Capsule Endoscopy
EE-519 Seminar

Charlotte Kaiber, Emma Mantel

***Abstract*—Wireless Capsule Endoscopy (WCE) has become an important minimally invasive technique for visualizing the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. Early systems introduced swallowable capsules that integrate miniature cameras, LEDs, low-power electronics and wireless transmitters into compact ingestible devices [1]. Improvements in optical design, image sensing and telemetry architectures further increased image quality, battery lifetime and diagnostic reliability [1], [2]. However, these capsules still rely on passive motion, which limits control of orientation, navigation and coverage of the GI tract [2]. Advances in low-power wireless communication [3], magnetic steering, hybrid localization and artificial intelligence have opened new possibilities for more controllable and intelligent capsule platforms [4]. This report provides an overview of WCE technology, reviews representative systems and highlights benefits, remaining challenges and current research directions toward next-generation robotic and intelligent capsule endoscopes.**

I. INTRODUCTION

ENDOSCOPIC assessment of the gastrointestinal tract is essential for diagnosing diseases such as Crohn's disease, obscure GI bleeding, celiac disease and colorectal cancer. Traditional flexible endoscopy provides detailed visualization but is invasive, uncomfortable for patients and unable to access the entire small intestine. Wireless Capsule Endoscopy offers a less invasive alternative by using a swallowable device that integrates an optical system, illumination, imaging electronics and a wireless communication link [1].

The first generations of WCE systems demonstrated that full GI imaging is possible using a miniature capsule powered by internal batteries and equipped with CMOS sensors and LEDs [1]. These systems enabled widespread clinical adoption and established the fundamental architecture of capsule endoscopy. However, passive movement, varying orientation and unpredictable transit times often result in incomplete coverage of important anatomical regions, which limits diagnostic accuracy [2]. These limitations have motivated research into new sensing concepts, improved locomotion and enhanced system reliability.

Progress in telemetry has played a major role in strengthening the performance of capsule systems. Work on low-power RF communication, inductive powering and alternative signaling methods has contributed to higher data rates, better energy efficiency and more stable data transmission inside the body [3]. Such improvements support longer monitoring periods and higher-quality image transmission.

More recent developments focus on adding active control and intelligent functions to WCEs. Technologies such as magnetic navigation, localization, embedded processing and robotic actuation introduce a higher level of controllability, precision and diagnostic capability [4]. These innovations point toward a future in which capsule endoscopes are not limited to passive imaging but can support targeted navigation and potentially interventional tasks.

Based on these foundations, the following sections provide an overview of the underlying technology, current systems, benefits, remaining challenges and research approaches that define the ongoing evolution of wireless capsule endoscopy.

II. TECHNOLOGY OVERVIEW

Wireless Capsule Endoscopy systems integrate multiple miniaturized subsystems that must operate reliably within the complex environment of the gastrointestinal tract. Each subsystem is engineered under strict constraints on size (about 26mm x 11mm), power consumption, biocompatibility and heat generation, making WCE devices a highly optimized class of embedded medical systems [1]. A typical capsule consists of an optical front end, illumination, an image sensor, control and processing electronics, an energy source and a telemetry subsystem, all enclosed within a smooth, biocompatible shell that facilitates natural transit.

The optical subsystem is designed to capture high-clarity images at very short working distances. Lens assemblies are often constructed from multiple aspherical elements to achieve a wide field of view, typically between 140° and 170°, while minimizing geometric distortion and maintaining adequate depth of field [1]. Because the internal surfaces of the GI tract reflect light unevenly, uniform illumination is critical. Capsules therefore integrate several high-efficiency LEDs arranged symmetrically around the lens to reduce shadowing and ensure consistent lighting across curved mucosal surfaces.

Low-power CMOS image sensors form the core of the imaging pipeline. These sensors balance resolution, noise performance, frame rate and power consumption. Modern designs incorporate on-chip functions such as correlated double sampling, automatic gain adjustment and pixel-level noise suppression to improve image quality in the GI environment [1]. Adaptive frame-rate control allows the capsule to increase frame rate during faster movement (e.g. through the esophagus) or decrease it during slower movement to maintain spatial sampling, thereby extending total operational lifetime.

