## Partial Mock Exam (about 75% size)

An A4 two side sheet of personal notes is allowed. Points are only given as an indication of the length and/or the difficulty of each exercise.

Last name:	
First name:	/53 points
Section:	<i>'</i>

<u>Problem 1</u>: (9 points) Automata, grammars.

2 pt **Question 1.1:** Draw the graph of a *nondeterministic* finite automaton which recognises the language

 $L = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid w \text{ contains two consecutive } 0 \text{ and ends with an odd number of } 1\}.$ 

2 pt **Question 1.2:** Draw the graph of a *deterministic* finite automaton which recognises the language

 $L = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid w \text{ contains two consecutive } 0 \text{ and ends with an odd number of } 1\}.$ 

Let WFF be the language on the alphabet  $\{\}, (\exists, \neg, \lor, =, +, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  consisting in all the well-formed formulas of first order logic with the one and only + as symbol of binary function and with variables among  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ .

2 pt Question 1.3: Show briefly that WFF is context free.

3 pt **Question 1.4:** Show *briefly* that WFF is not recognised by a deterministic finite automaton.

Hint: Use the Pumping Lemma<sup>1</sup>.

/9 points

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>**Pumping Lemma** Let L be a language on a finite alphabet A recognised by some DFA. There exists a natural number p such that any word  $w \in L$  with  $|w| \ge p$  can be split into three pieces, w = xyz, satisfying the following properties:

<sup>1.</sup> for all natural number  $n, xy^nz \in L$ ;

<sup>2.</sup> |y| > 0;

 $<sup>3. |</sup>xy| \leq p.$ 

## <u>Problem 2</u>: (16 points) Recursive sets, decidable sets.

Read attentively the following:

**Definition.** Two sets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  are called recursively inseparable if

- 1. they are disjoint, that is  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ; and
- 2. there is no recursive set X such that  $A \subseteq X$  and  $X \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Otherwise A and B are called recursively separable.

2 pt **Question 2.1:** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be such that 1. and 2. hold. Show that there exists no recursive set Y such that  $Y \cap A = \emptyset$  and  $B \subseteq Y$ .

2 pt **Question 2.2:** Show that any two disjoint recursive  $(\Delta_1^0)$  sets are recursively separable.

3 pt **Question 2.3:** Let A be recursively enumerable  $(\Sigma_1^0)$ . When is it the case that A and its complement  $\mathbb{N}\backslash A$  are recursively separable.

3 pt **Question 2.4:** Give two disjoint sets  $A \in \Sigma_1^0 \backslash \Delta_1^0$  and  $B \in \Pi_1^0 \backslash \Delta_1^0$  which are recursively separable.

Hint: You can use without any justification the fact that there exists primitive recursive functions  $\alpha_2 : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta_2^1, \beta_2^2 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha_2(\beta_2^1, \beta_2^2) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\beta_2^1(\alpha_2), \beta_2^2(\alpha_2)) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{N}^2}$ .

In what follows, we consider a recursive enumeration of all Turing machines which compute partial functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . According to this enumeration, we call  $\mathcal{M}_k$  the k-th Turing machine. For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $\varphi_k$  be the partial function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  computed by  $\mathcal{M}_k$ .

Consider the two following sets of natural numbers

$$A = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \varphi_k(k) = 0\}$$
 and  $B = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \varphi_k(k) = 1\}.$ 

3 pt Question 2.5: Explain briefly why A (and B) are Turing recognisable.

3 pt  $\mathbf{Question}$  **Question 2.6:** Show that A and B are recursively inseparable.

Hint: Towards a contradiction, suppose that a recursive set X separates A and B. And then, consider the characteristic function of X.

