

THE STRUCTURE OF DIFFEOMORPHISMS AROUND HYPERBOLIC FIXED POINTS: HARTMAN-GROBMAN THEOREM

1. INTRODUCTION; HYPERBOLIC ISOMORPHISMS

We follow Zehnder in this chapter. Recall the doubling map $T_2 : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, which is given by the simple expression $T_2(x) = 2x$ when working on the universal cover $\mathbb{R}$ of S^1 . We have seen that suitably small perturbations of this map *can be conjugated back into the original map* by means of a homeomorphism, a fact we called *structural stability*. Thus if $\phi(x) = 2x + \hat{\phi}(x)$ is sufficiently close to the doubling map in the sense that $\hat{\phi}(x+1) = \hat{\phi}(x)$ and $\hat{\phi}$ satisfies a suitable smallness property (in terms of its Lipschitz constant), then there exists a homeomorphism $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $u(x+1) = u(x) + 1$, with the property that

$$u^{-1} \circ \phi \circ u = T_2.$$

It is natural to pose the question whether aspects of this phenomenon can be generalized to higher dimensions and more general maps

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

which we assume to be diffeomorphisms.

It turns out that this question can be profitably investigated in a *perturbative setting around a fixed point*, which we may assume to be $x = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The idea then is to investigate the behavior of ϕ in a small neighborhood of the fixed point 0, where we may expect ϕ to be well approximated by its *linearization* $x \rightarrow Ax$, $A = D\phi(0) \in \text{Mat}(n \times n; \mathbb{R})$. We make the

Definition 1.1. *Let $A \in \text{Mat}(n \times n; \mathbb{R})$ an invertible matrix with real entries. Then we call A hyperbolic, provided all the (complex) eigenvalues λ of A satisfy*

$$|\lambda| \neq 1.$$

In other words, we can partition the eigenvalues into two sets where either $|\lambda| \in (0, 1)$ or $|\lambda| \in (1, \infty)$.

What matters to us is a decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^n$ as a sum set of two components E_+, E_- on which A either grows or shrinks vectors asymptotically upon iteration:

Lemma 1.2. *Let A be a hyperbolic matrix. Then there exists a direct sum decomposition (here either one of the summands can be the trivial vector space)*

$$\mathbb{R}^n = E_+ \oplus E_-$$

and such that if we identify A with its multiplication action on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and label $A|_{E_\pm} = A_\pm$, there exists a positive constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ as well as $\theta_\pm \in (0, 1)$ such that (here $|\cdot|$ is a fixed norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$)

$$|A_+^n(x)| \leq c \cdot \theta_+^n \cdot |x|, x \in E_+, |A_-^n(x)| \leq c \cdot \theta_-^n \cdot |x|, x \in E_-, n \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the eigenvalues with $|\lambda_j| \in (0, 1)$, and $\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$ those with $|\lambda_j| \in (1, \infty)$. If $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, then its complex conjugate is also amongst the eigenvalues (since A has real entries), and of course of the same class. In this case, let

$$W_j \subset \mathbb{C}^n$$

be its generalized eigenspace, whence

$$\overline{W_j}$$

is the generalized eigenspace of $\overline{\lambda_j}$. If

$$\{e_{1j}, e_{2j}, \dots, e_{i_{jj}}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$$

is a basis for W_j as $\mathbb{C}$ -vectorspace, we consider the *real vectors*

$$f_{lj} = \frac{1}{2}(e_{lj} + \overline{e_{lj}}), g_{lj} = \frac{1}{2i}(e_{lj} - \overline{e_{lj}}), l = 1, 2, \dots, i_j.$$

If $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$, then we can pick a real basis $\{h_{1j}, \dots, h_{i_j j}\}$ for W_j . If we declare $h_{lj} = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for non-real valued λ_j , and $f_{lj} = g_{lj} = 0$ for real valued λ_j , then we let

$$E_+ := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k'} \text{span}\{f_{lj}, g_{lj}, h_{lj}\}_{l=1}^{i_j}, E_- := \bigoplus_{j=k+1}^{k''} \text{span}\{f_{lj}, g_{lj}, h_{lj}\}_{l=1}^{i_j};$$

