

Analysis 1 - Exercise Set 1

1. Real Numbers.

- (a) Explain the difference between a rational and an irrational number.
- (b) Classify the following numbers as rational, irrational, natural, integer. (A number may belong to more than one set).
- (i) -2
 - (ii) $4\frac{1}{3}$
 - (iii) $\sqrt{10}$
 - (iv) 0
 - (v) π
- (c) Show that $\sqrt{7}$ is an irrational number. (*Hint: assume that you can write $\sqrt{7} = \frac{a}{b}$ where a and b are integers where their greatest common divisor is $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then try to find a contradiction.*)

Solution:

- (a) A rational number can be expressed as the ratio of two integers. An irrational number is any real number that is not rational.
- (b) (i) rational, integer
(ii) rational
(iii) irrational
(iv) rational, integer, natural
(v) irrational
- (c) Let $\sqrt{7} = \frac{a}{b}$ such that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. We square both sides to get

$$7 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}$$

Now we multiply both sides with b^2 to get

$$a^2 = 7b^2$$

Clearly 7 is a factor of right hand side so 7 must be a factor of left hand side too. But since 7 is a factor of a^2 , a is an integer and 7 is a prime number, we deduce that 7 is also a factor of a . Hence we can find an integer c such that $a = 7c$. By replacing this in the above equation we get

$$a^2 = 7^2c^2 = 7b^2 \implies b^2 = 7c^2$$

Using an argument similar to before we can deduct that 7 is also a factor of b . This is a contradiction since we assumed that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ but 7 divides both a and b .

2. Trigonometry.

Show that the following identities hold:

(a) $\sin^6 x + \cos^6 x = 1 - 3 \sin^2 x \cos^2 x$

(b) $\sin x + \sin y = 2 \sin\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)$

(c) $\sin x - \sin y = 2 \cos\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)$

(d) $\cos x + \cos y = 2 \cos\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)$

(e) $\cos x - \cos y = -2 \sin\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)$

(Hints: For (a) use the identities: $(a^3+b^3) = (a+b)(a^2-ab+b^2)$, $(a+b)^2 = a^2+2ab+b^2$, $\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1$. For (b)-(e) use the identities

$$\sin(\alpha \pm \beta) = \sin \alpha \cos \beta \pm \cos \alpha \sin \beta$$

$$\cos(\alpha \pm \beta) = \cos \alpha \cos \beta \mp \sin \alpha \sin \beta$$

and compute $\sin(\alpha + \beta) + \sin(\alpha - \beta)$, $\sin(\alpha + \beta) - \sin(\alpha - \beta)$ etc. then try to find x and y in terms of α and β)

Solution:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^6 x + \cos^6 x &= \underbrace{(\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x)}_{=1} (\sin^4 x - \sin^2 x \cos^2 x + \cos^4 x) \\ &= (\sin^4 x - \sin^2 x \cos^2 x + \cos^4 x) \\ &= \underbrace{(\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x)^2}_{=1} - 2 \sin^2 x \cos^2 x - \sin^2 x \cos^2 x \\ &= 1 - 3 \sin^2 x \cos^2 x \end{aligned}$$

(b) Using the hint we get

$$\sin(\alpha + \beta) + \sin(\alpha - \beta) = 2 \sin \alpha \cos \beta$$

So it is enough to let $x = \alpha + \beta$ and $y = \alpha - \beta$. Solving the system of equations yields $\alpha = \frac{x+y}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{x-y}{2}$.

(c) We have

$$\sin(\alpha + \beta) - \sin(\alpha - \beta) = 2 \cos \alpha \sin \beta$$

So we take $x = \alpha + \beta$ and $y = \alpha - \beta$. Solving the system of equations yields $\alpha = \frac{x+y}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{x-y}{2}$.

(d) We have

$$\cos(\alpha + \beta) + \cos(\alpha - \beta) = 2 \cos \alpha \cos \beta$$

So we take $x = \alpha + \beta$ and $y = \alpha - \beta$. Solving the system of equations yields $\alpha = \frac{x+y}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{x-y}{2}$.

(e) We have

$$\cos(\alpha + \beta) - \cos(\alpha - \beta) = -2 \sin \alpha \sin \beta$$

So we take $x = \alpha + \beta$ and $y = \alpha - \beta$. Solving the system of equations yields $\alpha = \frac{x+y}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{x-y}{2}$.

