

# CS-472: Design Technologies for Integrated Systems

---

## Exercise Problem Set 2 Solution

### Problem 1

Given the following function:  $f(a, b, c) = \bar{a}\bar{b}c + bc + \bar{a}b\bar{c}$

(a) Write the truth table.

*Ans:*

$a$	$b$	$c$	$f$
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1

(b) Write the function in terms of its minterms.

*Ans:*  $f(a, b, c) = \bar{a}\bar{b}c + \bar{a}bc + \bar{a}b\bar{c} + abc$

(c) Write the function using only NAND-2 gates.

*Ans:*  $f(a, b, c) = ((a \bar{\wedge} a) \bar{\wedge} b) \bar{\wedge} (a \bar{\wedge} c)$

### Problem 2

A truth table is a complete listing of all points in a Boolean input space and the corresponding output values. For example, the two-input AND function has the following truth table:

$x_1$	$x_0$	$x_0x_1$
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

To compactly represent a truth table, we can use a bitstring  $b_{2^n-1} \dots b_1 b_0$  where  $b_x = f(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  when  $w = (x_n \dots x_1)_2$ . Each bit in the bitstring corresponds to the output of  $f$  evaluated at some assignment to its variables. The most-significant bit  $b_{2^n-1}$  corresponds to all variables assigned to 1,  $f(1, \dots, 1)$  and the least-significant bit  $b_0$  correspond to  $f(0, \dots, 0)$ . Using this compact representation, the two-input AND function is 1000.

Table 1: The sixteen logical operations on two variables,  $f(x, y)$ .

Truth table	Notation(s)	Name
0000	$\perp$	Contradiction; antilogy; constant 0
0001	$x \bar{\vee} y, x \downarrow y$	Nondisjunction; NOR
0010		Converse nonimplication
0011	$x', \neg x, \bar{x}$	Left complementation
0100		Nonimplication
0101	$y', \neg y, \bar{y}$	Right complementation
0110	$x \oplus y$	Exclusive disjunction; nonequivalence; XOR
0111	$x \bar{\wedge} y, \uparrow y$	Nonconjunction; NAND
1000	$x \cdot y, xy, x \wedge y$	Conjunction; AND
1001	$x \equiv y, x \Leftrightarrow y$	Equivalence
1010	$y$	Right projection
1011	$x \Rightarrow y$	Implication
1100	$x$	Left projection
1101	$x \Leftarrow y$	Converse implication
1110	$x + y, x \vee y$	Disjunction; OR
1111	$\top$	Affirmation; tautology; constant 1

- (a) Suppose that on a remote country logicians use the symbol 1 for “false” and 0 for “true”. How do our logical operations associate to theirs?

For example, they have a binary operation “or”

$$1 \text{ or } 1 = 1, \quad 1 \text{ or } 0 = 0, \quad 0 \text{ or } 1 = 0, \quad 0 \text{ or } 0 = 0$$

which we associate with AND (1000).

*Ans:* The *dual* of a Boolean function is the expression that can be obtained by interchanging AND and OR operations and interchanging 0's and 1's. The dual function of  $f = x \circ y$  is denoted by  $f^d = \bar{x} \circ \bar{y}$  and therefore one truth table is the reverse of the complement of the other. Thus, the two countries associate by duality.

For instance, the dual function of  $f = a\bar{b} + c$  is  $f^d = (a + \bar{b})c$ .

As an interesting case, some functions are self-dual. This means that  $f = f^d$ . For instance the majority operation  $MAJ(a, b, c) = ab + ac + bc$  is self-dual.

- (b) All operations in Table 1 can be expressed in terms of NAND. For each of the 16 operations in the table find a formula equivalent to the function that uses only two-input NAND. The formula should be as short as possible and not contain any constant.

