December 17, 2024

## **Problem Set 13 Solutions**

**Exercise 1.** Let  $V_{\lambda}$  denote the Specht module for  $S_n$ , where  $\lambda$  is a partition of n.

(a) Show that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_{\lambda} \simeq \bigoplus_{\mu \in R(\lambda)} V_{\mu},$$

where  $R(\lambda)$  is the set of Young diagrams obtained by removing one square from  $Y_{\lambda}$ .

(b) Show that

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_{\mu} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A(\mu)} V_{\lambda},$$

where  $A(\mu)$  is the set of Young diagrams obtained by adding one square from  $Y_{\mu}$ .

Hint: Use the formula for the character of  $V_{\mu}$  in (a) and the Frobenius reciprocity in (b).

**Solution 1.** (a) Let the conjugacy class  $C_{\mathbf{i}} \subset S_{n-1}$ , then  $C_{\mathbf{i}} \subset S_n$  leaves an element, for example n, invariant. Therefore  $C_{\mathbf{i}}$  has at least one 1-cycle,  $i_1 \geq 1$ . Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots \lambda_p)$  and  $N \geq p$ . Let  $\rho = (N-1, N-2, \dots 1, 0)$ . Recall that the character  $\chi_{V_{\lambda}}(C_{\mathbf{i}})$  of the Specht module is given by the coefficient of

$$x^{\lambda+\rho} = \prod_{j} x_j^{\lambda_j + N - j}$$

in the polynomial

$$\Delta(x) \prod_{m \ge 1} H_m(x)^{i_m},$$

where  $\Delta(x) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$  and  $H_m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N x_k^m$ . Then  $\chi_{\lambda}(C_i \cap S_{n-1})$  is the coefficient of  $x^{\lambda + \rho}$  in

$$\Delta(x) \prod_{m \ge 2} H_m(x)^{i_m} H_1(x)^{i_1 - 1} (x_1 + \ldots + x_N) = \sum_i x_i \Delta(x) \prod_{m \ge 2} H_m(x)^{i_m} H_1(x)^{i_1 - 1}.$$

Notice that the coefficient of  $x^{\lambda+\rho}$  in the first element of the sum,  $x_1\Delta(x)\prod_{m\geq 2}H_m(x)^{i_m}H_1(x)^{i_1-1}$ , equals to the coefficient of  $x_1^{\lambda_1-1+N-1}\prod_{j\geq 2}x_j^{\lambda_j+N-j}$  in  $\Delta(x)\prod_{m\geq 2}H_m(x)^{i_m}H_1(x)^{i_1-1}$ . Notice also that if  $\lambda_1=\lambda_2$ , then we have the powers of the first two variables equal:  $\lambda_1-1+N-1=\lambda_2+N-2$ . Then clearly this coefficient is zero, because a coefficient of a monimial  $x_1^kx_2^k\prod_{j\geq 3}x_j^{k_j}$  is zero in the antisymmetric polynomial  $\Delta(x)\prod_j H_m^{i_m}$  (we recall that  $\Delta(x)$  is a completely antisymmetric polynomial, and each  $H_m(x)$  is a symmetric polynomial). This means that the first summand of the sum

$$\sum_{i} x_{i} \Delta(x) \prod_{m \geq 2} H_{m}(x)^{i_{m}} H_{1}(x)^{i_{1}-1}$$

will contribute only if  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$  and in this case its contribution is the coefficient of

$$x_1^{\lambda_1-1+N-1}\prod_{j\geq 2}x_j^{\lambda_j+N-j}$$

in

$$\Delta(x) \prod_{m \geq 2} H_m(x)^{i_m} H_1(x)^{i_1-1},$$

which is by definition the coefficient of  $x^{\mu+\rho}$  in it, where  $\mu = (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p)$ . This is by definition the character of the representation  $V_{\mu}$  of the element of the conjugacy class  $C_{\mathbf{i}} \in S_{n-1}$ .