3. Trigonometry.

Simplify the following trigonometric expressions to obtain algebraic expressions (i.e., only involving sums, ratios, roots, etc.).

Example: if $-1 \leq x \leq 1$, we have $\cos(\arcsin x) = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$.

- (a) $\sin(\arcsin x)$, where $-1 \leq x \leq 1$
- (b) $\sin(\arccos x)$, where $-1 \leq x \leq 1$
- (c) $\tan(\arccos x)$, where $-1 \leq x \leq 1$

Solution: In each case, the restriction on the values of x guarantees that x is in the domain of the corresponding inverse trigonometric function.

(a)

$$\sin(\arcsin x) = (\sin \circ \arcsin)(x) = id(x) = x$$

where id is the identity mapping, i.e., $id(x) = x$ for all x .

(b) the function \arccos takes values in $[0, \pi]$, and in this interval we have the relation

$$\sin x = \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 x} = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$$

(side question: what does fail outside this interval?), so we have

$$\sin(\arccos x) = \sqrt{1 - \cos^2(\arccos x)} = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$$

(c) Arguing as above, we get

$$\tan(\arccos x) = \frac{\sin(\arccos x)}{\cos(\arccos x)} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{x}$$

4. Arithmetic manipulations.

Prove the following identities.

(a)

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

(Hint: try to add the elements of the two finite sequences $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $(n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 1)$ term by term)

(b) Give an alternative proof, to the one given in the first lecture, for the equality

$$a + a^2 + a^3 + \dots + a^n = a \cdot \frac{1 - a^n}{1 - a}, \quad a \neq 1, \quad n > 1.$$

(Hint: use the identity

$$(b^n - a^n) = (b - a)(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}b + a^{n-3}b^2 + \dots + ab^{n-2} + b^{n-1}),$$

and replace b with 1)

(c)* For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

(Hint: start by computing $k^3 - (k-1)^3$ for a natural number k)

Solution:

- (a) We observe that if we add elements of the two sequences $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $(n, n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1)$ we get the sequence $(n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, \dots, n + 1)$, whose sum is $n(n + 1)$. As we counted each term of the original sequence twice, we conclude that,

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n + 1)}{2}$$

- (b) Using the identity and replacing b with 1 we obtain

$$(1 - a^n) = (1 - a)(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2} + \dots + a + 1)$$

We divide both sides by $(1 - a)$, which is not 0 as $a \neq 1$, to get

$$\frac{(1 - a^n)}{(1 - a)} = a^{n-1} + a^{n-2} + \dots + a + 1$$

Finally we multiply both sides by a to get

$$a + a^2 + a^3 + \dots + a^n = a \cdot \frac{1 - a^n}{1 - a}$$

- (c) We compute

$$k^3 - (k - 1)^3 = 3k^2 - 3k + 1.$$

Hence,

$$k^2 = \frac{k^3 - (k - 1)^3}{3} + k - \frac{1}{3}.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{i^3 - (i - 1)^3}{3} + i - \frac{1}{3} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i^3 - (i - 1)^3}{3} + \sum_{i=1}^n i - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{3}. \quad (1)$$

Now, the first sum is a telescopic sum (i.e., there are cancellations)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i^3 - (i - 1)^3}{3} &= \frac{n^3}{3} - \frac{(n - 1)^3}{3} + \frac{(n - 1)^3}{3} - \frac{(n - 2)^3}{3} + \frac{(n - 2)^3}{3} - \dots \\ &\quad - \frac{3^3}{3} + \frac{3^3}{3} - \frac{2^3}{3} + \frac{2^3}{3} - \frac{1^3}{3} + \frac{1^3}{3} - 0^3 = \frac{n^3}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The second sum has been computed in part (a), that is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{(n + 1)n}{2}, \quad (3)$$

while the last sum is the sum of n copies of $\frac{1}{3}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{3} = \frac{n}{3}. \quad (4)$$

Putting equations (1)-(4) together, we get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{2n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n - 2n}{6} = \frac{n(2n^2 + 3n + 1)}{6} = \frac{n(2n + 1)(n + 1)}{6}.$$

Alternative proof, using induction (check the book at page 469 if you do not know what induction is; you can also read the text in italics below).

