- 1. a) First of all, if d is square-free we must have $d \equiv 1, 2, 3 \mod 4$ since $d \equiv 0 \mod 4$ would imply that 2^2 divides d.
 - b) Now we can consider the two cases:
 - (i) $d \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4$. The discriminant of the basis $(1, \sqrt{d})$ is

$$\left(\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{d} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{d} \end{pmatrix}\right)^2 = 4d.$$

Let \mathcal{B} be a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathcal{O}_K , and let M be an integer matrix such that $\mathcal{B}M = (1, \sqrt{d})$. We have

$$\operatorname{disc}(\mathfrak{O}_K) = \frac{\operatorname{disc}(1, \sqrt{d})}{\det(M)^2} = \frac{4d}{\det(M)^2}.$$

Since d is square-free the only possibilities are $|\det(M)| = 1$ and $|\det(M)| = 2$. In the latter case we would have $\operatorname{disc}(\mathcal{O}_K) = d \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4$, which is impossible since, as we have shown on Sheet 8 in Exercise 2 that the discriminant of the ring of integers is always 0 or 1 mod 4. Therefore we must have $|\det(M)| = 1$, meaning that $(1, \sqrt{d})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathcal{O}_K and therefore $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$.

(ii) $d \equiv 1 \mod 4$. We observe that $\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ since it is a root of the integer polynomial $p(x) = x^2 - x - \frac{d-1}{4}$. We leave the verification to the reader. The discriminant of the \mathbb{Q} -basis $\left(1, \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right)$ of K is

$$\left(\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{1-\sqrt{d}}{2} \end{pmatrix}\right)^2 = d.$$

Let \mathcal{B} be a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathcal{O}_K , and let M be an integer matrix such that $\mathcal{B}M = \left(1, \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right)$. We have

$$\operatorname{disc}(\mathfrak{O}_K) = \frac{\operatorname{disc}\left(1, \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right)}{\det(M)^2} = \frac{d}{\det(M)^2}.$$

Since d is square-free the only possibility is $|\det(M)| = 1$, meaning that $\left(1, \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathcal{O}_K and therefore $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right]$.

2. a) Let $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ and $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$. The extensions K_1/\mathbb{Q} and K_2/\mathbb{Q} are of degree 2 and hence Galois, with Galois group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The composite field K_1K_2 is K, and the intersection $K_1 \cap K_2$ is \mathbb{Q} since m, n are distinct and squarefree. Therefore the extension K/\mathbb{Q} is Galois of degree 4, and we have

$$\operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \operatorname{Gal}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}) \times \operatorname{Gal}(K_2/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

b) Proper subfields of K are in one-to-one correspondence with non-trivial subgroups of the Galois group. Since $\operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, it has three proper non-trivial subgroups, all isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and generated by the pairs

- (1,0),(0,1),(1,1) in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore there are exactly three subfields L that satisfy $\mathbb{Q}\subset L\subset K$ with proper inclusions. We can find them explicitly: they are $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{mn})$. They are all non-isomorphic, and the last one is the only real subfield.
- c) We recall that \mathcal{O}_L is the set of elements in L that are integral over \mathbb{Z} , and \mathcal{O}_K is the set of elements in K that are integral over \mathbb{Z} . Since $L \subseteq K$, it is immediate that $\mathcal{O}_L = L \cap \mathcal{O}_K$. Moreover, if $x \in \mathcal{O}_L$ has an inverse in \mathcal{O}_L then this inverse is also in \mathcal{O}_K , hence $\mathcal{O}_L^{\times} \subseteq L \cap \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$. Conversely, if $x \in L \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ has an inverse in \mathcal{O}_K , since L is a field this inverse must lie in L and, since elements of \mathcal{O}_K are integral, hence in \mathcal{O}_L , providing the inclusion $L \cap \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L^{\times}$.
- d) By Dirichlet's unit theorem, an element in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} is a root of unity if and only if it is in the kernel of the logarithmic embedding. Therefore, for $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$, we have $\frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma(\varepsilon)} \in \mu_K$ if and only if

$$\forall \tau \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K, \mathbb{C}) \quad \left| \tau \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma(\varepsilon)} \right) \right| = 1.$$

This is true if and only if $|\tau(\varepsilon)| = |\tau(\sigma(\varepsilon))|$ for all $\tau \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K,\mathbb{C})$. Since K/\mathbb{Q} is Galois we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K,\mathbb{C}) = \operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ and, since the Galois group is commutative, the condition is equivalent to $|\tau(\varepsilon)| = |\sigma(\tau(\varepsilon))| \ \forall \tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Now one shows that the assumptions imply that σ must send 1 to 1, \sqrt{mn} to \sqrt{mn} , $\sqrt{-m}$ to $-\sqrt{-m}$, and $\sqrt{-n}$ to $-\sqrt{-n}$. One checks that this implies that σ agrees with the restriction to K of the conjugation in $\mathbb C$ and therefore preserves the absolute value. We leave the details and the remaining parts to the reader.

