

Towards Gauge Theories

▲ The remarkable properties of $m=0, J=\pm 1$

We here want to study the "wave functions" for massless spin 1 states. The little group $ISO(2)$ plays a crucial role.

Remember the generators of $ISO(2)$

$$J^3, W^1 = \pi^1 + J^2, W^2 = \pi^2 - J^1$$

(Cavalier on overall signs..)

$$J^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad W^1 = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad W^2 = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

one element of little group can be written as

$$W(\underline{a}, \varphi) \equiv e^{i \underline{a} \cdot \underline{W}} e^{-i \varphi J^3} \quad \underline{a} = (a_1, a_2)$$

In particular

$$W(d) \equiv W(\alpha, 0) \equiv e^{i \underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{W}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i \alpha_1 W_1} & \\ & e^{i \alpha_2 W_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \gamma & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & -\gamma \\ \alpha_1 & 1 & 0 & -\alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 & 0 & 1 & -\alpha_2 \\ \gamma & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & 1 - \gamma \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma = \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{2}$$

One can check that $W^\mu, \bar{P}^\nu = \bar{P}^\mu$
with $\bar{P}^\mu = (\pi, 0, 0, \pi)$

We shall need these expressions shortly.

Let us now consider the structure of
the interpolating matrix elements $\langle 0 | O_1 | p, 0 \rangle$

We shall consider two cases

i. $O_A \Rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} = (1, 0) \oplus (0, 1)$

ii. $O_A \Leftarrow A_\mu = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$

i]
$$F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ & 0 & -B_3 & B_2 \\ & & 0 & -B_1 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\langle 0 | F_{\mu\nu}(x) | \bar{p}, \sigma \rangle = \langle 0 | F_{\mu\nu}(0) | \bar{p}, \sigma \rangle e^{-ipx} \equiv f_{\mu\nu}(\bar{p}, \sigma) e^{-ipx}$$

• what constraints does symmetry place on $f_{\mu\nu}(\bar{p}, \sigma)$? We saw in the massive case that these constraints corresponded to "relativistic wave equations".

• Use
$$\begin{cases} J_3 | \bar{p}, \pm 1 \rangle = \pm 1 | \bar{p}, \pm 1 \rangle \\ W_{1,2} | \bar{p}, \pm 1 \rangle = 0 \end{cases}$$

• $[J_3, E_3] = 0 \implies$

$$0 = \langle 0 | [J_3, E_3] | \bar{p}, \sigma \rangle = -\sigma \langle 0 | E_3 | \bar{p}, \sigma \rangle$$

Similarly for $B_3 = 0 \quad f_{03} = f_{12} = 0$

↓ operator

$$\bullet [\hat{W}_2, F^{\mu\nu}] = (W_2 F)^{\mu\nu} + (F W_2^T)^{\mu\nu}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -B_1 - E_2 \\ 0 & E_1 - B_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Now } \hat{W}_{1,2} |\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \sigma\rangle = 0 \quad \hat{W}_{1,2} |0\rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \langle 0 | [\hat{W}_2, F^{\mu\nu}] | \bar{\mathbf{p}}, \sigma \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow B_1 + E_2 = B_2 - E_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E_i = \epsilon_{ij} B_j \quad i=1,2$$

• How can then the constraints

$$E_3 = B_3 = 0 \quad E_i = \epsilon_{ij} B_j$$

be written?

$$* \begin{cases} \bar{F}^\mu f_{\mu\nu} = 0 \\ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{F}_\nu f_{\rho\sigma} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Maxwell eqs $\Leftrightarrow$ group theoretic constraints
on $\langle 0 | \bar{F}_{\mu\nu} / \bar{P}, \sigma \rangle$

(*) also allow us to write

$$f_{\mu\nu}(\bar{P}, \sigma) = \sqrt{2} \left(\bar{P}_\mu e_\nu(\bar{P}, \sigma) - \bar{P}_\nu e_\mu(\bar{P}, \sigma) \right)$$

with

$$e_\nu(\bar{P}, \pm 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \pm i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$ii) \langle 0 | A_\mu(x) | \bar{p}, \sigma \rangle = \langle 0 | A_\mu(0) | \bar{p}, \sigma \rangle e^{-i\bar{p}x} \\ = Q_\mu(\bar{p}, \sigma) e^{-i\bar{p} \cdot x}$$

$$\cdot [J_3, A_{0,3}] = 0 \Rightarrow Q_{0,3} = 0$$

$$\cdot [\hat{W}_i, A^\mu] = K^\mu A_i - \delta^\mu_i K^\nu A_\nu \quad (*)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \begin{aligned} [W_2, A_0] &= A_2 \\ [W_1, A_0] &= A_1 \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle 0 | [W_i, A_0] | \bar{p}, \sigma \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow Q_{2,1} = 0 \end{aligned} //$$

$$\cdot \text{Poincaré + unitarity of irrep} \Rightarrow Q_\mu(\bar{p}, \sigma) = 0$$

• Alternatively, in order to have $Q_\mu \neq 0$ we either need

• A_μ is not a covariant tensor
(in particular (*) not true)

manifest

- give up unitarity $\equiv$ represent Poincaré on Hilbert space with non-positive metric