Similarly, the other nonzero contributions will come from the coefficient of  $x^{\lambda+\rho}$  in

$$x_k \Delta(x) \prod_{m>2} H_m(x)^{i_m} H_1(x)^{i_1-1},$$

where  $\lambda_k > \lambda_{k+1}$ , which means exactly that we can remove a square from the row  $\lambda_k$  and still obtain a valid Yound diagram of size n-1. Each time this contribution will be equal to the coefficient of  $x^{\mu+\rho}$ , where  $\mu_k = \lambda_k - 1$ , and  $\mu_i = \lambda_i$  for  $i \neq k$ , in the polynomial

$$\Delta(x) \prod_{m \ge 2} H_m(x)^{i_m} H_1(x)^{i_1-1},$$

which corresponds to computing the character of  $V_{\mu}$  on the conjugacy class  $C_{\mathbf{i}} \in S_{n-1}$ , with one fewer 1-cycles than  $C_{\mathbf{i}} \in S_n$ . Finally we have that the character of the restriction of the irreducible representation  $V_{\lambda}$  to  $S_{n-1}$  equals to the sum of characters of the Specht modules  $V_{\mu}$  for  $S_{n-1}$ , where  $\mu$  is a partition of (n-1) obtained from the partition  $\lambda$  of n by shortening one row, so that the resulting Young diagram still satisfies the non-increasing condition. This means that  $\mu \in R(\lambda)$ . We have

$$\chi_{\operatorname{Res}_{S_n}^{S_n}} V_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu \in R(\lambda)} \chi V_{\mu},$$

and

$$\operatorname{Res}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_{\lambda} \simeq \bigoplus_{\mu \in R(\lambda)} V_{\mu}.$$

(b) We will use (a) and the Frobenius reciprocity result. Suppose that

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_{\nu} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda} m_{\lambda} V_{\lambda},$$

where

$$\begin{split} m_{\lambda} &= \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{n}}(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_{n}}V_{\nu}, V_{\lambda}) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(V_{\nu}, \operatorname{Res}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_{n}}V_{\lambda}) = \\ &= \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(V_{\nu}, \bigoplus_{\mu \in R(\lambda)} V_{\mu}) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1, & \nu \in R(\lambda), \\ 0, & \nu \notin R(\lambda). \end{array} \right] \end{split}$$

We have  $\nu \in R(\lambda)$  when  $Y_{\nu}$  is obtained from  $Y_{\lambda}$  by removing a square, which is equivalent to saying that  $Y_{\lambda}$  is obtained from  $Y_{\nu}$  by adding a square, or  $\lambda \in A(\nu)$ . Therefore,

$$m_{\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} 1, & \lambda \in A(\nu), \\ 0, & \lambda \notin A(\nu). \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally we have

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_{\nu} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A(\nu)} V_{\lambda},$$

as required.

**Exercise 2.** (Transitivity of the induction) Let  $K \subset H \subset G$  be subgroups of a finite group G and V a complex representation of K. Show that

$$\operatorname{Ind}_H^G \operatorname{Ind}_K^H V \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_K^G V.$$

Hint: Use the tensor product form of the induced representations.

**Solution 2.** We have  $\operatorname{Ind}_K^H V \simeq \mathbb{C}[H] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[K]} V$  and  $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G W \simeq \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} W$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Ind}_H^G (\operatorname{Ind}_K^H V) \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_H^G (\mathbb{C}[H] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[K]} V)$$

$$\simeq \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} (\mathbb{C}[H] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[K]} V) \simeq (\mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} \mathbb{C}[H]) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[K]} V) \simeq \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[K]} V \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_K^G V.$$

Here we used the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} \mathbb{C}[H] \simeq \mathbb{C}[G]$$

given by the map  $f: \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} \mathbb{C}[H] \to \mathbb{C}[G]$ , where  $f: g \otimes h = gh \otimes 1 \to gh$ .