We prove this by induction. That the conclusion holds for $n = 1$ is a simple direct computation by substituting n with 1 in the formula.

Now, suppose that the formula that we are requested to prove holds for some natural number $n = k$. That is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k i^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6}$$

Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k i^2 \right) + (k+1)^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^2 = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2(k+1)+1)}{6}$$

where the second equality follows from our inductive hypothesis and the third equality can be verified by expanding each term.

Hence, the formula holds by induction.

Proof by induction: We have shown that the formula holds whenever $n = 1$. We later show that if the formula holds for some natural number $n = k$, then the formula also holds whenever $n = k + 1$. Consequently, since the formula holds whenever $n = 1$, it must also hold for $n = 1 + 1 = 2$. But this means that it must also hold for $n = 2 + 1 = 3$, and therefore $n = 3 + 1 = 4, n = 4 + 1 = 5, n = 5 + 1 = 6, \dots$ and so on.

5. Equations.

Solve the following equations:

- (a) $\frac{2x}{x+1} = \frac{2x-1}{x}$;
- (b) $x^4 - 3x^2 + 2 = 0$;
- (c) $3|x - 4| = 10$.

Solution:

- (a) By the denominators, we have the conditions $x \neq -1$ and $x \neq 0$. Now, we multiply both sides by $x(x+1)$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2x^2 &= (2x-1)(x+1) \\ 2x^2 &= 2x^2 + 2x - x - 1 \\ 0 &= -x - 1 \\ x &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is an acceptable solution.

- (b) First, we regard the polynomial as a polynomial in $t = x^2$. Then, we realized that we can factor $t^2 - 3t + 2 = (t-1)(t-2)$. So, the equation becomes $(x^2-1)(x^2-2) = 0$. Since we have a product and we want it to be 0, it will be 0 when at least one of the

factors is 0. So, first we study $x^2 - 1 = 0$, which has solutions $x = \pm 1$. Then, we study $x^2 - 2 = 0$, which has solutions $x = \pm\sqrt{2}$. Thus, the equation has solutions $1, -1, \sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}$.

- (c) We study the equation by cases. If $x - 4 \geq 0$, we have $|x - 4| = x - 4$. Thus, subject to the condition $x \geq 4$, the equation becomes $3(x - 4) = 10$. So, it is

$$\begin{aligned} 3(x - 4) &= 10 \\ 3x - 12 &= 10 \\ 3x &= 22 \\ x &= \frac{22}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{22}{3} \geq \frac{12}{3} = 4$, this solution is acceptable.

Now, we analyze the case $x < 4$, which allows to simplify the equation as $-3(x - 4) = 10$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -3x + 12 &= 10 \\ -3x &= -2 \\ x &= \frac{2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{2}{3} < 4$, also this solution is acceptable.

6. Inequalities.

Determine the solutions to the following inequalities.

- (a) $x^2 < 2x + 8$;
 (b) $x(x - 1)(x - 2) > 0$;
 (c) $\frac{2x-3}{x+1} \leq 1$;
 (d) $|x^2 - 1| \leq 1$.

Solution:

- (a) We will bring all the summands to one side and try to factor the polynomial we get. So, the inequality is equivalent to $x^2 - 2x - 8 < 0$. Now, we factor $x^2 - 2x - 8 = (x+2)(x-4)$, and the roots are -2 and 4 . If we think of the graph of $y = x^2 - 2x - 8$, it is a parabola, and $x = -2$ and $x = 4$ determine its x -intercepts. So, since we want the portion of the parabola whose y -coordinate is negative (i.e., $y = x^2 - 2x - 8 < 0$) and the parabola is concave up, the solution is $-2 < x < 4$.
- (b) We study the sign of each factor, and then we use the sign rule to determine the sign of their product. The factor x is positive if $x > 0$ and negative if $x < 0$. The factor $x - 1$ is positive if $x > 1$ and negative if $x < 1$. Lastly, the factor $x - 2$ is positive if $x > 2$ and negative if $x < 2$. Since we want a strict inequality, we exclude the values $x = 0, 1, 2$ and only consider open intervals. On $(-\infty, 0)$ all three factors are negative, so their product is negative, as $- \cdot - \cdot - = -$. On $(0, 1)$, the factor x is positive and the other two are negative, so $+ \cdot - \cdot - = +$, and we have a solution. On $(1, 2)$ only the factor $x - 2$ is negative and the other two are positive,

so we have $+\cdot+\cdot-=-$. Lastly, on $(2, +\infty)$ all three are positive and we get a solution, as $+\cdot+\cdot+=+$. So, the solution set is $(0, 1) \cup (2, +\infty)$.

