

Exercise Sheet Solutions #10

Course Instructor: Ethan Ackelsberg

Teaching Assistant: Szymon Sobczak

P1. Let $(X, \|\bullet\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\bullet\|_Y)$ be normed spaces and $L : X \rightarrow Y$ a linear functional. Prove that the following are equivalent:

- (a) L is bounded, i.e. that there is $C > 0$ such that for each $x \in X$, $\|L(x)\|_Y \leq C\|x\|_X$,
- (b) L is continuous,
- (c) L is continuous at 0.

Solution: By linearity of L , (a) says that L is Lipschitz which implies (b). Meanwhile (b) implies (c), so it is enough to prove that (c) implies (a). In fact, as L is continuous at 0, there is $r > 0$ such that $L(B(0, r)) \subseteq B(0, 1)$. Thus, for each $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ we have that

$$\left\|L\left(\frac{r}{2} \cdot \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)\right\| \leq 1,$$

or equivalently

$$\|L(x)\| \leq \frac{2}{r} \cdot \|x\|.$$

As the last equality also holds for $x = 0$, calling $C = 2/r > 0$ concludes the implication.

P2. Show that norm vector spaces are topological vector spaces.

Solution: We need to check that the addition map $\varphi : V \times V \rightarrow V$, $(u, v) \mapsto \varphi(u, v) = u + v$ is continuous, and that the product by scalar map $\psi : \mathbb{F} \times V \rightarrow V$, $(c, v) \mapsto \psi(c, v) = c \cdot v$ is continuous. Indeed, we notice that

$$\|\varphi(u, v)\|_V = \|u + v\|_V \leq \|u\|_V + \|v\|_V = \|(u, v)\|_{V \times V},$$

which implies the continuity of φ by **P1**. On the other hand,

$$\|\psi(c, v)\|_V = \|cv\|_V = |c| \cdot \|v\|.$$

Now, for $(c, v) \in \mathbb{F} \times V$, if $|c - c'| \leq \epsilon$ and $\|v - v'\| \leq \epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(c, v) - \psi(c', v')\|_V &= \|\psi(c, v) - \psi(c', v) + \psi(c', v) - \psi(c', v')\|_V \\ &= \|\psi(c - c', v) + \psi(c', v' - v)\|_V \leq \|\psi(c - c', v)\| + \|\psi(c', v' - v)\|_V \\ &= |c - c'| \cdot \|v\| + |c'| \cdot \|v' - v\| \\ &\leq \epsilon\|v\| + \epsilon|c'| \\ &\leq \epsilon\|v\| + \epsilon(|c| + \epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

which shows the continuity of ψ as well, concluding.

P3. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a convex function. If $t \in \text{Int}(I)$, then $\exists m \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\varphi(s) \geq m(s - t) + \varphi(t), \quad \forall s \in I.$$

Solution: Let us prove first that for $w < t < s$ we have that

$$\frac{\varphi(t) - \varphi(w)}{t - w} \leq \frac{\varphi(s) - \varphi(t)}{s - t}.$$

Indeed, we notice that $\lambda = \frac{s-t}{s-w} \in (0, 1)$. Thus by convexity:

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi(\lambda w + (1 - \lambda)s) \leq \lambda\varphi(w) + (1 - \lambda)\varphi(s),$$

or equivalently

$$\lambda(\varphi(t) - \varphi(w)) \leq (1 - \lambda)(\varphi(s) - \varphi(t)).$$

simplifying we conclude

$$\frac{\varphi(t) - \varphi(w)}{t - w} \leq \frac{\varphi(s) - \varphi(t)}{s - t}.$$

Now take $s \in \text{int}(I)$. Assume without loss of generality that $s > t$ (the other case is analogous). By convexity, we know that for each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$

$$\varphi(\lambda s + (1 - \lambda)t) \leq \lambda\varphi(s) + (1 - \lambda)\varphi(t).$$

Re-writing the previous inequality we get

$$\frac{\varphi(t + \lambda(s - t)) - \varphi(t)}{\lambda} \leq \varphi(s) - \varphi(t).$$

Define

$$m = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(t + \lambda(s - t)) - \varphi(t)}{\lambda(s - t)}.$$

If this limit really exists, we would conclude that

$$m(s - t) + \varphi(t) \leq \varphi(s).$$

We notice that the function $u \in (0, s - t) \mapsto \frac{\varphi(t+u) - \varphi(t)}{u}$ is decreasing, and bounded below by

$$\frac{\varphi(t) - \varphi(w)}{t - w},$$

for any $w \in I$ with $w < t$. Thus, the aforementioned limit exists and it is equal to

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{\varphi(t + \lambda(s - t)) - \varphi(t)}{\lambda(s - t)} \mid \lambda \in (0, 1) \right\},$$

concluding.

P4. Let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ conjugate exponents and $f \in L^p$. Show that

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{\|g\|_q \leq 1} \left| \int fg d\mu \right|.$$

Solution: We prove the equality by double inequality. First, we take $g \in L^q$ with $\|g\|_q \leq 1$. By Holder's inequality

$$\left| \int fg d\mu \right| \leq \int |fg| d\mu \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q \leq \|f\|_p.$$

Taking supremum we conclude

$$\|f\|_p \geq \sup_{\|g\|_q \leq 1} \left| \int fg d\mu \right|.$$

For the other direction, define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\|f\|_p^{p/q}} |f|^{p-2}(x) \cdot \bar{f}(x) & \text{if } f(x) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

We prove that $g \in L^q$. Indeed, we observe that as $1/p + 1/q = 1$ we have $q(p-1) = p$. This implies

$$\int |g|^q d\mu = \frac{1}{\|f\|_p^p} \int |f|^{(p-1)q} d\mu = \frac{1}{\|f\|_p^p} \int |f|^p d\mu = \|f\|_p^{p-p} = 1,$$

So, we get that $g \in L^q$ and $\|g\|_q = 1$. Thus, we have

$$\sup_{\|g'\|_q \leq 1} \left| \int fg' d\mu \right| \geq \left| \int fg d\mu \right| = \frac{1}{\|f\|_p^{p/q}} \int |f|^p d\mu = \|f\|_p^{p-p/q} = \|f\|_p,$$

concluding the second inequality and hence the equality.