

Problem 1 $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ is a random sample from the Lomax distribution with unknown θ and known $\alpha > 0$,

$$P(Y \leq y) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\theta^\alpha}{(\theta + y)^\alpha}, & y > 0, \\ 0, & y \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha, \theta > 0$. Find the expected information for θ and hence compare the maximum likelihood estimator with the moments estimator found on Sheet 2.

Problem 2 Suppose that a random sample $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ from the exponential density is rounded down to the nearest δ , giving δZ_j , where $Z_j = \lfloor Y_j/\delta \rfloor$. Then the loss of information due to rounding is the ratio of the Fisher information based on the rounded data to that based on the original data.

- (a) Show that the likelihood contribution from a rounded observation can be written as $(1 - e^{-\lambda\delta})e^{-Z\lambda\delta}$, and deduce that the Fisher information for λ based on the rounded sample is

$$i(\delta) = n\delta^2 \exp(-\lambda\delta)\{1 - \exp(-\lambda\delta)\}^{-2}, \quad \delta, \lambda > 0.$$

- (b) Show that $i(\delta)$ has limit n/λ^2 as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, and deduce that if $\lambda = 1$ the loss of information when data are rounded down to the nearest integer rather than recorded exactly is less than 10%.
- (c) Find the loss of information when $\lambda\delta = 0.1$, and comment briefly.
- (d) By considering the resulting average log likelihood as $n \rightarrow \infty$, show that replacing Y_j by δZ_j in the usual likelihood leads to slight overestimation of λ in large samples.

Problem 3 A sample of $n = 16$ Vaudois number plates has maximum 523308 and average 320869. Suppose that these were sampled uniformly and independently on the interval $(0, \theta)$, where θ is the highest number plate in the canton.

- (a) A random sample $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U(0, \theta)$ is available. Find the mean and variance of $\bar{Y}$ and hence suggest an unbiased estimator of θ . Show that $Q = \bar{Y}/\theta$ is a pivot and find its approximate distribution. How could you find its exact distribution?
- (b) Use the calculation in (a) to obtain an approximate 95% confidence interval for θ .
- (c) Compare your interval from (b) with that computed using the maximum as suggested in the lectures. Which do you prefer, and why?

Problem 4 Consider a random sample of bivariate normal pairs (Y_j, X_j) ($j = 1, \dots, n$) with unknown mean vector (ψ, λ) , and known values of $\text{var}(Y_j) = \sigma_1^2$, $\text{var}(X_j) = \sigma_2^2$ and $\text{corr}(X_j, Y_j) = \rho$. Suppose that independent observations $X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{n+m} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\lambda, \sigma_2^2)$ are also available. Such data might arise when measurements Y that are expensive or difficult to obtain are correlated with others, X , that are much cheaper or easier to obtain, so that it is only affordable to make n joint measurements but $m \gg n$ auxiliary measurements on X alone can also be provided. Under what circumstances do the auxiliary measurements aid in estimating ψ , and by how much?

- (a) Write down the log likelihood function for ψ and λ based on the data, and show that $\hat{\psi} = \bar{Y}_n + \rho\sigma_1(\bar{X}_{n+m} - \bar{X}_n)/\sigma_2$, where $n\bar{Y}_n = \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j$, $n\bar{X}_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$ and $(n+m)\bar{X}_{n+m} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} X_j$.
- (b) Check that both $\bar{Y}_n$ and $\hat{\psi}$ are unbiased estimators of ψ , find $\text{var}(\bar{Y}_n)$, show that $\hat{\psi}$ has asymptotic variance $\sigma_1^2\{1 - m\rho^2/(n+m)\}/n$, and hence give the gain in relative efficiency due to the auxiliary data. Discuss how and why this changes when (i) $\rho = 0$, (ii) $m \rightarrow \infty$, and (iii) $\rho \rightarrow \pm 1$.