Control electronics are typically implemented using custom low-power ASICs that integrate timing generation, LED control, image acquisition, compression, and wireless protocol functions. These ASICs reduce component count and enable precise power budgeting, ensuring that heat generation stays below thresholds that could cause patient discomfort or tissue damage [1]. The ASIC also manages transitions between active and sleep states, which is essential for maximizing battery efficiency.

Power is supplied by miniature silver-oxide batteries, chosen for their high energy density, low internal resistance and safety in biological environments. Since battery volume directly limits operational time, power management strategies such as duty cycling, context-aware frame-rate adaptation and low-voltage circuit design are key to prolonged operation [1].

Wireless telemetry links the capsule to an external receiver and is one of the most technically challenging subsystems. Traditional systems use narrowband RF communication, typically in the 400 MHz medical telemetry bands [1], [3]. Biological tissues strongly attenuate electromagnetic waves, so antenna design must carefully account for detuning caused by surrounding fluids and tissue. Embedded micro-antennas must be compact yet remain efficient under variable loading conditions. Robust modulation schemes, forward-error correction and diversity reception on the external recorder help prevent frame loss during capsule rotation or occlusion [3].

Precise localization of the WCE within the patient is essential. Current commercially available devices rely on context, landmarks, and timing information to connect findings to specific GI regions [1]. Experimental techniques include magnetic field tracking using permanent magnets, RF triangulation through distributed sensors and model-based localization using transit-time dynamics [4].

Next-generation WCEs expand the traditional passive architecture (movement through peristalsis alone) by incorporating magnetic materials, micro-motors, miniature actuators and deformable structures that enable active locomotion and orientation control [4]. Some designs integrate onboard microprocessors or AI-ready hardware for real-time analysis or motion planning. These developments mark a transition from purely observational imaging devices toward actively controllable diagnostic micro-robots capable of targeted navigation and future interventional functions.

III. CURRENT CLINICAL SYSTEMS AND THEIR CAPABILITIES

Wireless Capsule Endoscopy is now an established clinical technology, supported by a set of commercially available capsule models that have been refined over many years of research, engineering progress and clinical evaluation. These systems differ in their optical layout, telemetry method, frame-rate control and field of view, but they share a common goal: providing reliable, minimally invasive visualization of the gastrointestinal tract.

The most widely used models include the PillCam SB for small-bowel imaging, the PillCam COLON for colon examinations and the PillCam ESO for assessment of the esophagus [1]. The PillCam SB is designed for long transit times and uses a forward-viewing wide-angle lens, LED illumination and a low-power CMOS sensor to capture high-resolution color images of the small intestine over several hours. The PillCam COLON integrates dual cameras, which significantly expand the field of view and help compensate for rotational motion inside the colon. The PillCam ESO operates at a higher frame rate to capture rapidly moving esophageal tissue within a much shorter diagnostic window.

Additional clinically deployed systems include the Olympus EndoCapsule, which also uses forward imaging with optimized illumination and improved image processing, and the MiRoCam system, which relies on an alternative telemetry method based on electric-field propagation rather than conventional RF transmission [1], [2]. MiRoCam's communication method enables stable data transmission using significantly lower power, which contributes to extended operational lifetime. These models demonstrate the range of engineering strategies used to overcome challenges in power consumption, transmission reliability and optical clarity.

Across these clinical systems, several design features have become standard. Modern capsules frequently use adaptive frame-rate control, increasing the frame rate in regions where the capsule accelerates and reducing it during slow transit to conserve energy [1], [2]. This strategy improves effective resolution and increases battery efficiency, raising the likelihood that the capsule completes its journey before battery depletion. Imaging optics have also been optimized to maximize uniform illumination, color fidelity and mucosal detail, enabling clinicians to identify subtle lesions, vascular abnormalities and early indicators of inflammatory diseases.

