(A.) The de Haas-van Alphen effect:

1. For a grand canonical ensemble with chemical potential μ , the partition function is defined as

$$Z = \sum_{i} e^{-\beta(\mathcal{E}_i - \mu N_i)},\tag{1}$$

where $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$. Here, each microstate is labelled by i, and has total energy \mathcal{E}_i and total particle number N_i . Let us a now consider a system of free fermions,

$$H = \sum_{\alpha} E_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha}, \tag{2}$$

Here α labels the different possible states in which each fermion can be with energy E_{α} . The microstates are described by the occupation number $n_{\alpha} \in \{0,1\}$ of the different possible states α . We then have $\mathcal{E}_i = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}$ and $N_i = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}$. The partition function thus reads

$$Z = \sum_{n_{\alpha_{1}}=0}^{1} \sum_{n_{\alpha_{2}}=0}^{1} \sum_{n_{\alpha_{3}}=0}^{1} \dots \left(\prod_{\alpha} e^{-\beta n_{\alpha}(E_{\alpha}-\mu)} \right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{n_{\alpha_{1}}=0}^{1} e^{-\beta n_{\alpha_{1}}(E_{\alpha_{1}}-\mu)} \right) \left(\sum_{n_{\alpha_{2}}=0}^{1} e^{-\beta n_{\alpha_{2}}(E_{\alpha_{2}}-\mu)} \right) \left(\sum_{n_{\alpha_{3}}=0}^{1} e^{-\beta n_{\alpha_{3}}(E_{\alpha_{3}}-\mu)} \right) \dots$$

$$= \prod_{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{1} e^{-\beta n(E_{\alpha}-\mu)}$$

$$= \prod_{\alpha} \left(1 + e^{-\beta(E_{\alpha}-\mu)} \right). \tag{3}$$

In our system, we have

$$E_{n,k_z} = \hbar \omega_c n + \frac{\hbar^2 k_z^2}{2m} \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
 (4)

where each value with $n \neq 0$ occurs twice (due to the spin degeneracy including the Zeeman effect) and the value with n = 0 occurs only once. In addition, for a given k_z the degeneracy of each Landau level is (see course notes)

$$D = \frac{L_x L_y}{2\pi\hbar c} eB = \frac{L_x L_y \omega_c}{2\pi\hbar}.$$
 (5)

The partition function is thus

$$Z = \prod_{k_z} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + e^{-\beta(E_{n,k_z} - \mu)} \right)^{d(n)}, \tag{6}$$

where d(n) is the number of states with energy E_{n,k_z} :

$$d(0) = D \qquad d(n) = 2D \quad \text{for } n \ge 1. \tag{7}$$

The free energy (or more precisely the grand potential, as we work in the grand canonical ensemble) reads

$$F = -\frac{1}{\beta} \log Z = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k_z} \sum_{n} d(n) \log \left(1 + e^{-\beta (E_{n,k_z} - \mu)} \right)$$
 (8)

In the limit where k_z takes continuous values, the expression in Eq. (6) is not so well behaved (it can still be dealt with). However, for the free energy we obtain

$$F = -\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{L_z}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \sum_n d(n) \log \left(1 + e^{-\beta (E_{n,k_z} - \mu)} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{V\omega_c}{2\pi^2 \hbar \beta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + e^{-\beta \left(\frac{\hbar^2 k_z^2}{2m} - \mu \right)} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \left(1 + e^{-\beta \left(\hbar \omega_c n + \frac{\hbar^2 k_z^2}{2m} - \mu \right)} \right) \right]$$

$$= \hbar \omega_c \left[\frac{1}{2} f(\mu) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(\mu - \hbar \omega_c n) \right], \tag{9}$$

where

$$f(\epsilon) = -\frac{mV}{2\pi^2 \hbar^2 \beta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \log \left[1 + e^{\beta \left(\epsilon - \frac{\hbar^2 k_z^2}{2m} \right)} \right]. \tag{10}$$

Note that we use this notation so that the function f is independent of the magnetic field B.