Consider the first option

if $\kappa^\mu A_i$ term were absent,

then $Q_{0,3} = 0$ $Q_{1,2} \neq 0$ would be consistent. The elimination of

this term correspond to couple=

menting the standard transforma=

tion $U^\dagger(x) A^\mu U(x) = X^\mu, A^\nu$

with a term $\equiv \partial^\mu \Sigma$

$$U^\dagger(x) A^\mu(x) U(x) = X^\mu, A^\nu(\bar{x}^1_x) + \partial^\mu \Sigma$$

i.e. if A^μ transformed like a vector up to a gauge shift.
 In such a situation Lorentz symmetry can only be recovered if the change of gauge has no physical consequence

Ex if $\mathcal{L} \supset A^\mu \partial_\mu$
 with $\partial^\mu \partial_\mu = 0$

▲ In more detail

$$(Q_{0,3} = 0 \Rightarrow Q_0, \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{Q} = 0 \equiv \text{Coulomb gauge})$$

$$|p, \sigma\rangle = H(p) |\vec{p}, \sigma\rangle \quad H(p) = R(q, 0, -q) e^{i \frac{\eta_p}{\vec{p}} \cdot \vec{K}_3}$$

$$U(\Lambda) |p, \sigma\rangle = H(\Lambda p) U(p, \Lambda) |\vec{p}, \sigma\rangle$$

$$= H(\Lambda_P) |\bar{P}, \sigma\rangle e^{-i \varphi(P, \Lambda) \sigma}$$

$$= |\Lambda_P, \sigma\rangle e^{-i \varphi(P, \Lambda) \sigma}$$

• define $e_\mu(P, \sigma) = H_\mu^\nu(P) e_\nu(\bar{P}, \sigma)$

$$e(\bar{P}, \pm) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \pm i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

We have
$$\begin{cases} e_0(P, \sigma) = 0 \\ \vec{P} \cdot \vec{e}(P, \sigma) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall P, \sigma$$

• Assume $\langle 0 | A_\mu(0) | P, \sigma \rangle = \sqrt{2} e_\mu(P, \sigma)$

• Question: under the above assumption
how does A_μ transform under
Lorentz? $U^\dagger(\Lambda) A_\mu(0) U(\Lambda) = ?$

$$\cdot \langle 0 | U(\lambda)^\dagger A_\mu(0) U(\lambda) | p, \sigma \rangle =$$

$$= \langle 0 | A_\mu(0) U(\lambda) | p, \sigma \rangle = (*)$$

$$\downarrow U(\lambda) H(p) | \bar{p}, \sigma \rangle = H(\Lambda p) W(p, \lambda) | \bar{p}, \sigma \rangle$$

$$= H(\Lambda p) | \bar{p}, \sigma \rangle e^{-i\sigma \varphi(p, \lambda)}$$

$$= | \Lambda p, \sigma \rangle e^{-i\sigma \varphi(p, \lambda)}$$

$$(*) = e_\mu(\Lambda p, \sigma) e^{-i\sigma \varphi(p, \lambda)}$$

$$\text{Now } e_\mu(\Lambda p, \sigma) = H(\Lambda p)_\mu{}^\nu e_\nu(\bar{p}, \sigma)$$

$$= (\Lambda H(p) W^{-1}(p, \lambda))_\mu{}^\nu e_\nu(\bar{p}, \sigma)$$

$$W(p, \lambda) = e^{-i\varphi J_3} e^{i\alpha_i U_i} \quad \varphi, \alpha_i \text{ of } (\lambda, p)$$

$$\downarrow = (\Lambda H(p) e^{-i\alpha_i U_i})_\mu{}^\nu e_\nu(\bar{p}, \sigma) e^{i\sigma \varphi(p, \lambda)}$$

So

$$\langle 0 | V^\dagger(x) A_\mu(0) V(x) | p, \sigma \rangle = \left[\left(\wedge H(p) \right) \left(e^{-i \underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{W}} e(\bar{p}, \sigma) \right) \right]$$

Using previous result for $e^{i \underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{W}}$

$$\left(e^{-i \underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{W}} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \\ -\alpha_1 e_1 - \alpha_2 e_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= e_\mu(\bar{p}, \sigma) + \frac{\bar{p}_\mu}{k} (\alpha_i e_i)$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | V^\dagger(x) A_\mu(0) V(x) | p, \sigma \rangle &= \wedge_\mu^\nu e_\nu(p, \sigma) + \wedge_\mu^\nu \frac{p_\nu}{k} (\alpha_i e_i(\bar{p}, \sigma)) \\ &= \wedge_\mu^\nu \left(e_\nu(p, \sigma) + p_\nu \tilde{\Sigma} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Can check as exercise this corresponds to

$$U^\dagger(\lambda) A_\mu(x) U(\lambda) = \lambda^\nu A_\nu(\bar{x}) + \partial_\mu \Omega(\bar{x})$$

— 0 —

$$\langle 0 | U(\lambda)^\dagger A_\mu(x) U(\lambda) | p, \sigma \rangle =$$

$$= \langle 0 | U(\lambda)^\dagger e^{iP \cdot x} A_\mu(0) e^{-iP \cdot x} U(\lambda) | p, \sigma \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | U(\lambda)^\dagger A_\mu(0) U(\lambda) e^{-i(\lambda P) \cdot x} | p, \sigma \rangle$$

$$= \lambda^\nu \left(e_\nu(p, \sigma) + P_\nu \tilde{\Omega} \right) e^{-i(\lambda p) \cdot x}$$

$$= \lambda^\nu \left(e_\nu(p, \sigma) e^{-ip(\bar{x})} \right) +$$

$$+ i \partial_\mu \left(\tilde{\Omega} e^{-ip(\bar{x})} \right)$$

— 0 —