- (c) In order to solve this equation, we want to clear denominators. Yet, the sign of $x + 1$ will determine whether we have to flip the inequality or not. So, the first case is when $x + 1 > 0$, that is, $x > -1$. In this case, when we clear the denominator, we multiply by a positive number, and the direction of the inequality is preserved. So, if $x > -1$, we obtain $2x - 3 \leq x + 1$. Now, we solve this linear inequality, and we get $x \leq 4$. So, since we have the constraint $x > -1$, we obtain the solutions $-1 < x \leq 4$.

Now, we consider the case $x < -1$, which corresponds to having a negative denominator. So, when we clear the denominator, we multiply by a negative number, and the inequality becomes $2x - 3 \geq x + 1$, which has solution $x \geq 4$. Since $(-\infty, -1) \cap [4, +\infty) = \emptyset$, this case does not provide any valid solution.

So, the overall solution set is $-1 < x \leq 4$.

- (d) In this case, we use the following fact: if $b \geq 0$, the inequality $|a| \leq b$ is equivalent to $-b \leq a \leq b$. So, in our case, we get $-1 \leq x^2 - 1 \leq 1$. Adding 1 all the way, we get $0 \leq x^2 \leq 2$. Since $x^2 \geq 0$ is always true, we are left with $x^2 \leq 2$. If we interpret it as the graph of a parabola that is concave up, we are looking at the portion that is below the line $y = 2$. So, we can solve $x^2 = 2$, and the solution will be the interval between the two roots of this equation. Thus, the overall solution is $-\sqrt{2} \leq x \leq \sqrt{2}$.

7. Functions.

Let

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{1 + \frac{1}{1-x}}}$$

- (a) Find x , such that $f(x) = 3$.
 (b) Find the domain of f .

Solution:

- (a) there are many easy alternative ways to solve this equation; one is the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{1 + \frac{1}{1-x}}} = 3 &\iff 1 - \frac{2}{1 + \frac{1}{1-x}} = \frac{1}{3} \\ &\iff \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1-x}} = \frac{1}{3} \\ &\iff 1 + \frac{1}{1-x} = 3 \\ &\iff \frac{1}{1-x} = 2 \\ &\iff x = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) The domain is the entire \mathbb{R} except for the values that make the denominator of the fractions $\frac{1}{1-x}$, $\frac{2}{1 + \frac{1}{1-x}}$ and $\frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{1 + \frac{1}{1-x}}}$ zero. These values are, $x = 1$, $x = 2$ and $x = 0$.
 So $D_f = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1, 2\}$.

8. Functions.

Do there exist functions f and g defined on \mathbb{R} such that

$$f(x) + g(y) = xy$$

for all real numbers x and y ? (*Hint: Try to evaluate for $(x, y) = (0, 0)$, $(x, y) = (1, 0)$, $(x, y) = (0, 1)$, $(x, y) = (1, 1)$*)

Solution: The answer is no. To explain look at

$$f(0) + g(0) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$f(1) + g(0) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$f(0) + g(1) = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$f(1) + g(1) = 1 \quad (4)$$

If we add equations (2) and (3) we get

$$f(1) + g(1) + f(0) + g(0) = 0$$

But from equation (4) we know that $f(1) + g(1) = 1$ and $f(0) + g(0) = 0$ from equation (1). So the left hand side adds up to 1 while the right hand side is zero. This is the contradiction with the hypothesis that we can have the form $f(x) + g(y) = xy$.

9. Functions.

Recall that a function $F: X \rightarrow Y$ is called injective if for every pair of elements a and b in X , $F(a) = F(b)$ implies that $a = b$; in other words, it is injective if distinct elements have distinct images.