Here the for the first space we include only those basis vectors corresponding to $|\lambda| < 1$ (in particular $k' \leq k$), while for the second we use those with $|\lambda| > 1$. We leave it as an exercise to verify that there is indeed a direct sum decomposition of $E_+ \oplus E_- = \mathbb{R}^n$, and that $E_{\pm}$ have the desired properties. For this recall the Jordan normal form. $\square$

The fact that we have the constant c in the preceding lemma is a bit of a nuisance, since we would like the maps A_+, A_-^{-1} to act like contractions on the spaces E_+, E_- , respectively. For this the following lemma is useful:

Lemma 1.3. *Let $A \in \text{Mat}(n \times n; \mathbb{R})$ be a hyperbolic isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\theta_{\pm}$ be as in the preceding lemma. Then there exist constants*

$$\alpha \in (\theta_+, 1), \beta \in (\theta_-, 1)$$

and a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the property that

$$\|A_+ x\| \leq \alpha \cdot \|x\|, \|A_-^{-1} x\| \leq \beta \cdot \|x\|.$$

Proof. This relies on an averaging trick: Choose $\alpha \in (\theta_+, 1), \beta \in (\theta_-, 1)$. Pick a large enough constant $N \geq 1$ such that letting c be the constant in the preceding lemma, we have

$$c \cdot \left(\frac{\theta_+}{\alpha}\right)^N \leq 1, c \cdot \left(\frac{\theta_-}{\beta}\right)^N \leq 1.$$

Then introduce the norm (with $|\cdot|$ the norm used in the previous lemma)

$$\|x\| := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \alpha^{-j} \cdot |A_+^j x|, x \in E_+,$$

and similarly

$$\|x\| := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \beta^{-j} \cdot |A_-^{-j} x|, x \in E_-.$$

We can then set

$$\|x\| := \max\{\|x_1\|, \|x_2\|\},$$

provided $x = x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in E_+, x_2 \in E_-$, which defines $\|\cdot\|$ in general.

We claim that this is the desired norm. In fact, we have for $x \in E_+$

$$\|A_+ x\| = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \alpha^{-j} \cdot |A_+^{j+1} x| = \alpha \cdot \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{-j} \cdot |A_+^j x| = \alpha \cdot [\|x\| + \alpha^{-N} |A_+^N x| - |x|].$$

Here we have

$$\alpha^{-N} |A_+^N x| \leq \alpha^{-N} \cdot c \theta_+^N \cdot |x| \leq |x|$$

by choice of N . It follows that

$$\|A_+ x\| \leq \alpha \cdot \|x\|.$$

The argument for $x \in E_-$ is similar. $\square$

2. THE STABLE AND UNSTABLE MANIFOLD OF A HYPERBOLIC FIXED POINT

Definition 2.1. Let $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a diffeomorphism with fixed point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we say that x_0 is a hyperbolic fixed point, provided

$$D\phi(x_0) \in \text{Mat}(n \times n; \mathbb{R})$$

is hyperbolic, whence gives rise to a hyperbolic isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

It is straightforward to understand the *dynamics* of the discrete dynamical system $(\mathbb{R}^n, A)$ where $A \in \text{Mat}(n \times n; \mathbb{R})$ is a fixed hyperbolic isomorphism which acts by multiplication. In fact, using the decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^n = E_+ \oplus E_-,$$

we have that A maps each of the two sub spaces on the right into itself and we have that

$$E_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A^n x = 0\}, E_- = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A^{-n} x = 0\}.$$

We then call E_+ the *stable manifold* and E_- the *unstable manifold* associated to the (hyperbolic) fixed point $x_0 = 0$ for the map A . It is then natural to generalise these concepts to *diffeomorphisms*:

Definition 2.2. Let $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a diffeomorphism, and let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a hyperbolic fixed point. Then we call

$$W_+(\phi, x_0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \phi^n(x) \rightarrow x_0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty\}$$

the *stable manifold* of x_0 . Similarly, we let

$$W_-(\phi, x_0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \phi^{-n}(x) \rightarrow x_0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty\}$$

the *unstable manifold*.