2. By multiplying by g(x) and by integrating x from 0 to ∞ on both sides of

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-m) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i n x},$$
(11)

we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)\delta(x-m)dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)\delta(x)dx + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)\delta(x-m)dx = \frac{1}{2}g(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n),$$
(12)

and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)e^{2\pi i nx} dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)dx + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x) \left(e^{2\pi i nx} + e^{-2\pi i nx}\right) dx,$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)dx + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)e^{2\pi i nx} dx,$$
(13)

where we have used the fact that g(x) is real. By equaling Eq. (12) to Eq. (13) we obtain the desired relation.

3. We can use the Poisson's formula for F with $g(x) = f(\mu - \hbar \omega_c x)$ and obtain

$$F = \hbar\omega_c \left[\int_0^\infty f(\mu - \hbar\omega_c x) dx + \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2\operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty f(\mu - \hbar\omega_c x) e^{2\pi i n x} dx \right] = F_0 + F_1.$$
 (14)

We identify

$$F_0 = \hbar \omega_c \int_0^\infty dx f(\mu - \hbar \omega_c x), \quad \text{and} \quad F_1 = \frac{mV}{\beta \pi^2 \hbar^2} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^\infty I_n,$$
 (15)

where

$$I_n = -\hbar\omega_c \int_0^\infty dx \int_{-\infty}^\infty dk_z \log \left[1 + e^{\beta \left(\mu - \hbar\omega_c x - \frac{\hbar^2 k_z^2}{2m}\right)} \right] e^{2\pi i n x}.$$
 (16)

Using the change of variable $y = \hbar \omega_c x$ we have

$$F_0 = \hbar \omega_c \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{\hbar \omega_c} f(\mu - y) = \int_0^\infty dy f(\mu - y), \tag{17}$$

which is independent of B as B is not involved in $f(\mu - y)$.

4. Let us use the change of variable $x \to \xi = \beta \left(\hbar \omega_c x + \frac{\hbar^2 k_z^2}{2m} - \mu\right)$:

$$I_n = -\frac{1}{\beta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \int_{\beta \left(\frac{\hbar^2 k_z^2}{2m} - \mu\right)}^{\infty} d\xi \log\left(1 + e^{-\xi}\right) \exp\left(2\pi i n \left(\frac{\xi}{\beta \hbar \omega_c} - \frac{\hbar^2 k_z^2}{2m\hbar \omega_c} + \frac{\mu}{\hbar \omega_c}\right)\right).$$
 (18)

Because we are in the limit $\hbar\omega_c\ll\mu$ and $1\ll\beta\mu$, we first set the lower boundary of the ξ integral to $-\beta\mu$. Indeed, only small values of k_z contribute significantly to the k_z integral because of the oscillating factor $\exp\left(2\pi in\frac{\hbar^2k_z^2}{2m\hbar\omega_c}\right)$. Thus we have

$$I_{n} = -\frac{1}{\beta} e^{\frac{2\pi i n \mu}{\hbar \omega_{c}}} \int_{-\beta \mu}^{\infty} d\xi e^{\frac{2\pi i n}{\beta \hbar \omega_{c}} \xi} \log \left(1 + e^{-\xi}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_{z} e^{-\frac{\pi i n \hbar^{2}}{m \hbar \omega_{c}}} k_{z}^{2}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\beta \hbar} \sqrt{\frac{m \hbar \omega_{c}}{n}} e^{\frac{2\pi i n \mu}{\hbar \omega_{c}} - i \frac{\pi}{4}} \int_{-\beta \mu}^{\infty} d\xi e^{\frac{2\pi i n}{\beta \hbar \omega_{c}} \xi} \log \left(1 + e^{-\xi}\right), \tag{19}$$

where we used the identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\alpha k_z^2} dk_z = e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha}}.$$
 (20)

We now integrate by parts twice for the ξ integral :

$$I_n = \frac{1}{\beta \hbar} \sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega_c}{n}} e^{\frac{2\pi i n \mu}{\hbar\omega_c} - i\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(\frac{\beta \hbar\omega_c}{2\pi n}\right)^2 \int_{-\beta \mu}^{\infty} d\xi e^{\frac{2\pi i n}{\beta \hbar\omega_c} \xi} \frac{e^{\xi}}{(1 + e^{\xi})^2} + \text{boundary terms},$$
 (21)

where we have used

$$\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2}\log\left(1 + e^{-\xi}\right) = \frac{e^{\xi}}{(1 + e^{\xi})^2},\tag{22}$$

which is a function that is finite around $\xi = 0$ and vanishes exponentially elsewhere. Because we are only interested in the 1/B oscillating behaviour of F, we can neglect the boundary terms in Eq. (21). Indeed, at the $\xi = \infty$ boundary the terms vanish, and at the $\xi = -\beta\mu$ boundary the oscillating factors exactly cancel. Finally, we set the lower boundary of the ξ integral to $-\infty$. This is a good approximation because $\beta\mu \gg 1$ and the dominating contribution to the ξ integral comes from around $\xi = 0$. We calculate