All current clinical capsules rely on passive locomotion, moving solely through natural peristaltic contractions. Although this limits the physician's ability to steer or orient the capsule, it greatly simplifies clinical workflow, requiring no external actuation systems or specialized hardware. Patients can continue daily activities during the examination, and the procedure avoids sedation and the discomfort of traditional endoscopy, which contributes to its widespread acceptance [2].

Telemetry remains essential for clinical reliability. Most systems use low-power radio-frequency transmission to send frames to an external belt-worn receiver. Advances in antenna design, signal modulation and receiver configuration have improved stability, reducing frame loss even when capsule orientation changes [1], [3]. MiRoCam's electric-field transmission represents an alternative approach that maintains stable communication using lower energy levels, demonstrating how different telemetry concepts can support clinical performance [3].

The benefits of these clinically used systems include non-invasive visualization of the entire small intestine, improved patient comfort and reliable imaging under diverse anatomical and physiological conditions. These capsules provide extensive diagnostic coverage for conditions such as Crohn's disease, obscure GI bleeding and small-bowel tumors with a focus on robustness, safety, simplicity and diagnostic effectiveness.

IV. STATE OF THE RESEARCH

Research in Wireless Capsule Endoscopy has progressed significantly since currently available devices were released commercially. A focus of contemporary research is transitioning WCE from a passive imaging tool into a teleoperated miniature robot. This transformative vision necessitates comprehensive efforts to integrate the core modules of locomotion, vision, telemetry, localization, power, and diagnostic/therapeutic tools while adhering to ingestible size constraints.

A. Localization

The current practice of using visual cues and transit times to determine the location of the capsule is unreliable. Research therefore has been focused on developing localization techniques that can precisely determine the capsule's 6-degree-of-freedom position (3 position and 3 orientation unknowns).

One approach involves magnetic localization, wherein a small permanent magnet embedded within the capsule generates a magnetic field that is measured by external sensor arrays. An inverse magnetic dipole model then reconstructs the capsule's position and orientation from these measurements. This technique demands robust field inversion algorithms and remains susceptible to interference from metallic objects or surrounding magnetic fields [5]. An alternative implementation employs active electromagnetic coils rather than passive permanent magnets. This could enable a combined power transmission, locomotion, and localization module, likely at the cost of increased computational complexity, capsule size, and power consumption. Under experimental conditions, magnetic localization has achieved errors as low as 0.8 mm and 1.1° [5].

A second approach, RF-based localization, presents distinct challenges due to the complex environment within the human body. Different tissue layers and surrounding media have differing relative permittivity, leading to multipath propagation and signal attenuation. Localization relies on the accuracy of body models and algorithms, and research focuses on combining useful metrics (such as Angle of Arrival and Time of Arrival) with machine learning, AI, and different coil/antenna combinations and sensor array layouts to optimize localization [5]. Magnetic localization does not suffer from these same problems, as the magnetic permeabilities of the involved media are very similar [4]. RF localization cannot determine capsule orientation, and reported accuracy remains significantly lower than magnetic methods, with experimental studies achieving errors of approximately 21.9 mm [5]. Furthermore, much of the existing research relies on simulations.

Vision-based localization uses features in the WCE's collected images to estimate localization through different machine learning techniques such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs), feature point tracking, or optical flow. These techniques measure motion within the images and map it to real-world displacement. Vision-based localization alone can be unreliable at low frame rates and is best combined with magnetic or RF-based localization [5].

B. Locomotion/Steering

The leading approach in locomotion is magnetic field actuation, where external coils create controlled magnetic fields to rotate or pull an internal magnet embedded in the capsule. These systems provide precise steering without onboard motors, avoiding power constraints [4]. There is some risk of tissue damage and intestinal torsion associated with this technique, as the capsule might apply friction to the intestinal wall while being dragged and rotated by magnetic forces. This can be mitigated by smoothing the capsule surface and alternating rotation directions [4]. Magnetic steering has been implemented commercially in the MiroCam using a magnetic wand [1].

Other experimental designs use mechanic locomotion systems such as propellers, vibration motors, or actuators (such as shape memory alloys) for a crawling motion. These consume substantial power, add bulk and complexity to the capsule, and introduce heat dissipation challenges [2].

