

## Exercices Antennas

### Series 4

#### Exercise 1

Design a rectangular microstrip antenna so that it will resonate at 1.6 GHz. The idealistic lossless substrate (RT/Duroid 6010.2) has a dielectric constant of 10.2 and a height of 0.05 in. (0.127 cm).

- Determine the physical dimensions (width and length) of the patch (in cm).
- Approximate range of lengths (in cm) between the two radiating slots of the rectangular patch, if we want the input impedance (taking into account both radiating slots) to be real.
- What is the real input impedance of Part b? Neglect coupling.
- Location (in cm from the leading radiating slot) of a coaxial feed so that the total input impedance is 75 ohms.

#### Solution :

#### Exercise 1

a) For an efficient radiator, a practical width that leads to good radiation efficiencies is:

$$W = \frac{1}{2f_r \sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\epsilon_r + 1}} = \frac{c}{2f_r} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\epsilon_r + 1}} \rightarrow W = \frac{3 \cdot 10^8}{2 \cdot 1.6 \cdot 10^9} \sqrt{\frac{2}{10.2 + 1}} = 0.03962 \text{ m} = \mathbf{3.962 \text{ cm}}$$

Determine the effective dielectric constant of the microstrip antenna using:

$$\epsilon_{\text{reff}} = \frac{\epsilon_r + 1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_r - 1}{2} \left[ 1 + 12 \frac{h}{W} \right]^{-1/2} \quad \text{for } \frac{W}{h} > 1$$

$$\frac{W}{h} = \frac{3.962}{0.127} = 31.19 > 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad \epsilon_{\text{reff}} = \frac{10.2 + 1}{2} + \frac{10.2 - 1}{2} \left[ 1 + 12 \frac{0.127}{3.962} \right]^{-1/2} = \mathbf{9.51}$$

The extended incremental length of the patch  $\Delta L$  is:

$$\Delta L = h \cdot 0.412 \cdot \frac{(\epsilon_{reff} + 0.3) \left(\frac{W}{h} + 0.264\right)}{(\epsilon_{reff} - 0.258) \left(\frac{W}{h} + 0.8\right)} \rightarrow \Delta L = 0.127 \cdot 0.412 \cdot \frac{(9.51 + 0.3)(31.19 + 0.264)}{(9.51 - 0.258)(31.19 + 0.8)} = \mathbf{0.054 \text{ cm}}$$

The actual length L of the patch is found using:

$$L = \frac{c}{2f_r \sqrt{\epsilon_{reff}}} - 2\Delta L = \frac{3 \cdot 10^{10}}{2 \cdot 1.6 \cdot 10^9 \sqrt{9.51}} - 2 \cdot 0.054 = \mathbf{2.93 \text{ cm}}$$

Finally, the effective length is:

$$L_e = L + 2\Delta L = 2.93 + 2 \cdot 0.054 = \mathbf{3.038 \text{ cm}}$$

**In summary:**

**$W = 3.962 \text{ cm}$**

**$L = 2.93 \text{ cm}$**

**$\epsilon_{reff} = 9.51$**

b) Typical lengths of microstrip patches vary between:

$$L \approx (0.47 - 0.49)\lambda_g = (0.47 - 0.49) \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r}}$$

where  $\lambda_g$  is the wavelength in the dielectric.

$$L_{min} = 0.47\lambda_g = 0.47 \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r}} = 0.47 \frac{3 \cdot 10^8}{1.6 \cdot 10^9 \sqrt{10.2}} = 0.0276 \text{ m} = \mathbf{2.76 \text{ cm}}$$

$$L_{max} = 0.49\lambda_g = 0.49 \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r}} = 0.49 \frac{3 \cdot 10^8}{1.6 \cdot 10^9 \sqrt{10.2}} = 0.0288 \text{ m} = \mathbf{2.88 \text{ cm}}$$

The smaller the dielectric constant of the substrate, the larger is the fringing; thus, the length of the microstrip patch is smaller. In contrast, the larger the dielectric constant, the more tightly the fields are held within the substrate; thus, the fringing is smaller and the length is longer and closer to half-wavelength in the dielectric.

c)

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{c}{f_r} = \frac{3 \cdot 10^8}{1.6 \cdot 10^9} = 0.1875 \text{ m} = \mathbf{18.75 \text{ cm}}$$

$$k_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_0} = \frac{2\pi}{18.75} = 0.335 \text{ rad/cm}$$

$$G_1 = \frac{W}{120\lambda_0} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{24} (k_0 h)^2 \right] \quad \frac{h}{\lambda_0} < \frac{1}{10}$$

$$\frac{h}{\lambda_0} = \frac{0.127 \cdot 10^{-2}}{0.1875} = 0.00847 < 0.1$$

$$G_1 = \frac{W}{120\lambda_0} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{24} (k_0 h)^2 \right] = \frac{3.962 \cdot 10^{-2}}{120 \cdot 0.1875} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{24} (0.1875 \cdot 0.127 \cdot 10^{-2})^2 \right] = 1.76 \cdot 10^{-3} S$$

Taking into account both radiating slots and neglecting coupling:

$$R_{in} = \frac{1}{2G_1} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1.76 \cdot 10^{-3}} = \mathbf{284 \Omega}$$

d) The impedance at a specific point of the patch can be expressed as:

$$R_{in}(y = y_0) = \frac{1}{2(G_1 \pm G_{12})} \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{L} y_0 \right) = R_{in}(y = 0) \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{L} y_0 \right)$$

Since we are neglecting the coupling:

$$R_{in}(y = 0) = \frac{1}{2G_1}$$

$R_{in}(y = 0)$  is the impedance at the edge of the patch of the patch and  $R_{in}(y = y_0)$  is the impedance at the desired point, this is 75 Ω. Therefore:

$$R_{in}(y = y_0) = R_{in}(y = 0) \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{L} y_0 \right) \rightarrow 75 = 284 \cdot \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2.93} y_0 \right)$$

$$y_0 = \frac{2.93}{\pi} \cos^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{75}{284}} \right) = \mathbf{0.964 \text{ cm}}$$