e) Let $\mu_K^2 = \{\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} : \exists \xi \in \mu_K \zeta = \xi^2\}$. Then μ_K^2 is the image of the squaring homomorphism $\mu_K \to \mu_K$, $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^2$, hence a subgroup of μ_K . We denote by $\Phi \colon \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \to \mu_K/\mu_K^2$ the composition of ϕ with the canonical projection. Then $\ker \Phi = \mu_K \mathcal{O}_L^{\times}$. We sketch a proof of $\ker \Phi \subseteq \mu_K \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$ and leave the opposite direction to you. Suppose that $\varepsilon \in \ker \Phi$ and write $\varepsilon = r\zeta$ with $r \in (0, \infty)$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^1$. One checks that $\psi(\varepsilon) = \zeta^2$. Since $\zeta^2 \in \mu_K^2$. One checks that this implies $\zeta \in \mu_K$ and, hence, $r \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \cap L_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\times}$.

Now one uses the isomorphism theorems to deduce that

$$[\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \colon \mu_K \mathcal{O}_L^{\times}] \leqslant |\mu_K / \mu_K^2|$$

and, therefore, it remains to show that $[\mu_K : \mu_K^2] \leq 2$. Then one shows that μ_K is cyclic and, using the classification of finite cyclic groups, deduces that μ_K^2 has index one in μ_K if N is odd and index two if N is even.

3. a) Note that for any $x, y \in K^{\times}$ totally positive, the product xy is totally positive. This implies that the totally positive elements in K^{\times} form a subgroup of K^{\times} , which then implies that \mathcal{P}_{K}^{+} is a subgroup of \mathcal{P}_{K} and, hence, of \mathcal{F}_{K} . We skip the details of this bit of the proof. It remains to prove finiteness of the narrow class group $\mathrm{Cl}^{+}(K)$.

We let $q: \mathrm{Cl}^+(K) \to \mathrm{Cl}(K)$ denote the canonical projection, which is well-defined since $\mathcal{P}_K^+ \subseteq \mathcal{P}_K$. Let $H = \ker q$, $\mathrm{Cl}(K) \cong \mathrm{Cl}^+(K)/H$ since q is surjective and, since $\mathrm{Cl}(K)$ is finite, it suffices to show that H is finite.

Our goal is to express H as a quotient of finite groups. Let $\psi \colon K^{\times} \to \operatorname{Cl}^+(K)$ denote the map $x \mapsto (x \mathcal{O}_K) \mathcal{P}_K^+$. Then $q \circ \psi$ maps K^{\times} to \mathcal{P}_K^+ , i.e., $\psi(K^{\times}) \subseteq H$. On the other hand, let $\mathfrak{f} \lhd K$ be a fractional \mathcal{O}_K -ideal such that $\mathfrak{f} \mathcal{P}_K^+ \in H$. Since, by assumption, $\mathfrak{f} \mathcal{P}_K = \mathcal{P}_K$, i.e., since $\mathfrak{f} \mathcal{P}_K^+ \in H$, we know that \mathfrak{f} is a principal

fractional ideal, i.e., there exists $x \in K^{\times}$ such that $\mathfrak{f} = x\mathfrak{O}_K$. In particular, we find that $\psi(K^{\times}) = H$.

Now denote by K^+ the totally positive elements in K and note that for any $x \in K^{\times}$ and for any $y \in K^+$ we have that

$$\psi(xy) = (xy\mathfrak{O}_K)\mathfrak{P}_K + = (x\mathfrak{O}_K)(y\mathfrak{O}_K)\mathfrak{P}_K^+ = (x\mathfrak{O}_K)\mathfrak{P}_K^+ = \psi(x),$$

that is, ψ factors as

$$K^{\times} \xrightarrow{\psi} H$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad$$

Next one show that $\ker \Psi = \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} K^+$ using that the generator of a principal fractional ideal is unique up to multiplication by \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} . In the next step one shows that $\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} K^+ / K^+ \cong \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{K+}^{\times}$, where the main step involves checking that if $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$ satisfy $\varepsilon_1 K^+ = \varepsilon_2 K^+$, then $\varepsilon_1 \mathcal{O}_{K+}^{\times} = \varepsilon_2 \mathcal{O}_{K+}^{\times}$. Using the appropriate isomorphism theorem, it follows that

$$|H| = \frac{[K^\times \colon K^+]}{[\mathfrak{O}_K^\times \colon \mathfrak{O}_{K+}^\times]}.$$