C. Power

Most commercially available capsules incorporate silver-oxide coin batteries, which are the only battery type currently approved for clinical use [2]. Current commercial systems have a battery life of 7-11 hours, which should be maintained to respect GI transit times. New functionalities (localization, higher frame rates) will likely increase power demands, and thus require improved power sources [2]. Research efforts are focused on wireless power transfer, bio-compatible energy harvesting, and high-energy-density batteries [4].

Wireless Power Transfer (WPT) systems utilize inductive or resonant coupling to transfer energy. The core mechanism involves an external transmitting coil generating an alternating magnetic field that induces a voltage in a receiver coil within the capsule [2], [4]. Inductive coupling systems have been shown to provide output power ranging from 150 mW up to 300 mW, sufficient to support mechanically actuated locomotion or high-speed bi-directional communication [2], [4]. If WTP is deployed alongside magnetic locomotion or localization technologies, magnetic field decoupling is required to prevent interference and ensure reliable control [4].

Alternative on-board power supplies with higher energy densities are Lithium-Ion Polymer Batteries. However, these may create safety concerns due to thermal runaway [4]. 3-D Thin-Film Batteries (TFBs) may be another future option, as they have even larger energy density than LiPo's [2].

More experimental alternatives to current silver-oxide coin batteries turn to the WCE's environment to harvest energy. Nanobiogenerators can convert muscle contractions or blood flow into electrical energy. Self-powered batteries can exploit the GI environment by transforming gastric fluid into an electrolyte supply [2]. However, these methods are generally characterized by low output power.

D. Telemetry

Commercial WCE devices typically rely on narrowband RF transmission. One internationally recognized band is the Medical Implant Communication Service (MICS) band (402–405 MHz) with a 300 kHz channel bandwidth. It is reserved for communication with implantable medical devices. The PillCam operating at 434.1 MHz uses the unlicensed ISM (industrial, scientific, and medical) band in the USA with a bandwidth of 1.74 MHz (bands differ by region). These narrower bandwidths limit data rates for WCE, making them potentially unsuitable for real-time, high-resolution imaging [2], [3].

Alternatively, ultra-wideband (UWB, bandwidth exceeding 500 MHz) and high-frequency RF (GHz range) offer transmission speeds potentially exceeding 100 Mb/s [4], useful for high-resolution, high-frame rate images or using video as feedback in navigation. UWB benefits from low power transmitters and high data rates, and higher frequencies result in smaller antennae, helping with miniaturization [3]. One challenge is the strong attenuation experienced as the high-frequency signals pass through body tissue, thus receivers would have to be worn close to the body. UWB and higher-frequency bands must also be made available by governing bodies to be used commercially. Additionally, certain bands, especially in the GHz range, are very crowded (2.4 GHz used by Bluetooth and WiFi) and could be subject to strong interference. WCE's would then need more advanced modulation protocols, beyond the currently used ASK, OOK, FSK, FM, and AM, which would likely increase power consumption and the size of the electronic components [3].

An alternative, non-RF data transmission method is Intra-body Communication (IBC). It utilizes the human body as the signal transmission medium by integrating electrodes on the outside of the capsule. Sensing electrodes on the surface of the patient's skin receive the signal. This technique significantly reduces power consumption by eliminating RF components and is incorporated in the commercially available MiroCam [1], [4].

Finally, the need for high data rates could be addressed through more efficient data compression by pre-processing or selecting images on-board the capsule before they are transmitted [2].

E. Diagnostics and Therapeutic Capabilities

While commercial WCEs rely on standard color imaging, experimental research is increasingly interested in new sensing modalities and interventional tools to expand diagnostic precision and therapeutic utility [2].

Beyond conventional visible light imaging, integrating advanced optical techniques could constitute optical biopsy methods on board the capsule. For instance, transmission spectroscopy can detect blood in the GI tract, and fluorescence spectroscopy employs UV light for enhanced image quality [3]. Different wavelengths may have better tissue penetration and highlight different features such as lesions, inflammation, or vascular abnormalities [2]. The improvements in image quality must be balanced with the potentially higher power demands of specialized LEDs and additional optical systems [2].

