## Guided proofs of Rademacher and Alexandrov Theorems

**Theorem 1** (Rademacher). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be a locally Lipschitz continuous function. Then f is differentiable  $\mathcal{L}^d$ -almost everywhere.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.

1. Use Riesz representation theorem (from Functional Analysis) to prove that there exists a weak gradient of f, namely there exists an  $L^{\infty}_{loc}$ -function  $\tilde{\nabla} f = (\tilde{\partial}_1 f, \dots, \tilde{\partial}_d f) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, \partial_i g \, dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\partial}_i f \, g \, dx$$

for all  $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$  and for all i = 1, ..., d. Here with  $\partial_i g$  we denote the classical derivative of g with respect to the coordinate  $x_i$ .

To do this, use that  $\partial_i g$  is the limit of incremental ratios.

2. Show that, for each  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and each  $r_j \downarrow 0$ , the sequence of functions

$$f_{r_j}(y) = \frac{f(x_0 + r_j y) - f(x_0)}{r_j}$$

admits a uniformly convergent subsequence to a function  $f_0$  in  $\overline{B(0,1)}$ .

3. Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a Lebesgue point for  $\tilde{\nabla} f$ . That is, a point such that

$$\frac{1}{\mathscr{L}^d(B_r(x_0))} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\tilde{\nabla}f - \tilde{\nabla}f(x_0)| \ dx \to 0 \quad \text{as } r \to 0.$$

Show that the weak gradients  $\tilde{\nabla} f_{r_j}$  converge to  $\tilde{\nabla} f(x_0)$  in  $L^1(B(0,1),\mathbb{R}^d)$  as  $r_j \downarrow 0$ .

4. Show that  $\nabla f_0 \equiv \tilde{\nabla} f(x_0)$  in B(0,1) and deduce that f is differentiable at  $x_0$ , its classical gradient being exactly  $\tilde{\nabla} f(x_0)$ . Conclude by the Lebesgue differentiation theorem.

**Theorem 2** (Alexandrov). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function. Then f is twice differentiable  $\mathcal{L}^d$ -almost everywhere.

To prove Theorem 2 we first need a couple of lemmas. The first is about a mean-value property of convex functions. The second regards uniformly convex ( $\lambda$ -convex) functions.

**Lemma 3** (Non-smooth mean value theorem). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function. Then, for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exist z in the closed segment with endpoints x, y, and  $\xi \in \partial f(z)$ , such that

$$f(y) - f(x) = \langle \xi, y - x \rangle.$$

Hint: Approximate f by convolution with smooth convex functions. Then use the stability of subdifferentials under uniform convergence.

**Definition 4** ( $\lambda$ -convexity). A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -convex, for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $f - (\lambda/2)|x|^2$  is convex.

**Lemma 5** (Properties of  $\lambda$ -convex functions). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $\lambda$ -convex for some  $\lambda \geq 0$  (in particular, f is convex). Then the following hold.

i) For every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and every  $t \in [0, 1]$ :

$$f((1-t)x + ty) \le (1-t)f(x) + tf(y) - \frac{\lambda}{2}t(1-t)|y-x|^2.$$

ii) The subdifferential of f at x can be written as

$$\partial f(x) = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle \xi, y - x \rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2} |y - x|^2 \text{ for every } y \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\}.$$

iii) For every  $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$  and every  $\xi\in\partial f(x),\eta\in\partial f(y)$  we have

$$\langle \eta - \xi, y - x \rangle \ge \lambda |y - x|^2.$$

iv) If  $\lambda > 0$ , then for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a unique point  $x =: \Psi(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\xi \in \partial f(x)$ . Moreover,  $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  is  $\lambda^{-1}$ -Lipschitz.

Hint: Points i),ii) and iii) are direct consequences of the definition of  $\lambda$ -convexity and the corresponding properties of convex functions. Regarding point iv), to find x such that  $\xi \in \partial f(x)$  it is enough to minimize the function  $y \mapsto f(y) - \langle \xi, y \rangle$ . To prove that such  $x =: \Psi(\xi)$  is unique and that  $\Psi$  is  $\lambda^{-1}$ -Lipschitz, use point iii).

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.

- 1. First of all observe that we may assume without loss of generality that f is  $\lambda$ -convex, for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then, thanks to point iv) in Lemma 5 it is well-defined the "inverse" of the subdifferential  $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  as the  $\lambda^{-1}$ -Lipschitz map which associates each point  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with the unique  $\Psi(\xi) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for which  $\xi \in \partial f(x)$ .
- 2. Define the set

 $\Sigma := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \text{either } \Psi \text{ is not differentiable at } \xi \text{ or it is but } \det D\Psi(\xi) = 0 \}.$ 

Use Theorem 1 and the area formula to prove that

$$\mathcal{L}^d(\Psi(\Sigma)) = 0.$$

Define the set

$$\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : f \text{ is differentiable at } x\} \setminus \Psi(\Sigma).$$

Observe that by Theorem 1 again (remember that convex functions are locally Lipschitz),

$$\mathscr{L}^d(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega) = 0.$$

3. Given  $x \in \Omega$ , take  $p = \nabla f(x)$ , and notice that  $\Psi(p) = x$ ,  $\Psi$  is differentiable at p and det  $D\Psi(p) \neq 0$ . Hence it is well-defined the matrix

$$S(x) := D\Psi(p)^{-1}.$$

Notice that since  $\Psi$  is  $\lambda^{-1}$ -convex,  $|S(x)| \leq \lambda$ . Prove that

$$\lim_{\substack{y \to x \\ \eta \in \partial f(y)}} \frac{|\eta - \nabla f(x) - S(x)(y - x)|}{|y - x|} = 0. \tag{1}$$

4. Show that the function

$$g(y) := f(y) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle S(x)(y - x), (y - x) \rangle$$

is convex. Notice that (1) can be rephrased as

$$\lim_{\substack{y \to x \\ \eta \in \partial g(y)}} \frac{|\eta|}{|y - x|} = 0.$$

Finally, use Lemma 3 on g to conclude that

$$\lim_{y \to x} \frac{\left| f(y) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle S(x)(y - x), (y - x) \rangle \right|}{|y - x|^2} = 0.$$