

Exercise Class #5

Numerical Methods for Conservation Laws

Professor: Martin Licht
Assistant: Fernando Henríquez

Friday 27th of October, 2023

Exercise Class #5

Today's Topics: Exercise Set #5

- Conservative Schemes
- Monotone Schemes
- Lax-Friedrichs Method
- Implementation

Exercise Set #5 - Exercise 1

Exercise 1: Conservative Methods

- Answer the following questions:
 - ✓ When is a numerical method said to be conservative?
 - ✓ What is the benefit of using a conservative numerical method?
 - ✓ For a given conservation law and a conservative scheme, are we guaranteed that the weak solution obtained satisfies the entropy condition?

Exercise Set #5 - Exercise 1: Hints

Exercise 1: Conservative Methods

- If it can be written in the form

$$v_j^{n+1} = v_j^n - \frac{k}{h} \left[F_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^n - F_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^n \right] \quad (1)$$

where $F_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^n = F(U_{j-p}^n, \dots, U_{j+q}^n)$ is the numerical flux at the cell-interface $x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$.

- Lax-Wendroff theorem.
- No, not in general. Provide a counter-example

Exercise Set #5 - Exercise 2

Exercise 2: Engquist-Osher flux

- Consider the conservative scheme with the *Engquist-Osher* flux

$$F^{EO}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \left(f(u) + f(v) - \int_u^v |f'(\xi)| d\xi \right). \quad (2)$$

- ✓ Show that the numerical flux leads to a monotone scheme under suitable CFL conditions.
- ✓ Assuming f is convex with a single minima at ω , show that the Engquist-Osher flux reduces to

$$F^{EO}(u, v) = f(\max(u, \omega)) + f(\min(v, \omega)) - f(\omega). \quad (3)$$

Exercise Set #5 - Exercise 2: Hints

Exercise 2: Engquist-Osher flux

- To show that the Engquist-Osher flux (EO flux) leads to a monotone scheme, we need to show that

$$\lambda \partial_1 F(U_{j-1}^n, U_j^n) \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

$$-\lambda \partial_2 F(U_j^n, U_{j+1}^n) \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

$$1 - \lambda (\partial_1 F(U_j^n, U_{j+1}^n) - \partial_2 F(U_{j-1}^n, U_j^n)) \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda = k/h$.

- Why?

Exercise Set #5 - Exercise 2: Hints

Exercise 2: Engquist-Osher flux

- Assume f is convex with a minima at ω .
- Then we have $f'(u) < 0$ if $u < \omega$ and $f'(u) > 0$ if $u > \omega$.
- Considering 4 possible scenarios, we have

$$\int_u^v |f'(\xi)| d\xi = \begin{cases} f(v) - f(u), & \text{if } u, v > \omega \\ f(u) - f(v), & \text{if } u, v < \omega \\ f(u) + f(v) - 2f(\omega), & \text{if } u < \omega < v \\ 2f(\omega) - f(u) - f(v), & \text{if } v < \omega < u \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Thus, the numerical flux becomes....(You should complete this part).

Exercise Set #4 - Exercise 3

Exercise 3: Finite Differences for Burger's Equation

- In the previous exercises, we have seen that difficulties can arise when trying to approximate solution for linear problem.
- Additional issues can arise when dealing with non-linear problems.
- Consider the Burgers equation in the quasilinear form

$$u_t + uu_x = 0 . \quad (8)$$

A “natural” finite difference method can be obtained with a minor modification of the upwind method applied to the advection equation, assuming $v_j^n \geq 0$ for all j, n :

$$v_j^{n+1} = v_j^n - \frac{k}{h} v_j^n (v_j^n - v_{j-1}^n) . \quad (9)$$

This method converges on smooth solutions.

Exercise Set #5 - Exercise 3

Exercise 3: Finite Differences for Burger's Equation

- Compute the numerical solution obtained by (9), driven by the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = \begin{cases} 1 & x < 0 \\ 0 & x \geq 0 \end{cases} . \quad (10)$$

Implement the method in Matlab and solve (8) up to $T = 0.5$ in the interval $(-1, 1)$ with initial condition (10). In your computations use $k = 0.5h$, $h = 0.01$.

- Is the solution obtained a weak solution? Is it the entropy solution?
- Now use the following initial condition in your code

$$u(x, 0) = \begin{cases} 1.2 & x < 0 \\ 0.4 & x \geq 0 \end{cases} . \quad (11)$$

- Compare the solution to the known entropy solution for Burgers, which can be constructed by considering the characteristics and shocks.

Exercise Set #5 - Exercise 4

Exercise 4: Unconditionally Stable Method

- Apply the generalization of the Lax-Friedrichs method

$$v_j^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} (v_{j+1}^n + v_{j-1}^n) - \frac{k}{2h} (f(v_{j+1}^n) - f(v_{j-1}^n)) \quad (12)$$

to Burgers equation in conservation form,

$$u_t + \left(\frac{1}{2} u^2 \right)_x = 0, \quad (13)$$

with the initial conditions (10) and (11).

- Does the numerical solutions converge to a weak solution?
- Is this the entropy solution?
- Show that the generalization of the Lax-Friedrichs method to nonlinear conservation laws can be written in conservative form.

Exercise Set #5 - Exercise 4 - Hints

Exercise 4: Unconditionally Stable Method

- Does the numerical solutions converge to a weak solution? **Yes, Why?**
- Is this the entropy solution? Is the scheme montone? **Prove it or disprove it**
- Show that the generalization of the Lax-Friedrichs method to nonlinear conservation laws can be written in conservative form. **Arrange terms so that the numerical flux looks like this**

$$F(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} (f(v) + f(u)) - \frac{h}{2k} (v - u) . \quad (14)$$

Exercise Set #5 - Exercise 5

Exercise 5: Unconditionally Stable Method

- Solve the Burgers equation with the Engquist-Osher flux and the initial conditions (10) and (11).
- Does the solution converge to the entropy solution?
- How does the solution compare to that obtained with the Lax-Friedrichs scheme?

Exercise Set #5 - Exercise 5 - Hints

Exercise 5: Unconditionally Stable Method

- Q1. **Implementation**

- Q2. What do you think?

- Q3.

✓ Both the Engquist-Osher flux and Lax-Friedrich flux can be written as

$$F(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}(f(u) + f(v)) - \frac{1}{2}Q(u, v)(v - u), \quad (15)$$

✓ where $Q(u, v)$ is a measure of the artificial viscosity/dissipation introduced by the scheme.

✓ For these two schemes, we have

$$Q^{LF}(u, v) = \frac{h}{k}, \quad Q^{EO}(u, v) = \frac{\int_u^v |f'(\xi)| d\xi}{v - u}. \quad (16)$$

✓ How do $Q^{EO}(u, v)$ and $Q^{LF}(u, v)$ compare?