15, 2025

Question 1

Let us write a general s stages RK method for (2) as

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= F(Y(0)) \\ K_2 &= F(Y(0) + ha_{21}K_1) \\ K_3 &= F(Y(0) + h(a_{31}K_1 + a_{32}K_2)) \\ &\vdots \\ K_s &= F(Y(0) + h(a_{s1}K_1 + a_{s2}K_2 + \dots + a_{ss-1}K_{s-1})) \\ Y_1 &= Y(0) + h(b_1K_1 + \dots + b_sK_s) \end{aligned}$$

By definition of F , we have that

$$K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ f(t_0, y_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We set $k_1 = f(t_0, y_0)$ and we have

$$K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ k_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we have

$$Y_0 + ha_{21}K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t_0 + ha_{21} \\ y_0 + ha_{21}k_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ f(t_0 + ha_{21}, y_0 + ha_{21}k_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Reproducing this process until the $s - th$ stages, we find that

$$K_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ k_s \end{pmatrix}$$

with $k_s = f(t_0 + h(a_{s1} + a_{s2} + \dots + a_{ss-1}), y_0 + h(a_{s1}k_1 + \dots + a_{ss-1}k_{s-1}))$.

Therefore we have that

$$Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t_0 \\ y_0 \end{pmatrix} + h \left(b_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ k_1 \end{pmatrix} + b_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + b_s \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ k_s \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} t_0 + h(b_1 + \dots + b_s) \\ y_0 + h(b_1k_1 + \dots + b_s k_s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, this is a s stages RK method provided

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}, \quad 1 = \sum_{i=1}^s b_i.$$

Question 2

The exact solution of the ODE is :

$$y(t_N) = y_0 e^{\lambda N h}.$$

A 2 stages RK method applied to (3) yields :

$$\begin{aligned}k_1 &= \lambda y_0 \\k_2 &= \lambda y_0 + h\lambda^2 a_{21} y_0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$y_1 = y_0 + h(b_1 k_1 + b_2 k_2).$$

From the theory we know that $\sum_{i=1}^2 b_i = 1$, also $\sum_{j=1}^1 a_{2j} = c_2$ and $b_2 c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$. This lead to :

$$y_1 = y_0 \left(1 + h\lambda + \frac{(h\lambda)^2}{2} \right).$$

Finally,

$$y_1 = p_2(\lambda h) y_0.$$

By induction, we get

$$y_N = (p_2(\lambda h))^N y_0.$$

Then

$$y(t_N) - y_N = y_0 (e^{h\lambda N} - (p_2(\lambda h))^N) = y_0 (e^{\lambda h} - p_2(\lambda h)) \left(e^{\lambda h(N-1)} + e^{\lambda h(N-2)} p_2(\lambda h) + \dots + (p_2(\lambda h))^{N-1} \right).$$

Assuming $p_2(\lambda h) \leq 1$ and since $|e^x - p_2(x)| \leq \frac{1}{3!} x^3 \forall x > 0$, we have

$$|y(t_N) - y_N| \leq \frac{1}{3!} |\lambda|^3 h^3 N |y_0|.$$

In the real domain, we can check that $|p_2(x)| < 1$. The inequality $|1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2}| < 1$ is equivalent to :

$$-1 < 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} < 1 \tag{1}$$

This gives two separate inequalities to solve : $1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} > -1$ and $x(1 + \frac{x}{2}) < 0$.

Concerning the first one, the discriminant is $\Delta = 4 - 16 = -12 < 0$. Since it is negative and the coefficient of x^2 is positive, this quadratic is always positive. So this inequality is satisfied for all real x .

Moving to the second one, we have $x(2 + x) < 0$. So, we need to find where the product is negative. The roots are $x = 0$ and $x = -2$.

Using a sign chart :

- For $x < -2$: $x < 0$ and $(2 + x) < 0$, so the product is positive
- For $-2 < x < 0$: $x < 0$ and $(2 + x) > 0$, so the product is negative
- For $x > 0$: $x > 0$ and $(2 + x) > 0$, so the product is positive

Therefore, $x(2 + x) < 0$ when $-2 < x < 0$. Substituting $x = h\lambda$, we get that $h \leq -\frac{2}{\lambda}$.