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi e^{\frac{2\pi i n}{\beta \hbar \omega_c} \xi} \frac{e^{\xi}}{(1 + e^{\xi})^2} = \frac{2\pi^2 n}{\beta \hbar \omega_c} \frac{1}{\sinh\left(\frac{2\pi^2 i n}{\hbar \omega_c \beta}\right)}.$$
 (23)

Plugging the expression for I_n into Eq. (15), we get

$$F_1 = \frac{(m\hbar\omega_c)^{3/2}V}{2\pi^2\hbar^3\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{2\pi n\mu}{\hbar\omega_c} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)}{n^{3/2}\sinh\left(\frac{2\pi^2 n}{\hbar\omega_c\beta}\right)} + \text{non-oscillating terms.}$$
 (24)

5. Using

$$\frac{d}{dB}\cos\left(\frac{2\pi n\mu}{\hbar\omega_c} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \frac{2\pi n\mu}{\hbar\omega_c} \frac{1}{B}\sin\left(\frac{2\pi n\mu}{\hbar\omega_c} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right),\tag{25}$$

and assuming that all the other terms are independent of B, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{B}\frac{\partial}{\partial B}\left(\frac{F}{V}\right) = -\frac{m^{3/2}\mu\sqrt{\hbar\omega_c}}{B^2\pi\hbar^3\beta}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\frac{2\pi n\mu}{\hbar\omega_c} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)}{\sqrt{n}\sinh\left(\frac{2\pi^2n}{\hbar\omega_c\beta}\right)}.$$
 (26)

6. The oscillating parts of the susceptibility, when plotted against 1/B, have frequencies of $f = \frac{n\mu mc}{\hbar e}$ with n = 1, 2, ..., and thus the overall period is

$$P = \frac{\hbar e}{\mu mc},\tag{27}$$

corresponding to the n=1 term. Note that in the strong field limit $k_B T \lesssim \hbar \omega_c$, the higher harmonics (terms with n>1) are negligible because of the $\sinh(2\pi^2 n/(\hbar \omega_c \beta))$ factor. Indeed, for $\hbar \omega_c \beta = 1$ we have $\sinh(4\pi^2)/\sinh(2\pi^2) \sim 10^8$.

The following explains the significance of the de Haas-van Alphen effect:

Let us consider a plane perpendicular to k_z in k-space. It intersects the Fermi surface with a certain cross-section, which depends on the position of the plane. Let us denote A_e the extremum of this cross-section. For free electrons, we have a spherical Fermi surface with radius $k_F = \sqrt{2m\mu}/\hbar$, and a maximum of the cross-section for the plane at $k_z = 0$ so that $A_e = \pi k_F^2$. Rewriting the period in terms of A_e we obtain

$$P = \frac{2\pi e}{\hbar c} \frac{1}{A}.$$
 (28)

For a more general Fermi surface, there is a singularity in the density of state at the Fermi energy every time a Landau level crosses the Fermi energy. Remarkably, Eq. (28) still holds in the general case. For a more complicated Fermi surface, there can be several different extremal cross-sections corresponding to different periods. The cross-sections may also change for different orientations of B.

Thus, extremal Fermi surface areas can be detected through the de Haas-van Alphen effect.

7. In the $\hbar\omega_c \ll k_B T$ limit, $1/(\beta\hbar\omega_c) \gg 1$, and thus

$$\sinh\left(\frac{2\pi^2 n}{\hbar\omega_c\beta}\right) \approx \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{2\pi^2 n}{\hbar\omega_c\beta}},\tag{29}$$

so that the amplitude of the oscillation decays as $e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{\hbar\omega_c\beta}}$.