Consider now three functions $f, g, h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For each of the following statements, say whether that is true or false. If you think it is true, then provide a proof of that, or, else, if false, provide a counterexample.

- (a) $f \circ (g + h) = f \circ g + f \circ h$;
- (b) $(f + g) \circ h = (f \circ h) + (g \circ h)$;
- (c) $f \circ g = g \circ f$ if and only if $f = g$;
- (d) if $f \circ f$ is injective then f is injective;
- (e) if $f \circ g$ is injective then g is injective;
- (f) if $f \circ g$ is injective then f is injective.

Solution:

- (a) False. Take $f(x) = x^2$, $g(x) = x$ and $h(x) = x$ then $f \circ (g + h) = 4x^2$ and $f \circ g + f \circ h = 2x^2$.
- (b) True.
- (c) False. Take $f(x) = x$ and g to be the function identically 0, i.e., $g(x) = 0$ for all x . Then $f \circ g = g \circ f = 0$

(d) True. We want to show that f is injective. Assume we have x_1 and x_2 such that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, we have to show that $x_1 = x_2$. We have

$$f(x_1) = f(x_2) \implies f(f(x_1)) = f(f(x_2)) \implies (f \circ f)(x_1) = (f \circ f)(x_2) \implies x_1 = x_2$$

The last implication is because $f \circ f$ is injective.

(e) True. Similar to the previous exercise.

(f) False. The following is a counterexample with easy sets, rather than \mathbb{R} . Let g be the inclusion of $\{a\}$ in $\{a, b\}$, and f a map from $\{a, b\}$ to a set with a single element $\{*\}$, so $f(a) = f(b) = *$, then the composition is injective but f is not injective. To have a counterexample on \mathbb{R} , take as g the exponential and $f(x) = x^2$.

10. Functions.

Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be defined by $f(n) = n^2$ and $g(n) = n + 1$, respectively.

- (a) Compute $f \circ g$;
- (b) compute $g \circ f$;
- (c) compute g^m for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Solution:

- (a) We have $f \circ g(n) = f(g(n)) = f(n + 1) = (n + 1)^2 = n^2 + 2n + 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (b) We have $g \circ f(n) = g(f(n)) = g(n^2) = n^2 + 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (c) The function g adds 1 to the input. If we repeat it m times, g^m will add 1 m times to the original input. That is, $g^m(n) = n + m$.

11. * Functions.

Consider the following set of $n + 1$ points in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$S := \{(x_i, y_i) | i = 0, 1, \dots, n\},$$

where $x_i \neq x_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Find a polynomial p of degree at most n such that $p(x_i) = y_i$ for any $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

You may use the following fact: If p is a sum of polynomials of degree n , then p is a polynomial of degree at most n .

(Hint: Try to first find degree n polynomials φ_i for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ s.t. $\varphi_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is defined as follows:

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ 1 & \text{for } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Using the polynomials φ_i , can you construct p ?)

Solution: We start with the hint. The aim is to find a polynomial φ_i of degree n with roots at $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$ and $\varphi_i(x_i) = 1$. We know the n roots of φ_i . We can therefore conclude that φ_i must be of the following form

$$\varphi_i(x) = C(x - x_0)(x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_{i-1})(x - x_{i+1}) \cdots (x - x_n) = C \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^n (x - x_j)$$

for some non-zero constant C . As long as $C \neq 0$ the roots of φ_i remain unchanged whatever we choose C to be. We therefore choose C s.t. $\varphi_i(x_i) = 1$. Hence,

$$1 = \varphi_i(x_i) = C \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^n (x_i - x_j) \Rightarrow C = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^n (x_i - x_j)}$$

Hence,

$$\varphi_i(x) = \frac{\prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^n (x - x_j)}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^n (x_i - x_j)}$$

Hence, the polynomial p of degree at most n that interpolates the points $\{(x_i, y_i) : i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ is

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n y_j \varphi_j(x)$$

since by construction of φ_i

$$p(x_i) = \sum_{j=0}^n y_j \varphi_j(x_i) = \sum_{j=0}^n y_j \delta_{ij} = y_i \delta_{ii} = y_i$$

Note that p has degree at most n since it is a sum of degree n polynomials.

