

# Solution Sheet 10

*Cryptography and Security 2025*

## Solution 1 CFB-MAC

1. We can simply query a message  $x$  of one block to the oracle  $\mathcal{O}$ . The oracle returns the value  $y = x \oplus \mathbf{E}_k(\text{IV})$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{E}_k(\text{IV})$  is found by computing  $x \oplus y$ .
2. After querying  $n$  different messages to  $\mathcal{O}$ , we receive  $n$  MAC values for which we would like to get a collision. The probability to have at least one collision is given by the Birthday Paradox. Let  $N = 2^{64}$ . We know that the probability is approximated by  $1 - e^{-\frac{\theta^2}{2}}$ , where  $n = \theta\sqrt{N}$ . In our case,  $\theta = 4$  since  $\frac{\theta^2}{2} = 8$ . Thus,  $n$  must at least be equal to  $4 \cdot 2^{32} = 2^{34}$ .
3. Let  $m = x_1 \| x_2 \| \dots \| x_n$  and  $h = \text{CFB-MAC}_k(m)$ . We take another message  $m' = x_1 \| x_2 \| \dots \| x_{n-1} \| x'_n$  where  $x'_n$  is any block of 64 bits. Since CFB mode is used with a fixed IV the output blocks of  $m'$  will be identical to those of  $m$  except the last one. Since  $h' = \text{CFB-MAC}_k(m') = y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1} \oplus y'_n$  and  $h = y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_n$ , we have  $h \oplus h' = y_n \oplus y'_n$ . We also know that  $y_n = \mathbf{E}_k(y_{n-1}) \oplus x_n$  and  $y'_n = \mathbf{E}_k(y_{n-1}) \oplus x'_n$ . Using these two relations, we finally deduce that  $h' = h \oplus y_n \oplus y'_n = h \oplus x_n \oplus x'_n$ .
4. Set  $m = x_1 \| x_2$  and  $x_1 = \mathbf{E}_k(\text{IV}) \oplus \text{IV}$ . We then have  $y_1 = \text{IV}$  and  $y_2 = h \oplus \text{IV}$ . Thus,  $x_2 = \mathbf{E}_k(y_1) \oplus y_2 = \mathbf{E}_k(\text{IV}) \oplus \text{IV} \oplus h$ .
5. Yes, this works in the same way! Set  $m = x_1 \| x_2 \| \dots \| x_n$  where  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_{n-1} = \mathbf{E}_k(\text{IV}) \oplus \text{IV}$ . Hence,  $y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_{n-1} = \text{IV}$ . If  $n$  is even, setting  $x_n = h \oplus \text{IV} \oplus \mathbf{E}_k(\text{IV})$  gives  $y_n = h \oplus \text{IV}$  and thus  $y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_n = h$ . If  $n$  is odd, setting  $x_n = h \oplus \mathbf{E}_k(\text{IV})$  gives  $y_n = h$  and thus  $y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_n = h$ .

## Solution 2 Analysis of the Floyd Cycle Finding Algorithm

1. We simply store in the last loop the previous position.

**Input:** an initial string  $x_0$ , a function  $F : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ .

**Output:** Two elements  $a', b'$  such that  $F(a') = F(b')$ .

- 1:  $a \leftarrow x_0$  //(tortoise)
- 2:  $b \leftarrow x_0$  //(hare)
- 3: **repeat**
- 4:    $a \leftarrow F(a)$
- 5:    $b \leftarrow F(F(b))$
- 6: **until**  $a = b$
- 7:  $a \leftarrow x_0$
- 8: **while**  $a \neq b$  **do**
- 9:    $a' = a$
- 10:    $b' = b$
- 11:    $a \leftarrow F(a)$
- 12:    $b \leftarrow F(b)$
- 13: **end while**
- 14: **return**  $a'$  and  $b'$

The algorithm is failing when  $\lambda = 0$ . In this case, there is no collision. This happens with very small probability.

2. Let  $j$  be the iteration at which  $a = b$ . Note first that this can happen only in the loop and not in the tail since the hare is going twice faster. Hence  $j \geq \lambda$ . We have

$$2j - \lambda \equiv j - \lambda \pmod{\tau},$$

since we have to remove the tail part. This implies that  $j \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau}$  and, hence, that  $\tau|j$ .

To prove the other direction, suppose we are at a step  $i$  such that  $i \geq \lambda$  and  $\tau|i$ . From the first condition, we know that we are in the loop. From the second condition, we know that we can write  $i = \tau k$  for some integer  $k$ . We also know that we did  $2i - \lambda = 2\tau k - \lambda$  steps in the loop with the hare and  $i - \lambda = \tau k - \lambda$  steps in the loop with the tortoise. If we look at their position in the loop, i.e., we take the number of steps modulo  $\tau$ , we have  $\tau k - \lambda \equiv 2\tau k - \lambda \pmod{\tau}$ . Hence, both the tortoise and the hare are on the same point of the loop. This point is at the position  $-\lambda \pmod{\tau}$  of the loop, i.e.,  $\lambda$  steps before the end of the loop.

3. From the previous point, we know that the tortoise and the hare meet *always* when  $\tau|i$  and  $i \geq \lambda$ . To see after how many iterations the loop stops, we are looking for the *smallest* such  $i$ . Hence, the number of iterations is the smallest multiple of  $\tau$  greater than  $\lambda$ . This is obviously (when  $\lambda \neq 0$ )  $\lceil \lambda/\tau \rceil \tau$  since there are  $\lceil \lambda/\tau \rceil - 1$  multiples of  $\tau$  that are smaller than  $\lambda$ .
4. Since we know from question 2 that  $a = b$  at point  $-\lambda \pmod{\tau}$  of the loop, we know that after  $\lambda$  steps, the tortoise ( $a$ ) will have walked on the tail and reach the meeting point between the tail and the loop. On the other hand, the hare ( $b$ ) (which is now going slowly) will have done  $\lambda$  steps as well and reach the same point.
5. For the first loop, we have  $\tau \geq \lambda$  with probability  $1/2$ . In this case, we have to stop after  $\tau$  iterations and we need  $3\sqrt{\pi 2^n/8}$  evaluations of  $F$  in average. If  $\tau < \lambda$ , we stop after  $2\tau$  iterations and we need  $6\sqrt{\pi 2^n/8}$  evaluations of  $F$  in average. Hence, we have an average complexity for the first loop of  $4.5\sqrt{\pi 2^n/8}$

In the second loop, we do  $2\sqrt{\pi 2^n/8}$  evaluations of  $F$ . Hence, for the whole algorithm, we do  $6.5\sqrt{\pi 2^n/8}$  evaluations of  $F$  in average.

We need to store four  $n$  bit words ( $a, a', b, b'$ ) as well as  $x_0$ . Hence, in total, we need only  $5n$  bits of memory.