Our goal in the sequel will be to acquire a *local understanding* of these sets *near the fixed point* x_0 . From now on we assume for simplicity that $x_0 = 0$. Let us suppose that there is a *homeomorphism* $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with the property that

$$h(0) = 0$$

and further such that

$$(2.1) \quad h \circ \phi \circ h^{-1}(x) = A \cdot x$$

where $A = D\phi(0)$. Then we have that

$$\phi^n(y) = h^{-1}(A^n(h(y))),$$

and hence we have that

$$y \in W_{\pm}(\phi, 0) \iff h(y) \in E_{\pm}.$$

This means we can characterise the stable and unstable manifold at least as subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$, albeit without any differentiability properties yet. Our goal is to give a partial solution for problem (2.1).

3. STATEMENT OF HARTMAN-GROBMAN

Finding a *global solution* of (2.1) is too ambitious since ϕ can be quite complicated in larger and larger sets, so we shall attempt to at least find h *locally*. This is accomplished in

Theorem 3.1. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a C^1 -diffeomorphism, for which $x_0 = 0$ is a hyperbolic fixed point, i. e. $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$D\phi(0) \in \text{Mat}(n \times n; \mathbb{R})$$

is hyperbolic. Then there exist neighbourhoods U, V of 0 and a homeomorphism

$$h : U \rightarrow V,$$

such that $h(0) = 0$ and

$$h \circ \phi(x) = A \circ h(x),$$

provided both x and $\phi(x)$ are in U . It follows that

$$h \circ \phi^j \circ h^{-1}(x) = A^j x,$$

provided $x \in V$ and $\phi^k(h^{-1}(x)) \in U$ for $k = 1, \dots, j$.

The proof of this theorem, although in principle fairly elementary, requires a certain amount of preparations.

4. PERTURBATIONS OF LINEAR MAPS ON BANACH SPACES

In order to prove the Hartman-Grobman theorem, we shall work near linear maps. The first order of the day is to have a precise quantitative understanding of such maps:

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be a Banach space and A a continuous linear isomorphism of X . Let*

$$\phi(x) = Ax + g(x), \quad x \in X,$$

where g is a Lipschitz continuous map from X into itself with

$$\varepsilon \cdot \|A^{-1}\| < 1,$$

where ε is the Lipschitz constant for g . Then ϕ is a homeomorphism of X and ϕ^{-1} is Lipschitz continuous.,

Proof. (i): Injectivity of ϕ . Observe that if

$$Ax + g(x) = Ay + g(y),$$

then we obtain

$$x - y = -A^{-1}(g(x) - g(y)).$$

Then

$$|x - y| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \varepsilon \cdot |x - y|.$$

Since $\|A^{-1}\| \cdot \varepsilon < 1$, we conclude that $|x - y| = 0$, whence $x = y$.

(ii): Surjectivity. Given $y \in X$, we intend to solve the equation

$$y = Ax + g(x).$$

Then

$$x = A^{-1}y - A^{-1}g(x) =: T_y(x)$$

But the map $T_y(x)$ is a contraction due to the assumptions, and so admits a unique fixed point in X .

(iii): Lipschitz continuity of the inverse. Assume that

$$y = Ax + g(x), \quad y' = Ax' + g(x').$$

Then

$$A^{-1}(y - y') = x - x' + A^{-1}(g(x) - g(x')).$$

By the triangle inequality we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} |A^{-1}(y - y')| &\geq |x - x'| - |A^{-1}(g(x) - g(x'))| \\ &\geq |x - x'| \cdot (1 - \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|x - x'| \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\|}{1 - \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \varepsilon} \cdot |y - y'|.$$

□

The key for the proof of Hartman-Grobman is the following global perturbation result. We shall reduce to this result by a truncation trick:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $A \in \text{Mat}(n \times n; \mathbb{R})$ hyperbolic, and let $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by*

$$\phi(x) = Ax + \widehat{\phi}(x), \psi(x) = Ax + \widehat{\psi}(x),$$

where $\widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\psi}$ are bounded and Lipschitz continuous with sufficiently small Lipschitz constant ε . Then ϕ, ψ are homeomorphisms, and there exists a unique homeomorphism

$$h(x) = x + \widehat{h}(x), \|\widehat{h}\|_{L^\infty} < \infty,$$

conjugating ϕ into ψ :

$$\phi \circ h(x) = h \circ \psi(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If $\phi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$, we have $h(0) = 0$.

