

Exercise Set 13: Discontinuous Galerkin

Let $\Omega = \Omega_x \times \Omega_t$, where $\Omega_x = (-1, 1)$ is the spacial domain and $\Omega_t = (0, T)$ is the time domain.

Exercise 1 1. Consider the scalar problem

$$u_t + au_x = bu \quad x \in (-1, 1) \quad (1)$$

with proper initial conditions and a and b being real constants. **(a)** Propose a discontinuous Galerkin method for solving this problem. **(b)** Prove that the semi-discrete scheme is stable.

2. Consider the scalar PDE

$$u_t + au_x = g(x, t) \quad (2)$$

in Ω , with proper initial conditions and periodic boundary conditions. Here $a > 0$ is a real constant. Write the weak discontinuous Galerkin (DG) formulation for the problem. Pick an appropriate numerical flux and prove that the semi-discrete scheme is stable. (Hint: To prove stability, consider the problem for the error between the computed and the exact solution - known as the error equation).

Consider the system

$$u_t + v_x = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$v_t + u_x = 0 \quad (4)$$

in Ω , subject to periodic boundary conditions. Write the weak DG formulation for the problem. Show that the formulation with the upwind flux is stable.

Consider the ODE system

$$u' = L(u) . \quad (5)$$

Suppose there exists a positive constant k_{FE} such that the forward Euler method

$$v^{n+1} = v^n + kL(v^n)$$

with $0 < k \leq k_{FE}$, applied to (5) satisfies

$$\|v^{n+1}\| \leq \|v^n\| \quad (6)$$

in some norm $\|\cdot\|$. Show that the numerical approximation U of (5) obtained by the following 3rd-order Runge-Kutta method

$$\begin{aligned} U^{(1)} &= U^n + kL(U^n) \\ U^{(2)} &= \frac{3}{4}U^n + \frac{1}{4}U^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4}kL(U^{(1)}) \\ U^{n+1} &= \frac{1}{3}U^n + \frac{2}{3}U^{(2)} + \frac{2}{3}kL(U^{(2)}) \end{aligned}$$

satisfies (6), provided $0 < k \leq k_{FE}$.

Solution 1 1.

2. Consider the scalar PDE

$$u_t + au_x = g(x, t) \quad (7)$$

in Ω with proper initial conditions and periodic boundary conditions.

(a) Suppose the approximation u_h is given in the k th element $D^k = (x_l^k, x_r^k)$ by

$$u_h^k(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} \hat{u}_n^k(t) \psi_n^k(x) , \quad (8)$$

where ψ_n^k is some basis of the space of polynomials of degree no greater than $N = N_p - 1$. We require that for each $k = 1, \dots, K$,

$$(h, \psi_j^k)_{D^k} = \hat{g}_j^k \quad j = 1, \dots, N_p , \quad (9)$$

where $h = (t + a_x) u_h$, $\hat{g}_j^k = (g, \psi_j^k)_{D^k}$, and

$$(\eta, \psi)_{D^k} = \int_{D^k} \eta \psi dx . \quad (10)$$

We use the divergence theorem and substitute the boundary terms by the numerical flux f^* , to get

$$(\psi_n^k, \psi_j^k)_{D^k} - a \left(\psi_n^k, (\psi_j^k)' \right)_{D^k} = - (f^* \psi_j^k)_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k} + \hat{g}_j^k \quad j = 1, \dots, N_p . \quad (11)$$

Substituting (8) into the last equation, provides

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_p} (\psi_n^k, \psi_j^k)_{D^k} (\hat{u}_n^k)' - a \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} \left(\psi_n^k, (\psi_j^k)' \right)_{D^k} \hat{u}_n^k = - (f^* \psi_j^k)_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k} + \hat{g}_j^k , \quad (12)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, N_p$. This can be also written as a system,

$${}^k \left(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^k \right)' - a \left({}^k \right)^T \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^k = - \left(f^* \boldsymbol{\psi}^k \right)_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k} + \hat{\mathbf{g}}^k , \quad (13)$$

where

$${}^k_{jn} = (\psi_n^k, \psi_j^k)_{D^k} \quad {}^k_{nj} = \left(\psi_n^k, (\psi_j^k)' \right)_{D^k} . \quad (14)$$

The periodic boundary conditions are enforced by requiring $f^*|_{x_l^1} = f^*|_{x_r^K}$. This can be obtained by formally defining $u_h^0 = u_h^K$, $u_h^{K+1} = u_h^1$ and then using the same numerical flux at the entire domain (including the boundaries $x = \pm 1$).

(b) We take the dot product of (13) and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^k$, and sum over $k = 1, \dots, K$ to get

$$t \|u_h\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K \left[a (u_r^k)^2 - a (u_l^k)^2 - 2u_r^k f_{k+1/2}^* + 2u_l^k f_{k-1/2}^* \right] + 2(g, u_h) , \quad (15)$$

where, for $k = 1, \dots, K$, $u_l^k = u_h^k(x_l^k, \cdot)$, $u_r^k = u_h^k(x_r^k, \cdot)$, $u_r^0 = u_r^K$, $u_l^{K+1} = u_l^1$, and

$$f_{k+1/2}^* = f^*(u_r^k, u_l^{k+1}) \quad k = 0, \dots, K . \quad (16)$$

By rearranging the sum we get

$$t \|u_h\|^2 = - \sum_{k=0}^K (u_r^k - u_l^{k+1}) \left(2f_{k+1/2}^* - a(u_r^k + u_l^{k+1}) \right) + 2(g, u_h) . \quad (17)$$

Substituting the upwind flux $f^*(u_l, u_r) = au_l$ provides

$$t \|u_h\|^2 = - \sum_{k=0}^K a (u_r^k - u_l^{k+1})^2 + 2(g, u_h) \leq 2(g, u_h) . \quad (18)$$

Finally, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\frac{1}{2} t \|u_h\|^2 \leq (g, u_h) \leq \|g\| \|u_h\| , \quad (19)$$

which leads to the desired bound on the norm of u_h .

