

Some fluxes

- Lax-Friedrichs Flux ✓

$$F_{LF}(u, v) = \frac{f(u) + f(v)}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}(v - u)$$

$$\text{where } \alpha = \max_u |f'(u)|$$

- Lax-Wendroff Flux

$$F_{LW}(u, v) = \frac{f(u) + f(v)}{2} - \frac{k}{2h} f'\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) (f(v) - f(u))$$

- Roe Flux

$$F_{Roe}(u, v) = \frac{f(u) + f(v)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{f(v) - f(u)}{v - u} \right| (v - u)$$

$$= \begin{cases} f(u) & \text{if } s > 0 \\ f(v) & \text{if } s < 0 \end{cases} \quad s = \frac{f(v) - f(u)}{v - u}$$

- All three fluxes are consistent and Lipschitz

It seems that is not enough.

Let us focus on the case of a finite difference scheme in where the numerical fluxes only depend on the immediate neighbors.

$$\begin{aligned} U_j^{n+1} &= U_j^n - \frac{k}{h} \left(F_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^n - F_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^n \right) \\ &= U_j^n - \frac{k}{h} \left(F(U_j^n, U_{j+1}^n) - F(U_{j-1}^n, U_j^n) \right) \\ &=: G(U_{j-1}^n, U_j^n, U_{j+1}^n) \end{aligned}$$

We also write with abuse of notation

$$G(U^n)_j = G(U_{j-1}^n, U_j^n, U_{j+1}^n)$$

We call the scheme monotone if G is increasing in each argument. Let's express that in terms

of the differentials.

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial G}{\partial U_{j-1}^n} = \frac{k}{h} \partial_1 F(U_{j-1}^n, U_j^n)$$

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial G}{\partial U_j^n} = 1 - \frac{k}{h} \left(\partial_1 F(U_j^n, U_{j+1}^n) - \partial_2 F(U_{j-1}^n, U_j^n) \right)$$

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial G}{\partial U_{j+1}^n} = -\frac{k}{h} \partial_2 F(U_j^n, U_{j+1}^n)$$

The first and the third inequality are equivalent to F increasing in its first argument and decreasing in its second argument.

The second inequality requires that the quotient k/h must be sufficiently small, that is, the time step must be smaller than the space step.

Example Lux-Friedrichs Flux

$$F_{\text{LF}}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}(f(u) + f(v)) - \frac{\alpha}{2}(v - u)$$

We find that $(\alpha = \max_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |f'|)$

$$\partial_u F(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} f'(u) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \geq 0$$

$$\partial_v F(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} f'(v) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \leq 0$$

Finally

$$1 - \frac{k}{h} \left(\frac{f'(U_j^n)}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{f'(U_j^n)}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{k}{h} \alpha$$

Thus we need $1 - \frac{k}{h} \alpha \geq 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{k}{h} \leq \frac{1}{2} \Leftrightarrow k \leq \frac{h}{2}$$

II Verfahren bestimmen an der Hand zu legen

$$h \propto \Delta t \propto \Delta x$$

The higher the derivatives of f , the smaller the time step, to have a monotone scheme.

Example Lux-Wendroff

$$F_{LW}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} (f(u) + f(v)) - \frac{k}{2h} f'(\frac{u+v}{2}) (f(v) - f(u))$$

Let's pick $f(u) = u^2$ and see what happens

$$\begin{aligned} F_{LW}(u, v) &= \frac{1}{2} u^2 + \frac{1}{2} v^2 - \frac{k}{2h} (u+v) (u^2 - v^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} u^2 + \frac{1}{2} v^2 - \frac{k}{2h} (u^3 - uv^2 + u^2v - v^3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_v F_{LW}(u, v) &= u - \frac{k}{2h} (3u^2 - v^2 + 2uv) \\ &= 000 + v^2 - 2uv \end{aligned}$$

For certain values of u and v , we cannot control the sign of the derivative

Exercise: Roe scheme is not monotone.

A few observations regarding monotone schemes.

1. If $U_j \leq V_j$ entrywise, then

$$G(U)_j \leq G(V)_j$$

2. Maximum principle

$$\min_i U_i \leq G(U)_j \leq \max_i U_i$$

3. L^1 contraction property

$$\|G(u) - G(v)\|_1 \leq \|u - v\|_1$$

4. For the iterates we have

$$TV(u^{n+1}) \leq TV(u^n)$$

$$TV(u^n) = \sum_j |u_{j+1}^n - u_j^n|$$

"total variation diminishing" (TVD)

Monotone $\Rightarrow$ L^1 contraction $\Rightarrow$ TVD