

TOPICS IN PROBABILITY. PART III: PHASE TRANSITION

EXERCISE SHEET 11: SHARP PHASE TRANSITION AND HYPERCONTRACTIVITY

Exercise 1 (Russo's almost 0 – 1 law). Consider a product measure $\mathbb{P}_p$ on $\{0, 1\}^n$: each 1 is assigned weight p . Prove the following result: for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any increasing event A with the associated i th influence satisfying $I_i^p(A) (= \mathbb{P}_p[\mathbf{1}_A(\bar{X}) \neq \mathbf{1}_A(\bar{X}^{(i)})]) \leq \delta$ for all i, p , there exists p_c such that for all $p \geq p_c + \varepsilon$, $\mathbb{P}_p[A] \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, and for all $p \leq p_c - \varepsilon$, $\mathbb{P}_p[A] \leq \varepsilon$.

Exercise 2 (Heat semigroup on the hypercube).

Let $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n := (\frac{1}{2}\delta_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1)^{\otimes n}$ be the uniform measure on the hypercube $\{-1, 1\}^n$. Define the process $X_t = (X_t^1, \dots, X_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$ as follows. To each coordinate i , we attach an independent Poisson process $(N_t^i)_{t \geq 0}$ of intensity one¹. Then,

- draw $X_0 \sim \mu$ independently from the PP $N = (N^1, \dots, N^n)$;
- each time N_t^i jumps for some i , replace the current value of X_t^i by an independent sample from μ_i while keeping the remaining coordinates fixed.

We define $P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X_t) | X_0 = x]$. Prove the following properties of $(X_t)_t$ and its associated semigroup $(P_t)_t$:

- (1) $(X_t)_t$ satisfies the Markov property;
- (2) μ is its stationary measure, i.e., that $\int \mathbb{E}[f(X_t) | X_0 = x] \mu(dx) = \int f \mu(dx)$;
- (3) $P_t f(x) = \sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} (1 - e^{-t})^{|S|} e^{-t(n-|S|)} \int f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i \in S} \mu_i(dx_i)$;
- (4) Each $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be written as $f = \sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \hat{f}(S) u_S$ for appropriate coefficients $\hat{f}(S)$ and $u_S(x) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$ ². Recall that using this representation, you defined in class an operator $T_{e^{-t}} f(x) = \sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} e^{-t|S|} \hat{f}(S) u_S$ for any $t \geq 0$. Show that $T_{e^{-t}} = P_t$.
- (5)

$$\mathcal{L}f := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0+} P_t f(x) := \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{P_t f - f}{t} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i f,$$

where $\delta_i f(x) = f(x) - \int f(x_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, x_n) \mu_i(dy_i) = f(x) - \frac{f(x_1, \dots, 1, \dots, x_n) + f(x_1, \dots, -1, \dots, x_n)}{2}$. Note that $\delta_i \delta_i = \delta_i$ and $\delta_i \delta_j = \delta_j \delta_i$, hence, $-\sum_i \delta_i$ is a discrete Laplacian. Thus, let us write Δ instead of $\mathcal{L}$.

- (6) Conclude that $\frac{d}{dt} P_t f = \Delta P_t f$;
- (7) $\delta_i P_t f = P_t \delta_i f$.

¹Poisson process $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of intensity $\lambda > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is the counting process that satisfies $N_0 = 0$, has independent increments and $N_t - N_s \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda(t-s))$ for any $t \geq s \geq 0$. Recall that such a process can be constructed, for instance, in the following way: let $(T_i)_i$ be i.i.d. exponential random variables of intensity λ , then $N_t := \#\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \leq t\}$ is the Poisson process of intensity λ .

²this definition of u_S might differ from the one used in class by a factor of $(-1)^{|S|}$

★ **For fun.**

Exercise 3 (Hypercontractivity and log-Sobolev on the hypercube). *Let P_t, μ be as in the previous exercise. Prove that the following are equivalent:*

- (1) (log-Sobolev) $\text{Ent}_\mu[f^2] \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_\mu[\sum_{i=1}^n (2\delta_i f)^2]$
- (2) (Hypercontractivity) $\|P_t f\|_{L^{q(t)}(\mu)} \leq \|f\|_{L^q(\mu)}$ for all $q \geq 1, t \geq 0, f \in L^q(\mu)$ and $q(t) = 1 + (q - 1)e^{2t}$.

To show the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) you may proceed as follows:

- Reduce to the case $f \geq 0$.
- Consider $\log \|P_t f\|_{L^{q(t)}}$ and compute its derivative.
- Show that the derivative is non-positive by reducing to the following problem: for a function $g \geq 0$, $p = q(t)$ and $p' = q'(t)$,

$$(0.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left(g^{p/2} - g_{(i)}^{p/2} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{p^2}{p'} \mathbb{E}[g^{p-1} \delta_i g] \right) \leq 0.$$

Hint: apply log-Sobolev in this step.

- Prove (0.1) using $\left(\frac{b^{p/2} - a^{p/2}}{b - a} \right)^2 \leq \frac{p^2}{4(p-1)} \frac{b^{p-1} - a^{p-1}}{b - a}$ (check this) and the previous exercise.

To show the converse implication you may want to re-use the above second step.