12. Sets.

For sets E, F and D prove the following:

- (a) Commutativity: $E \cap F = F \cap E$ and $E \cup F = F \cup E$;
- (b) Associativity: $D \cap (E \cap F) = (D \cap E) \cap F$ and $D \cup (E \cup F) = (D \cup E) \cup F$;
- (c) Distributivity: $D \cap (E \cup F) = (D \cap E) \cup (D \cap F)$ and $D \cup (E \cap F) = (D \cup E) \cap (D \cup F)$;
- (d) De Morgan laws: $(E \cap F)^c = E^c \cup F^c$ and $(E \cup F)^c = E^c \cap F^c$.

Solution: A standard approach to show that two sets A and B are equal is to first show $A \subseteq B$ and then $B \subseteq A$. These two inclusions together imply $A = B$. We are going to use this approach in each part of the problem.

- (a) Let $x \in E \cap F$. As $E \cap F \subseteq F$, we have $x \in F$. As $E \cap F \subseteq E$, we have $x \in E$. Thus, we have $x \in F$ and $x \in E$; that is, $x \in F \cap E$. Since any element of $E \cap F$ is

in $F \cap E$, we have $E \cap F \subseteq F \cap E$. The reversed inclusion (i.e., $F \cap E \subseteq E \cap F$) is proved analogously (just swap the roles). Thus, we conclude $E \cap F = F \cap E$.

Now, let $x \in E \cup F$. By definition of $E \cup F$, at least one among $x \in E$ and $x \in F$ holds true. If $x \in E$, we have $x \in F \cup E$, as $E \subseteq F \cup E$. If $x \in F$, we have $x \in F \cup E$, as $F \subseteq F \cup E$. Thus, in either case we have $x \in F \cup E$. Thus, it follows that $E \cup F \subseteq F \cup E$. Similarly, we can show $F \cup E \subseteq E \cup F$, which allows to conclude $E \cup F = F \cup E$.

- (b) We show the associativity of intersection. The associativity of inclusion is proved with a similar argument.

Let $x \in D \cap (E \cap F)$. Thus, $x \in D$ and $x \in E \cap F$. As $x \in E \cap F$, we have $x \in E$ and $x \in F$. Now, as $x \in D$ and $x \in E$, we have $x \in D \cap E$. As $x \in D \cap E$ and $x \in F$, we conclude $x \in (D \cap E) \cap F$. Thus, we have $D \cap (E \cap F) \subseteq (D \cap E) \cap F$. The reversed inclusion is proved analogously and allows to conclude $D \cap (E \cap F) = (D \cap E) \cap F$.

- (c) Let $x \in D \cap (E \cup F)$. Then $x \in D$ and in at least one of E and F . If $x \in E$, we have $x \in D \cap E$. If $x \in F$, we have $x \in D \cap F$. So, x is always in at least one among $D \cap E$ and $D \cap F$; that is, $x \in (D \cap E) \cup (D \cap F)$. This shows $D \cap (E \cup F) \subseteq (D \cap E) \cup (D \cap F)$.

Now, let $x \in (D \cap E) \cup (D \cap F)$. If $x \in D \cap E$, we have $x \in D$ and $x \in E \subseteq E \cup F$; thus, $x \in D \cap (E \cup F)$. Similarly, if $x \in D \cap F$, we have $x \in D$ and $x \in F \subseteq E \cup F$; thus, $x \in D \cap (E \cup F)$. This shows the reversed inclusion and we conclude $D \cap (E \cup F) = (D \cap E) \cup (D \cap F)$.

Now, let $x \in (D \cup E) \cap (D \cup F)$. Then, $x \in D \cup E$ and $x \in D \cup F$. If $x \in D$, then $x \in D \subseteq D \cup (E \cap F)$. Now, assume $x \notin D$. Then, as $x \in D \cup E$, it follows $x \in E$. Similarly, as $x \in D \cup F$, it follows $x \in F$. Thus, $x \in E$ and $x \in F$. Hence, $x \in E \cap F \subseteq D \cup (E \cap F)$. This shows $(D \cup E) \cap (D \cup F) \subseteq D \cup (E \cap F)$.