**Exercise 3.** (a) Let G be a finite group and  $V_R$  an irreducible representation of G defined over the real numbers. Show that its complexification  $V = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V_R$  is a representation of real type.

- (b) Show that all Specht modules  $V_{\lambda}$  for  $S_n$  are of real type.
- (c) Use the Frobenius-Schur indicator to find the sum of dimensions of all irreducible representations of  $S_n$ .

**Solution 3.** (a) Suppose that the representation  $V_R$  has real entries. Choose  $\langle \ , \ \rangle_0$  to be a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on  $V_R$ . Let  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  be the G-invariant nondegenerate symmetric form on  $V_R$  (constructed by the Weyl's unitary trick, see Lecture 3). Let  $V = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V_R$  be the complexification of  $V_R$ . Then we can define

$$\langle v + iw, u + iz \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle - \langle w, z \rangle + i \langle w, u \rangle + i \langle v, z \rangle.$$

This is a symmetric G-invariant  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear form on V. It is also nondegenerate: If  $(v, w) \neq (0, 0)$  we can find (u, z) such that  $\langle v + iw, u + iz \rangle \neq 0$ . Indeed, if  $v \neq 0$ , we can choose u so that  $\langle v, u \rangle \neq 0$  and set z = 0, and similarly in case  $w \neq 0$ . Therefore, we have a symmetric G-invariant  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear form on V. This implies that the space  $S^2V^*$  of symmetric bilinear forms on V has a G-invariant element, or that  $V_0 \subset S^2V$  as a G-representation, which by definition means that  $V^*$  is a representation of real type. Since  $V^*$  is of real type if and only if V is, we conclude that V is a representation of real type.

- (b) We have the Specht module  $V_{\lambda}$  defined as  $V_{\lambda} = \mathbb{C}[S_n]c_{\lambda}$ , where  $c_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda}b_{\lambda}$  is a rational linear combination of the elements of  $S_n$ . Therefore the action of any  $g \in S_n$  in  $V_{\lambda}$  is given by a matrix with rational coefficients, in particular all matrices  $\rho_{\lambda}(g)$  have real values and the representation  $V_{\lambda}$  is of real type.
- (c) The theorem on the Frobenius-Schur indicator claims that the number of all involutions in G (elements of order  $\leq 2$ ) equals to  $\sum_{V} FS(V)$  over the irreducible representations, where FS(V)=1 if V is of real type, 0 if it is of complex type and -1 if it is of quaternionic type. In case of  $S_n$  we have by (b) that all irreducible representations of the symmetric group  $S_n$  are of real type, therefore the sum of the dimensions of the irreducible representations of  $S_n$  equals the number of involutions of  $S_n$ . The involutions of  $S_n$  are the permutations of cycle type (2,2,2,...2) or the identity element. We can present these elements as products of (possibly zero) disjoint transpositions. Therefore the number of involutions can be computed by summing over all possible choices of disjoint transpositions. For example, we have  $\binom{n}{2}$  transpositions in  $S_n$ . To find the number of different products of k disjoint transpositions, we have to choose 2k numbers out of n and then pair them up. In a set of 2k elements, we have (2k-1)!! = (2k-1)(2k-3)...1 possible pairings, they are called perfect matchings of the complete graph of 2k vertices. Indeed, we have (2k-1) ways to find a pair for the first element, and once this choice is done, we have (2k-3) ways to pair off the second element, and so on till we exhaust the available elements. Therefore, the total number  $N_{inv}$  of involutions in  $S_n$  equals to

$$N_{inv} = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} \binom{n}{2k} (2k-1)!!$$

This also equals to the sum of the dimensions of all irreducible representations of  $S_n$ :

$$\sum_{V \in Irr(S_n)} \dim V = N_{inv} = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} \binom{n}{2k} (2k-1)!!$$