## TOPICS IN PROBABILITY. PART II: UNIVERSALITY

## EXERCISE SHEET 7: UNIVERSALITY AND CLT

**Exercise 1.** Does the CLT remain true if we do not assume that variances of the variables are finite? More precisely, let  $(X_i)_i$  be i.i.d. random variables with mean zero and infinite variance. Does  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  converge in law (to normal distribution)?

 $\star$ : Suppose  $X_i$ 's are symmetric with  $\mathbb{P}[|X_i| > x] = x^{-\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in (0,2)$  for all  $x \geq 1$ . For which  $\alpha$ 's is  $X_i$  integrable? Is it possible to find a different normalization of  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  such that the resulting variable converges in law (to some probability law)? What is the intuitive explanation?

**Exercise 2** (Lindeberg-Feller CLT). Let  $(X_i)_i$  be independent random variables with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \sigma_i^2 < \infty$  (not necessarily equal to one another). Let  $s_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_n^2$ . Show by adjusting the proof of Lindeberg exchange principle to this more general setting that if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_i| > \varepsilon s_n\}}] \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0,$$

then  $\frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  converges in law to a standard normal variable.

Hint: Take  $Y_i$ 's (in the proof of the exchange principle) to be independent centered normal with variance  $\sigma_i^2$ , note that now  $\tilde{X}_k$ 's are not identically distributed — how does it affect (1.2)?

Find a sequence of independent random variables  $(X_i)_i$  with mean zero and variance one such that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  does not converge in law to a standard normal variable.

## Exercise 3 (Sherrington-Kirkpatrick ground state).

Let us consider statistical mechanics model of n spins, i.e. particles that can be in one of two states  $\{\pm 1\}$ , due to Sherrington and Kirkpatrick, which models a rough energy landscape by introducing random interactions between the spins. More precisely, for a configuration of spins  $\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^n$ , let the energy  $H(\sigma)$  be defined as

$$H(\sigma) = \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} X_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j,$$

where  $X_{ij}$  are independent with zero mean and unit variance. The ground-state energy, that is, the energy the system attains at zero temperature (when in thermal equilibrium), is given by

$$Z = \min_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^n} H(\sigma).$$

One of the basic questions to ask is whether Z is universal, more precisely, whether it depend significantly on the distribution of  $X_{ij}$ 's or not? Universality is important from the physical perspective: it states that macroscopic observations are insensitive to the microscopic details in the description of physical systems. We want to apply Universality theorem proven in the lecture, but Z is not three times differentiable w.r.t.  $X_{ij}$ . The solution is to introduce a suitable smooth approximation of the minimum.

• Show that for any  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$|Z - Z_{\beta}| \le \frac{n \log 2}{\beta}, \quad Z_{\beta} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \log \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^n} e^{-\beta H(\sigma)} \right).$$

- Combine part one with the universality theorem to show that the expected ground-state energy  $\mathbb{E}[Z]$  is insensitive to the distribution of the variables  $X_{ij}$ . Assume that the third moments of  $X_{ij}$ 's exist and are uniformly bounded.
- ullet  $\star$ : extend to the case of unbounded/non-existent third moments.