*Ans:*

4. [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1913), 481–488.] (a) Start with the truth tables for  $\perp$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ ; then compute truth table  $\alpha \bar{\wedge} \beta$  bitwise from each known pair of truth tables  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , generating the results in order of the length of each formula and writing down a shortest formula that leads to each new 4-bit table:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \perp: (x \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} x)) \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} x)) & \bar{\vee}: (x \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} x)) \bar{\wedge} ((y \bar{\wedge} y) \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} x)) \\
 \wedge: (x \bar{\wedge} y) \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} y) & \equiv: (x \bar{\wedge} y) \bar{\wedge} ((y \bar{\wedge} y) \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} x)) \\
 \bar{\supset}: (x \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} y)) \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} y)) & \bar{\mathbb{R}}: y \bar{\wedge} y \\
 \mathbb{L}: x & \subset: y \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} x) \\
 \bar{\mathbb{C}}: (y \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} x)) \bar{\wedge} (y \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} x)) & \bar{\mathbb{L}}: x \bar{\wedge} x \\
 \mathbb{R}: y & \supset: x \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} y) \\
 \oplus: (y \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} x)) \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} y)) & \bar{\wedge}: x \bar{\wedge} y \\
 \vee: (y \bar{\wedge} y) \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} x) & \top: x \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} x)
 \end{array}$$

- (c) Similarly, find 16 short formulas when constants are allowed.

*Ans:*

(b) In this case we start with four tables  $\perp$ ,  $\top$ ,  $\mathbb{L}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ , and we prefer formulas with fewer occurrences of variables whenever there's a choice between formulas of a given length:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \perp: 0 & \bar{\vee}: 1 \bar{\wedge} ((y \bar{\wedge} 1) \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} 1)) \\
 \wedge: (x \bar{\wedge} y) \bar{\wedge} 1 & \equiv: (x \bar{\wedge} y) \bar{\wedge} ((y \bar{\wedge} 1) \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} 1)) \\
 \bar{\supset}: ((y \bar{\wedge} 1) \bar{\wedge} x) \bar{\wedge} 1 & \bar{\mathbb{R}}: y \bar{\wedge} 1 \\
 \mathbb{L}: x & \subset: y \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} 1) \\
 \bar{\mathbb{C}}: (y \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} 1)) \bar{\wedge} 1 & \bar{\mathbb{L}}: x \bar{\wedge} 1 \\
 \mathbb{R}: y & \supset: (y \bar{\wedge} 1) \bar{\wedge} x \\
 \oplus: (y \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} 1)) \bar{\wedge} ((y \bar{\wedge} 1) \bar{\wedge} x) & \bar{\wedge}: x \bar{\wedge} y \\
 \vee: (y \bar{\wedge} 1) \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} 1) & \top: 1
 \end{array}$$

- (d) For each of the 16 operations in the table try to find a formula equivalent to the function using only the two-input XOR operator ( $\oplus$ ) and without the use of constants 0 or 1. Is it possible? Why?

*Ans: It is not possible since XOR is not functionally complete.*

- (e) Consider the previous exercise using the material implication ( $\Rightarrow$ ) as basis operator instead of  $\oplus$ .

*Ans: Only some solutions are possible:*

$$(a) \perp: x \bar{\mathbb{C}} x; \quad \wedge: (x \bar{\mathbb{C}} y) \bar{\mathbb{C}} y; \quad \bar{\supset}: y \bar{\mathbb{C}} x; \quad \mathbb{L}: x; \quad \bar{\mathbb{C}}: x \bar{\mathbb{C}} y; \quad \mathbb{R}: y;$$

(f) If the use of constants is allowed, how would it affect the answer for (e)?

*Ans:*

$$\perp: 0$$

$$\wedge: (y \bar{1}) \bar{x}$$

$$\bar{\supset}: y \bar{x}$$

$$\perp: x$$

$$\bar{c}: x \bar{y}$$

$$\bar{r}: y$$

$$\oplus: ((y \bar{x}) \bar{((x \bar{y}) \bar{1})}) \bar{1}$$

$$\vee: (y \bar{(x \bar{1})}) \bar{1}$$

$$\bar{\vee}: y \bar{(x \bar{1})}$$

$$\equiv: (y \bar{x}) \bar{((x \bar{y}) \bar{1})}$$

$$\bar{r}: y \bar{1}$$

$$c: (x \bar{y}) \bar{1}$$

$$\bar{l}: x \bar{1}$$

$$\supset: (y \bar{x}) \bar{1}$$

$$\bar{\wedge}: ((y \bar{1}) \bar{x}) \bar{1}$$

$$\top: 1$$

(Solutions in exercises 2.b, 2.c, 2.e, and 2.f are taken from the book *The Art of Computer Programming*, Donald Knuth, thus some of the symbols are different.)