## Problem 3: (12 points) Incompleteness of Rob.

We recall that any recursively enumerable set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$  is representable by a  $\Sigma_1$  formula in  $\mathcal{R}ob$ , namely there is an arithmetic formula  $\varphi(x_0,\ldots,x_{k-1})$  with free variable among  $x_0,\ldots,x_{k-1}$  such that for every  $(n_0,\ldots,n_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ :

- if  $(n_0, \ldots, n_{k-1}) \in A$ , then  $\mathcal{R}ob \vdash \varphi(n_0, \ldots, n_{k-1})$ ,
- if  $(n_0, \ldots, n_{k-1}) \notin A$ , then  $\mathcal{R}ob \vdash \neg \varphi(n_0, \ldots, n_{k-1})$ .

4 pt **Question 3.1:** Let  $\varphi(x_0)$  be an arithmetic formula with free variable  $x_0$ . Show that the set

$$X = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathcal{R}ob \vdash \varphi(n) \}$$

is recursively enumerable.

4 pt Question 3.2: Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$  be recursive, and let

$$B = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \ (n, m) \in A \}.$$

Show that there exists an arithmetic formula  $\psi(x)$  with x as only free variable such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$n \in B \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{R}ob \vdash \psi(n).$$

4 pt Question 3.3: Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$  be recursive such that

$$B = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \ (n, m) \in A \}$$

is not recursive and let  $\psi(x)$  be given for B by the previous question. Show that there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that neither  $\mathcal{R}ob \vdash \psi(m)$  nor  $\mathcal{R}ob \vdash \neg \psi(m)$ .

## <u>Problem 4</u>: (16 points) Undecidability.

We consider the Gödel numbering  $\varphi$  of arithmetic formulas  $\varphi$  as presented during the lecture. We let  $\phi_{proof_{\mathcal{R}ob}}(x,y)$  be a  $\Sigma^0_1$  arithmetic formula which represents in  $\mathcal{R}ob$  the primitive recursive set of pairs of natural numbers

$$\operatorname{Proof}_{\mathcal{R}ob} = \left\{ (P, \varphi) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \middle| P \text{ is a proof of } \mathcal{R}ob \vdash_c \varphi \right\}.$$

Therefore by definition for all  $(p, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ 

$$(p, n) \in \operatorname{Proof}_{\mathcal{R}ob} \text{ implies } \mathcal{R}ob \vdash \phi_{\operatorname{proof}_{\mathcal{R}ob}}(p, n), \text{ and } (p, n) \notin \operatorname{Proof}_{\mathcal{R}ob} \text{ implies } \mathcal{R}ob \vdash \neg \phi_{\operatorname{proof}_{\mathcal{R}ob}}(p, n).$$

We also assume we have a coding of 1-tape Turing machines with input alphabet  $\{0,1\}$  into natural numbers. We write  ${}^{r}\mathcal{M}{}^{r}$  for the code of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Our coding is assumed to have the property that there is a 1-tape Turing machine  $\mathcal{F}$  which when given the binary code of  ${}^{r}\mathcal{M}{}^{r}$  as input computes the (binary) code of a  $\Delta_{0}^{0}$  arithmetic formula  $C_{\mathcal{M}}(x_{0}, x_{1})$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

 $\mathcal{M}$  accepts the binary code of n iff  $\mathbb{N} \models \exists x_0 C_{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, n)$ .

Let

5 pt

 $T_0 = \{n \mid n \text{ is the code of a theorem of } \mathcal{R}ob\},\$   $T_0^1 = \{n \mid n \text{ is the code of a } \Sigma_1 \text{ theorem of } \mathcal{R}ob\},\$ 

 $\mathcal{H} = \{n \mid n \text{ is the code of a TM which halts on } 0\}.$ 

**Recall** that  $\mathcal{R}ob$  is  $\Sigma_1$ -complete, that is, if  $\varphi$  is a  $\Sigma_1$  arithmetic sentence which is true in  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mathcal{R}ob \vdash \varphi$ .

**Question 4.1:** Show that  $T_0^1$  is decidable iff  $T_0$  is decidable.

5 pt Question 4.3: Show that if  $\mathcal{H}$  is decidable then  $T_0$  is decidable.

1 pt Question 4.4: Conclude that  $T_0, T_0^1, \mathcal{H}$  are all undecidable.

/16 points