Let us see how to approach this: we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \circ h(x) &= \phi \circ (Id + \widehat{h})(x) = Ax + A\widehat{h}(x) + \widehat{\phi} \circ h(x), \\ h \circ \psi(x) &= Ax + \widehat{\psi}(x) + \widehat{h} \circ \psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that we need to solve the following equation for $\widehat{h}$:

$$(4.1) \quad A\widehat{h} - \widehat{h} \circ \psi = \widehat{\psi} - \widehat{\phi} \circ h$$

Here the operator

$$\mathcal{L}\widehat{h} := A\widehat{h} - \widehat{h} \circ \psi$$

acts linearly. In fact, we shall let $\mathcal{L}$ act on the space of continuous bounded functions $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, equipped with the norm

$$\|\widehat{h}\| := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\widehat{h}(x)\|,$$

where we carefully use the norm

$$\|x\| = \max\{\|x_+\|, \|x_-\|\},$$

and $\|x_\pm\|$ are the norms constructed on $E_\pm$ as in Lemma 1.3. Then we require the following

Lemma 4.3. *Let A be a hyperbolic isomorphism. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is a continuous linear isomorphism of $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and in particular its inverse*

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}$$

is well-defined and bounded. If $\psi(0) = 0$ and $g(0) = 0$, we have that $(\mathcal{L}^{-1}g)(0) = 0$.

Proof. We show that the equation

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{L}v = g$$

admits a unique solution $v \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for given $g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. We do this by projecting this equation onto either component in the decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^n = E_+ \oplus E_-.$$

In fact, decomposing for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$v(x) = \sum_{\pm} v_\pm(x), v_\pm(x) \in E_\pm,$$

and using the fact that A maps $E_\pm$ into itself, we deduce that

$$(4.3) \quad A_\pm v_\pm - v_\pm \circ \psi = g_\pm,$$

where we denote $A|_{E_\pm} = A_\pm$. We reformulate these equations as follows¹:

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} v_+ - A_+ v_+ \circ \psi^{-1} &= -g_+ \circ \psi^{-1}, \\ v_- - A_-^{-1} v_- \circ \psi &= A_-^{-1} g_-. \end{aligned}$$

¹Recall that ψ is a homeomorphism.

The key point here is that the operators A_+, A_-^{-1} act as contractions on E_+, E_- , respectively, each equipped with $\|\cdot\|$. In fact, we have (for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|A_+ v_+ \circ \psi^{-1}(x) - A_+ w_+ \circ \psi^{-1}(x)\| &\leq \alpha \cdot \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|v_+ \circ \psi^{-1}(x) - w_+ \circ \psi^{-1}(x)\| \\ &= \alpha \cdot \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|v_+ - w_+\|. \end{aligned}$$

One proceeds similarly for $A_-^{-1} v_- \circ \psi$. The Banach fixed point theorem then implies the existence of unique $v_{\pm} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n, E_{\pm})$ satisfying (4.4). This also gives the estimate

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\mathcal{L}^{-1} g(x)\| \leq C \cdot \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|g(x)\|$$

for a suitable constant C .

Finally, to see the last assertion of the lemma, setting for example $Tv_+ := A_+ v_+ \circ \psi^{-1}$ for $v_+ \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n, E_+)$, we find that the solution of the first equation in (4.4) can be written as

$$v_+ = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T^j(-g_+ \circ \psi^{-1})$$

But if $\psi(0) = 0$ and $v(0) = 0$, then also $Tv(0) = 0$, and so inductively we infer that if $g(0) = 0$, $\psi(0) = 0$, we have

$$T^j(-g_+ \circ \psi^{-1})(0) = 0, \quad j \geq 0.$$

This implies that then also $v_+(0) = 0$. One uses a similar argument for v_- . □