Additional diagnostic power could be gained from incorporating sensors into WCE systems. pH, temperature, conductivity, and dissolved oxygen sensors could all help in the diagnosis of diseases like gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) [2]. Integrating those sensors with the broader WCE system comes with its own challenges of calibration, data stream management, and noise management. However, since these are usually low-frequency signals, they would likely not add significantly to data rate requirements.

Advances in AI and Machine Learning, can be leveraged both on-board and off-board the capsule. As mentioned earlier, image compression and selection algorithms can reduce the amount of data to be transmitted. Off-board, AI can be used to automatically detect diagnostically relevant abnormalities such as polyps, tumors, or ulcers. Given that an average recording session produces over 60,000 images, automated image analysis techniques could substantially reduce processing times for the physician [4]. As with all AI applications, these systems need to be thoroughly validated and tested before they are deployed on a larger scale.

The ultimate goal in WCE evolution is the creation of therapeutic capsules capable of performing targeted treatment in situ [4]. This requires the integration of tools such as micro-grippers or blades for taking samples and drug-delivery mechanisms. A prerequisite for the safe use of biopsy tools are precise localization, movement control, and video feedback to target specific locations or pathologies. A challenge yet to be addressed is the storage of multiple tissue samples taken during one imaging session, as is customary with standard endoscopy [2]. Magnetically or RF-activated drug reservoirs and fluid pumps could be used to deliver site-specific drugs at precise timing [2].

V. SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

A significant risk associated with WCE is capsule retention due to gastrointestinal obstructions. To mitigate this risk, patients may first ingest a dissolvable patency capsule identical in size to the actual device [1]. The PillCam Patency Capsule incorporates an RFID tag and barium lactose mixture, enabling clinicians to track its passage through the digestive tract via RFID scanning or X-ray imaging. Successful passage of the patency capsule provides reasonable assurance that the diagnostic capsule can be deployed without risk of retention [1].

RF systems present two primary safety concerns: tissue heating from electromagnetic energy absorption, measured by the Specific Absorption Rate, and neural stimulation from induced currents [4]. Ensuring patient safety requires that electromagnetic and RF exposure levels remain within established regulatory limits. Compliance is determined by internationally recognized guidelines from the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) and IEEE Standard C95.1-2019 [4].

VI. CONCLUSION

Wireless Capsule Endoscopy offers meaningful advantages over conventional endoscopy, providing a painless, sedation-free alternative that lowers barriers to screening and increases the likelihood that patients will undergo essential GI imaging. Yet, even after more than two decades of research, commercially available capsules remain limited to passive motion and modest image frame rates, leaving significant diagnostic potential untapped. In contrast, progress in laboratory settings has been substantial: advances in localization, telemetry, miniaturization, and increasingly sophisticated onboard and off-board AI processing promise higher-quality imaging, more efficient data management, and improved clinical reliability. The long-term vision of a "surgeon in a pill" grows more tangible as diagnostic and therapeutic functions are integrated.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Fitzpatrick, “Chapter 15 - wireless endoscopy capsules,” in *Implantable Electronic Medical Devices*, D. Fitzpatrick, Ed. Oxford: Academic Press, 2015, pp. 159–178. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780124165564000176

[2] G. Ciuti, A. Menciassi, and P. Dario, “Capsule endoscopy: From current achievements to open challenges,” *IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 4, pp. 59–72, 2011.

[3] M. R. Yuce and T. Dissanayake, “Easy-to-swallow wireless telemetry,” *IEEE Microwave Magazine*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 90–101, 2012.

[4] Q. Cao, R. Deng, Y. Pan, R. Liu, Y. Chen, G. Gong, J. Zou, H. Yang, and D. Han, “Robotic wireless capsule endoscopy: recent advances and upcoming technologies,” *Nature Communications*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 4597, May 2024. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-49019-0

[5] M. A. Ali, N. Tom, F. N. Alsunaydih, and M. R. Yuce, “Recent advancements in localization technologies for wireless capsule endoscopy: A technical review,” *Sensors*, vol. 25, no. 1, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/25/1/253