Hence, in the light of 3b, it remains to show that K^+ has finite index in K^{\times} . To this end, we recall that $\sigma_{\infty}(K)$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^s , thus one can show that for all $1 \leq i \leq d$ there exists an element $x_i \in K^{\times}$ such that

$$\forall 1 \leq j \leq d \quad \sigma_i(x_i) < 0 \iff j = i.$$

In particular, given $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}$, let

$$y_I = \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{-1},$$

then

$$K^{\times} \subseteq \bigcup_{I \subseteq \{1,\dots,d\}} y_I K^+$$

and, therefore, $[K^{\times}: K^{+}] \leq 2^{d}$.

The details are left to the reader.

b) Let $\varphi \colon \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \to \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$ denote the squaring homomorphism, i.e., for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$ we have that

$$\varphi(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2$$
.

Then

$$\mathrm{Log}_{\infty}(\mathrm{im}\varphi) = \left\{ \mathrm{Log}_{\infty}(\varepsilon^2) \colon \varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \right\} = 2\mathrm{Log}_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_K^{\times})$$

and, hence, by Dirichlet's unit theorem $\text{Log}_{\infty}(\text{im}\varphi) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{r-1}$. In particular, we find that

$$\mathbb{Z}^{r-1} \cong \mathrm{Log}_{\infty}(\mathrm{im}\varphi) \subseteq \mathrm{Log}_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{K+}^{\times}) \subseteq \mathrm{Log}_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r-1};$$

therefore proving that $\operatorname{Log}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{O}_{K_+}^{\times}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r-1}$ by the structure theory for \mathbb{Z} -modules. In particular, it suffices to show that $\ker \operatorname{Log}_{\infty}|_{\mathfrak{O}_{K_+}^{\times}}$ is trivial. Since

$$\ker \operatorname{Log}_{\infty}|_{\mathfrak{O}_{K+}^{\times}} = \mathfrak{O}_{K+}^{\times} \cap \ker \operatorname{Log}_{\infty}|_{\mathfrak{O}_{K}^{\times}} = \mathfrak{O}_{K+}^{\times} \cap \mu_{K},$$

we just have to show that 1 is the only totally positive root of unity. But, in fact, $\{\pm 1\}$ are the only real roots of unity and, since every relevant embedding is \mathbb{Q} -linear, 1 is the only totally positive real root of unity.

c) Let

$$E = (-\infty, 0)^{\Sigma} \times (0, \infty)^{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \Sigma}.$$

Our goal is to show that

$$\emptyset \neq \operatorname{Log}_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{K+}^{\times}) \cap E.$$

We denote by $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the vector with constant coordinate equal to 1 and let $H = \mathbf{1}^{\perp}$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm induced by the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^d . Given a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^d , a vector $\mathbf{w} \in W$, and a radius r > 0, we denote

$$B_r^W(\mathbf{w}) = \{ \mathbf{w}' \in W : \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}'\| < r \},\$$

i.e., $B_r^W(\mathbf{w})$ is the ball of radius r inside W around \mathbf{w} , where the metric is the one induced by the restriction of the inner product to W. Given $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote

$$B_r(\mathbf{v}) = B_r^{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{v}).$$

We note that $B_r^W(\mathbf{w}) = B_r(\mathbf{w}) \cap W$.

Claim 1. For every r > 0 there exists $\mathbf{v} \in H$ such that

$$B_r^H(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq E$$
.

Proof of Claim 1. Since $B_r^H(\mathbf{v}) = B_r(\mathbf{v}) \cap H$, it suffices to show that there exists $\mathbf{v} \in H$ such that $B_r(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq E$. In what follows, we denote by $\mathbf{I}_{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K,\mathbb{R})}$ the vector

$$\forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K, \mathbb{R}) \quad \mathbf{I}_{\Sigma}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} -|\Sigma|^{-1} & \sigma \in \Sigma, \\ |\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \Sigma|^{-1} & \sigma \notin \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

Since by assumption $\Sigma \notin \{\emptyset, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K, \mathbb{R})\}$, the vector \mathbf{I}_{Σ} is well-defined, contained in E, and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K,\mathbb{R})} \mathbf{I}_{\Sigma}(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} 1 + \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K,\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Sigma|} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K,\mathbb{R}) \setminus \Sigma} 1 = 0$$

implies that $\mathbf{I}_{\Sigma} \in H$. We denote $M = \max\{|\Sigma|, |\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \Sigma|\}$.