Now, let $x \in D \cup (E \cap F)$. If $x \in D$, then $x \in D \subseteq D \cup E$ and $x \in D \subseteq D \cup F$; as $x \in D \cup E$ and $D \cup F$, we have $x \in (D \cup E) \cap (D \cup F)$. Now, assume $x \notin D$. As $x \in D \cup (E \cap F)$, it follows that $x \in E \cap F$. In particular, $x \in E \subseteq D \cup E$ and $x \in F \subseteq D \cup F$. So, $x \in (D \cup E) \cap (D \cup F)$. This proves the reversed inclusion and we get the sought equality.

- (d) Let $x \in (E \cap F)^c$. If $x \in E^c$, then $x \in E^c \cup F^c$. So, assume $x \notin E^c$; that is, assume $x \in E$. Then, it has to be the case that $x \in F^c$: indeed, if $x \in F$ were true, we'd have $x \in E \cap F$, contradicting that $x \in (E \cap F)^c$. Thus, if $x \in (E \cap F)^c$, at least one among $x \in E^c$ and $x \in F^c$ holds; that is $x \in E^c \cup F^c$.

Now, assume that $x \in E^c \cup F^c$. At least one among $x \in E^c$ and $x \in F^c$ holds. If $x \in E^c$, then $x \notin E$, so $x \notin E \cap F$, as $E \cap F \subseteq E$. If $x \in F^c$, then $x \notin F$, so $x \notin E \cap F$, as $E \cap F \subseteq F$. In either case, we have $x \notin E \cap F$; that is, $x \in (E \cap F)^c$. This concludes the proof of $(E \cap F)^c = E^c \cup F^c$.

Let $x \in (E \cup F)^c$. Then, $x \notin E$: indeed, if $x \in E$, then $x \in E \subseteq E \cup F$, which is impossible, as $x \in (E \cup F)^c$. Similarly, $x \notin F$. Thus, $x \in E^c$ and $x \in F^c$. Thus, $x \in E^c \cap F^c$. Hence, $(E \cup F)^c \subseteq E^c \cap F^c$.

Now, assume $x \in E^c \cap F^c$. As $x \in E^c$, $x \notin E$. Similarly, $x \notin F$. So, x is neither in E nor in F . Thus, by definition of $E \cup F$, $x \notin E \cup F$. Hence, $x \in (E \cup F)^c$. So, we get the reversed inclusion.

13. Representations of numbers.

Prove that a real number is a rational number if and only if the decimal representation becomes periodic.

Solution: Let $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Without loss of generality (in short, wlog) we may assume $q \in [0, 1)$ by removing the integral part.

Let $q = \frac{a}{b}$ where $0 \leq a < b$ and a, b are natural numbers. We define recursively two sequences of numbers, $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, by means of long division by b . We set $a_0 = 0$ and $r_0 = a$. Then, the recursion is as follows: $10r_{n-1} = a_n b + r_n$, where $0 \leq r_n < b$. That is, r_n is the remainder of the division of $10r_{n-1}$ by b (convince yourself of this by writing out a few terms!). By construction of $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\frac{a}{b} - (\frac{a_1}{10} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{10^n}) = \frac{r_n}{b} 10^{-n} < 10^{-n}$. Therefore, a_i must be the i -th digit in the decimal expansion of q , that is, $q = 0.a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$. Since r_i is a natural number that is the remainder of a division by b , we have $r_i \in \{0, \dots, b-1\}$. So, after $b+1$ steps of this, a remainder r_i must have been repeated more once, because r_i can only take at most b values. This means that the sequence of a_i must repeat at one point and the recurring sequence cannot be longer than b in length. This finishes the proof.

(\Leftarrow) Let $a = z.x_1 \dots x_m \overline{y_1 \dots y_n}$ be the decimal expansion of a real number a . Then,

$$10^m a = z x_1 \dots x_m \overline{y_1 \dots y_n}$$

and

$$10^{m+n} a = z x_1 \dots x_m y_1 \dots y_n \overline{y_1 \dots y_n}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (10^{m+n} - 10^m) a &= z x_1 \dots x_m y_1 \dots y_n - z x_1 \dots x_m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \implies a &= \frac{z x_1 \dots x_m y_1 \dots y_n - z x_1 \dots x_m}{10^{m+n} - 10^m} \implies a \in \mathbb{Q}. \end{aligned}$$