

## Series 10 - November 27, 2024

## Exercise 1.

(Implementation of the Milstein–Talay scheme and weak order). Let  $f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the assumptions for existence and uniqueness of the solution of an SDE and consider the following SDE on [0, T]

$$dX(t) = f(X(t))dt + g(X(t))dW(t),$$

with initial condition  $X(0) = X_0$ . Then, consider the scheme

$$\begin{split} X_{n+1} &= X_n + f(X_n)h + g(X_n)\Delta W_n + \frac{1}{2}g'(X_n)g(X_n)I_{1,1} \\ &\quad + \left(f'(X_n)f(X_n) + \frac{1}{2}f''(X_n)g^2(X_n)\right)\frac{h^2}{2} + f'(X_n)g(X_n)I_{1,0} \\ &\quad + \left(g'(X_n)f(X_n) + \frac{1}{2}g''(X_n)g^2(X_n)\right)I_{0,1}, \end{split} \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$I_{0,1} = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^{s_1} \mathrm{d}s_2 \mathrm{d}W(s_1), \qquad I_{1,0} = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^{s_1} \mathrm{d}W(s_2) \mathrm{d}s_1, \text{ and } \qquad I_{1,1} = \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^{s_1} \mathrm{d}W(s_2) \mathrm{d}W(s_1). \tag{1.2}$$

Let  $\{\xi_n\}_{n\geqslant 0}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. discrete random variables defined by

$$P(\xi_n = -\sqrt{3}) = \frac{1}{6}, \qquad P(\xi_n = 0) = \frac{2}{3}, \qquad P(\xi_n = +\sqrt{3}) = \frac{1}{6}.$$

Denoting  $\chi_n = \xi_n h^{1/2}$ , the derivative-free scheme from (1.1) is defined as

$$\begin{split} Z_1 &= X_n + f(X_n)h + g(X_n)\chi_n, \\ Z_2^\pm &= X_n + f(X_n)h \pm g(X_n)\sqrt{h}, \\ X_{n+1} &= X_n + \frac{1}{2}(f(Z_1) + f(X_n))h + \frac{1}{4}(g(Z_2^+) + g(Z_2^-) + 2g(X_n))\chi_n \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}(g(Z_2^+) - g(Z_2^-))(\chi_n^2 - h)\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}. \end{split} \tag{1.3}$$

We remark that under additional assumptions on f and g it can be shown that the above schemes have weak order 2. Set now  $f(x) = \lambda x$  with  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $g(x) = \mu x$  with  $\mu = 0.1$ ,  $X_0 = 1$  and T = 1. Verify numerically that the schemes (1.1) (computed considering Brownian increments  $(\Delta W_n)_n$ ) and (1.3) (computed considering r.v.s  $(\chi_n)_n$ ) have weak order 2. Choose different step sizes  $h = 2^{-i}$  with  $i = 1, \dots, 5$  and approximate the expectations using  $M = 10^4$  realizations of  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ .

## Exercise 2.

For an SDE in [0,T] of the form

$$dX(t) = f(X(t))dt + g(X(t))dW(t), X(0) = X_0,$$
(2.1)

consider the Euler-Maruyama method

$$X_n = X_{n-1} + f(X_{n-1})\Delta t + g(X_{n-1})(W(t_n) - W(t_{n-1})), \tag{2.2}$$

and the Milstein scheme

$$\begin{split} X_n &= X_{n-1} + f(X_{n-1}) \varDelta t + g(X_{n-1}) (W(t_n) - W(t_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + \frac{_1}{_2} g'(X_{n-1}) g(X_{n-1}) \big( (W(t_n) - W(t_{n-1}))^2 - \varDelta t \big). \end{split}$$

Apply the two schemes to the SDE (2.1) setting the final time T=1, the initial condition  $X_0=1$  and the functions  $f(x)=\lambda x,\ g(x)=\mu x$  with  $\lambda=2,\ \mu=1$ . Compute numerically the strong and weak orders of convergence of the methods. Choose different step sizes  $\Delta t=2^{-i}$  with  $i=5,\ldots,10$  and approximate the expectations using  $M=10^4$  realizations of the Brownian motion.

## Exercise 3.

Consider the SDE

$$dX_t = a(t, X_t)dt + b(t, X_t)dW_t, \quad X(0) = X_0, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $a: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are Lipschitz in the spatial variable, uniformly in t, with constant L and satisfy the linear-growth bound property.

Let  $\{Y_n\}_{n\geqslant 0}$  be the approximation of (3.1) defined by the following method

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + \hat{a}(t_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})\Delta t + b(t_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})\xi_n$$
(3.2)

where  $\mathbb{P}(\xi_n = \pm \sqrt{\Delta t}) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\hat{a} = a - bb'$ .

- 1) Show that there exists a unique solution of (3.2) for  $\Delta t < \frac{1}{t^2}$ .
- 2) Show numerically that (3.2) is converging to (3.1) weakly, while it is not the case for the method (3.2) with  $\hat{a}$  is replaced by a. To do so, you can apply (3.2) a geometric Brownian motion with a scalar  $\mu = -1$  in the drift, a scalar  $\sigma = 0.3$  in the diffusion,  $X_0 = 1$  and approximate the average with  $M = 10^5$  realizations.
- 3) Assume that (3.2) and (3.1) have bounded p-moments with  $p \ge 2$ . Show that (3.2) is actually convergent of weak order 1.