Let now r > 0 arbitrary and set $\mathbf{v} = 2rM\mathbf{I}_{\Sigma}$, then $\mathbf{v} \in H$ since H is a vector space. We leave it to you to check that $B_r(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq E$.

Now recall that $\operatorname{Log}_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times})$ is a lattice in H and, hence, so is $\operatorname{Log}_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{K+}^{\times})$. Fix a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{v}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{d-1}) \in H^{d-1}$ of $\operatorname{Log}_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{K+}^{\times})$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ the sup-norm on H defined with respect to \mathcal{B} and we recall that. Given r > 0 and $\mathbf{v} \in H$, let $B_{r}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{v})$ denote the r-ball around \mathbf{v} with respect to the metric induced by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} t_i \mathbf{v}_i \colon \forall 1 \leqslant i < d -\frac{1}{2} \leqslant t_i < \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

is a fundamental domain for $\operatorname{Log}_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{K+}^{\times}) \curvearrowright H$ and note that

$$B_{1/2}^{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathbf{0}) \subseteq \mathfrak{P} \subseteq \overline{B_{1/2}^{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathbf{0})}.$$

Claim 2. For every $\mathbf{v} \in H$, the set $B_1^{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathbf{v}) = B_1^{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathbf{0}) + 0$ intersects $\mathrm{Log}_{\infty}(\mathfrak{O}_{K+}^{\times})$ non-trivially.

Proof of Claim 2. Since \mathcal{P} is a fundamental domain, there exists $\ell \in \operatorname{Log}_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{K_{+}}^{\times})$ such that $\mathbf{v} \in \ell + \mathcal{P}$. One needs to show that $\|\mathbf{v} - \ell\|_{\mathcal{B}} < 1$. The details are left to you.

Now one uses the equivalence of norms on H to choose $r^* > 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{v} \in H$ we have

$$B_1^{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq B_{r^*}^H(\mathbf{v}).$$

Using Claim 1, there is $\mathbf{v} \in H$ such that $B_{r^*}^H(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq E$, hence $B_1^{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq E$ and, as of Claim 2, $\operatorname{Log}_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{K+}^{\times})$ intersects E non-trivially, which completes the proof. The details are left to the reader.

4. a) We prove that

$$\sum_{y < n \leqslant x} a_n \psi(n) = A(x)\psi(x) - A(y)\psi(y) - \int_y^x A(\xi)\psi'(\xi)d\xi.$$

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let $[\alpha]$ denote the largest integer bounding α from below. Note that we can assume without loss of generality that $[y] + 1 \leq [x]$, since A is constant on [n, n+1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We have

$$\sum_{y < n < x} a_n \psi(n) = \sum_{y < n \le [x]} (A(n) - A(n-1)) \psi(n)$$

$$= \sum_{[y]+1 \le n \le [x]} A(n) \psi(n) - \sum_{[y] \le n \le [x]-1} A(n) \psi(n-1)$$

$$= A(x) \psi([x]) - A(y) \psi([y]+1) - \sum_{[y] \le n < [x]} A(n) (\psi(n+1) - \psi(n))$$

$$= A(x) \psi([x]) - A(y) \psi([y]+1) - \int_{[y]+1}^{[x]} A(\xi) \psi'(\xi) d\xi.$$

In order to conclude, one uses once more that A is constant on intervals of the form [n, n+1) with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

b) We apply Abel summation with the constant sequence $a_n = 1$, so that $A(\xi) = [\xi]$, and $\psi(\xi) = \xi^{-s}$ with Re s > 1 to obtain that

$$\sum_{1/2 < n \leqslant N} \frac{1}{n^s} = N^{1-s} - s \int_1^N \frac{[\xi]}{\xi^{s+1}} d\xi$$

$$= N^{1-s} + s \int_1^N \frac{\xi - [\xi]}{\xi^{s+1}} d\xi - s \int_1^N \frac{d\xi}{\xi^s}$$

$$= \frac{1 - 2s}{1 - s} N^{1-s} + \frac{1}{1 - s} - 1 + s \int_1^N \frac{\xi - [\xi]}{\xi^{s+1}} d\xi$$

$$\xrightarrow{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{1 - s} - 1 + s \int_1^\infty \frac{\xi - [\xi]}{\xi^{s+1}} d\xi.$$

One checks that the function

$$s \mapsto \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi - [\xi]}{\xi^{s+1}} \mathrm{d}\xi$$

is holomorphic on $\{s \colon \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0\}$ using the characterization of holomorphic functions via the Cauchy-Riemann equations and differentiation under the integral sign via dominated convergence.

c) This can be found in the lecture notes. We leave the details to the reader.