3. (a) The system

$$u_t + v_x = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$v_t + u_x = 0, \quad (21)$$

has the form

$$w_t + Aw_x = 0 \quad (22)$$

where A is a symmetric matrix. In the k th element D^k the approximation w_h is given by

$$w_h^k(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} \psi_n^k(x) \hat{w}_n^k(t). \quad (23)$$

We require

$$\int_{D^k} \psi_j^k ({}_t w_h^k + A_x w_h^k) dx = 0 \quad k = 1, \dots, K. \quad (24)$$

We integrate by parts, and replace Aw_h^k in the boundary terms by a numerical flux f^* to get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_p} (\psi_j^k, \psi_n^k)_{D^k} (\hat{w}_n^k)' - \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} ((\psi_j^k)', \psi_n^k)_{D^k} A \hat{w}_n^k = - (\psi_j^k f^*)_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k}. \quad (25)$$

That is

$$({}^k \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n^k)' - ({}^k)'^T \hat{\mathbf{v}}_n^k = (\psi g^*)_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k} \quad (26)$$

$$({}^k \hat{\mathbf{v}}_n^k)' - ({}^k)'^T \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n^k = (\psi h^*)_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k}, \quad (27)$$

where $f^* = (g^*, h^*)^T$.

(b) By taking the dot product of (25) and $\hat{w}_j^k$, and summing over $j = 1, \dots, N_p$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} t \|w_h^k\|_{D^k}^2 - \int_{D^k} w_h^k x \cdot A w_h^k dx = - (w_h^k \cdot f^*)_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k}. \quad (28)$$

Since A is symmetric, this implies

$$t \|w_h^k\|_{D^k}^2 = (w_h^k \cdot (A w_h^k - 2f^*))_{x_l^k}^{x_r^k} = w_r^k \cdot (A w_r^k - 2f_{k,k+1}^*) - w_l^k \cdot (A w_l^k - 2f_{k-1,k}^*). \quad (29)$$

Here $w_r^k = w_h^k(x_r^k, \cdot)$, and $w_l^k = w_h^k(x_l^k, \cdot)$. Summing over $k = 1, \dots, K$ provides

$$t \|w_h\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K w_r^k \cdot (A w_r^k - 2f_{k+1/2}^*) - \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} w_l^{k+1} \cdot (A w_l^{k+1} - 2f_{k+1/2}^*) \quad (30)$$

$$= - \sum_{k=0}^K \left[w_l^{k+1} \cdot A w_l^{k+1} - w_r^k \cdot A w_r^k - 2 (w_l^{k+1} - w_r^k) \cdot f_{k+1/2}^* \right]. \quad (31)$$

Now we substitute the upwind flux

$$f_{k+1/2}^* = \frac{1}{2} A (w_l^{k+1} + w_r^k) - \frac{1}{2} |A| (w_l^{k+1} - w_r^k) \quad (32)$$

into (30) to get

$$t \|w_h\|^2 = - \sum_{k=1}^K (w_l^{k+1} - w_r^k) \cdot |A| (w_l^{k+1} - w_r^k) \leq 0. \quad (33)$$

4. Consider the ODE system

$$u' = L(u) . \quad (34)$$

Suppose there exists a positive constant k_{FE} such that the forward Euler method

$$v^{n+1} = v^n + kL(v^n)$$

with $0 < k \leq k_{FE}$, applied to (5) satisfies

$$\|v^{n+1}\| \leq \|v^n\| \quad (35)$$

in some norm $\|\cdot\|$. Suppose U is the numerical approximation of (5) obtained by the following 3rd-order Runge-Kutta method

$$\begin{aligned} U^{(1)} &= U^n + kL(U^n) \\ U^{(2)} &= \frac{3}{4}U^n + \frac{1}{4}U^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4}kL(U^{(1)}) \\ U^{n+1} &= \frac{1}{3}U^n + \frac{2}{3}U^{(2)} + \frac{2}{3}kL(U^{(2)}) , \end{aligned}$$

and $0 < k \leq k_{FE}$. Next we show that

$$\|U_i\| \leq \|U^n\| \quad (36)$$

holds for $i = 1, 2$. As $0 < k \leq k_{FE}$, it is clear that $U1$ satisfies (36). This implies

$$\|U2\| \leq \frac{3}{4}\|U^n\| + \frac{1}{4}\|U1 + kL(U1)\| \leq \frac{3}{4}\|U^n\| + \frac{1}{4}\|U1\| \quad (37)$$

which by (36) with $i = 1$, implies (36) also for $i = 2$. Finally, we have

$$\|U^{n+1}\| \leq \frac{1}{3}\|U^n\| + \frac{2}{3}\|U2 + kL(U2)\| \leq \frac{1}{3}\|U^n\| + \frac{2}{3}\|U2\| \quad (38)$$

which by (36) with $i = 2$, implies the proposition.